EPPI-Reviewer 4 Software for research synthesis

User Manual

This page is blank

Table of Contents

EPPI –Reviewer 4.8 User Manual	8
Description and background	8
System and internet requirement	8
About the User Manual	8
Easy introduction	9
Functionality	13
Systematic review	
Functions	13
Reference management	
Study classification and data extraction	
Synthesis	
Review Management	
Accessing EPPI-Reviewer 4	15
Selecting your review	
Review home screen	
Creating a new review	16
Changing reviews	
Access for Cochrane Authors	16
Logging on with Archie credentials for the first time	
Logging on with Archie credentials (after the first time)	20
Working with Archie reviews	21
Bringing references into your review	22
Importing text file search results	22
Importing directly from external databases	23
Manually adding references	23
Uploading full text documents	23
Extracting references from uploaded documents	25
Managing your search sources	26
Deleting a search source	26
Managing your documents	27
I, E and D flags	
Changing an items Include / Exclude / Delete flag	
Creating reference lists	
Exporting documents	

EPPI-Reviewer 4: Software for research synthesis

Managing duplicate documents	
Manually adding a duplicate	34
Overlapping duplicate groups	
Create a new duplicate group	
Resetting the duplicate checking	
Managing linked records	40
Setting up the screening / coding tools	41
Moving Codesets and Codes	44
Copying and pasting codes	45
Copying codesets across and within reviews	47
Coding references or documents	48
Screening references	48
Data extraction	48
Applying codes to a reference or document	49
Auto advance and Hotkeys	49
Adding text to a coded response	50
Coding complete vs. coding not complete	51
Normal vs. Comparison data entry (formally single vs. multiple)	52
Changing between normal and comparison data entry	52
Changing from normal data entry to comparison data entry	53
Changing from Comparison data entry to Normal data entry	54
Allocating references for coding	56
Random allocation	56
Setting up coding allocations	57
Allocating items for double/multiple coding	58
Coding by allocated references	58
Comparison and reconciling of Comparison coded items	59
Dealing with agreements	62
Dealing with disagreements	63
Study Arms	68
Coding only interface	70
EPPI-Reviewer coding app	73
Screening tab	74
Screening tab layout	75
Setting up for Random screening	76

Setting up for Priority Screening	78
Grouping items	81
Analysis of your data	81
Searching	81
Code set searching	82
Code searching	82
Freetext searching	82
Frequency reports	82
Crosstab reports	83
Coding reports	84
Configurable Reports	85
Creating a Risk of Bias report	88
Creating a report that best displays your data	91
Meta-analysis	96
Setting up a meta-analysis in EPPI-Reviewer	98
Planning	98
Stage 1: Setting up the coding tool	98
Summary of EPPI-Reviewer code types	
Study codes	
Intervention / Outcome / Comparison codes	
Outcome classification codes	
Stage 2: Entering outcome data	
Stage 3: Setting up your data and choosing studies for inclusion	
Running meta-analyses in EPPI-Reviewer	
Setting up your meta-analysis	
How to use the functionality available in the meta-analysis table	105
How the Intervention / Outcome / Comparison and Outcome Classification codes can be used	106
How you can use generic study-level codes to organise outcomes in your meta-analysis	107
Stage 4 – specifying the data type	
Stage 5 – specifying the model type	
Stage 6 – specifying additional modifications, model output, and publication bias options	111
Stage 7 – Choosing forest plot options	113
Stage 8 – Interpreting and exporting output	113
Stage 9 - Subgroup analysis	113
Meta-analysis training	115

Machine Learning	115
Priority screening	115
Term identification	115
Clustering or Auto-coding	119
Classifiers	120
Translations – Title and Abstract	123
Key term highlighting	124
Line-by-line PDF / Inductive coding	125
Coding textual data	125
PDF documents	126
Annotations	127
Reset	128
Other types of documents	
Inductive coding reports	129
Creating conceptual diagrams	130
Diagrams	131
Expiration of user accounts and shareable reviews	
Appendix A: Example review	134
Layout of a systematic review in EPPI-Reviewer 4	134

This page is blank

EPPI - Reviewer 4.8 User Manual

Description and background

EPPI-Reviewer 4 is a multi-user web-based application for managing and analyzing data for use in research synthesis.

System and internet requirement

The only requirement is that the computer must be able to run Silverlight 5 and be connected to the internet.

For optimum performance we would suggest:

- Computer: PC or Mac
- Silverlight 5+
- Minimum OS: Windows XP or Mac OS 10.4
- Minimum browser: Internet Explorer 8+ ; Firefox 3+; Safari (Mac only)
- Broadband internet connection: > 1Mb/sec

About the User Manual

The user manual provides instructions on how to use EPPI-Reviewer 4 and leads you through the most commonly used functions in the software.

Version: 4.8.0.0

Last update: October 09, 2018.

For details of changes introduced to the manual and software please see the Forum announcements on the EPPI-Reviewer 4 gateway (<u>http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/er4</u>). Each time the software is updated details of the update are listed there.

Easy introduction

This is an easy introduction to EPPI-Reviewer 4. In this example you will:

- 1. Login and create a review
- 2. Import some references from PubMed.
- 3. Create a coding tool
- 4. Code some documents
- 5. Run a frequency report
- Go to the login page at <u>http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/eppireviewer4/</u>, enter your username and password and click
 Login. If this is the first time you have used EPPI-Reviewer 4 you may see the Create New Review window. If so,
 enter a name in the box and click on New Review. If a review is already present then you can click Go next to the
 review name.
- 2. You are now on the home screen. On the left is the **Home panel** and on the right is the **Toolbox panel**. Each panel has a number of tabs leading to different functions in the program.



Select the **Sources** tab in the Toolbox panel and click on the button labelled **Manage Sources / Add New**. This will open the Add Source window.



You can also reach the Add Source window by clicking on **Import references** icon at the top of the Documents tab of the Home panel

We will import some references directly from PubMed so select the **PubMed Import** tab, enter some search terms in the box next to the **Search PubMed** button and then click on the **Search PubMed** button. EPPI-Reviewer will send your search terms to PubMed and return the search results. To save the search results into EPPI-Reviewer click on the **Import** button at the bottom of the Add Source window. Once saved, close the Add Source window.

3. You should now be back at the Review home screen. To create a coding tool select the **Codes** tab in the Toolbox panel. Click on the **Create new code set** icon to create a new coding tool.



You can create a new code set by clicking on the **Create new code set** icon at the top of the Codes tab of the Toolbox panel

A window will appear where you should select a **CodeSet Type**. For this example select **Screening**. Next, enter a name for your codeset, such as 'Screening criteria', for the coding tool.

New code set		23	
CodeSet Type	Screening 🔹)	
The screening codeset type has bee	Standard	Jing	
comparisons by restricting it to the	Screening		
Exclude and only allowing one lev	Administration		
CodeSet name Screening criteria			
Single user data entry? 🗹			
Save Cancel			

Click **Save** and the new tool will appear in the Codes tab. A code set contains a set of codes. To add codes to the code set, right click the new code and select the option **Add child code** to add a code to the code set. This will open the **Add / edit code** window.

ad / edit code	
Code name	Include based on title and abstract
Description	
Code type	Include
Code type Index number	Include Tinclude
Code type Index number	Include Include Exclude

In the window enter a name for one of your codes, such as 'Exclude on date' or 'Include based on title and abstract', the codetype depending on whether it is an include or exclude code and click on **Save**. Do the same for a few more child nodes to create a number of codes to apply to your references. Your code set may look similar to this when finished.



4. The items that you had previously imported for PubMed are displayed in the Documents tab of the Home panel. To apply your new codes to the references click on Go for the first reference in the Documents tab of the Home panel. This will open up the Document details window where the reference is displayed on the right side of the screen and the coding tools is displayed on the left hand side. Expand your new code set to reveal the individual codes and apply the codes for each reference. Coding a document means clicking in the checkboxes to assign a particular code to that reference. The program automatically saves your selection when you select a check box. Move through the references using the Next and Previous buttons until a number of references have been coded and then close the Documents details window.



5. Now that the references have been coded you can run a frequency report on the results. Select the Frequencies tab of the Home panel. Select the code set you wish to run the frequency report against and then click on Get frequencies. The frequencies will be displayed based on your coding along with access to the references.

Document	Search	Diagram	Frequencie	Crosstab	Report	Meta-analys	Collaborat	My info
Code						V	Count 🏹	Select
Exclude or	n date						5	Go
Exclude or	n study (design					3	Go
Include ba	ased on t	title and at	ostract				2	Go
Exclude or	n Geogra	aphical loca	ation				1	Go
Exclude or	n scope						1	Go

Now that you have started, try out many of the other functions and consult the user manual for further details.

Functionality

EPPI-Reviewer 4 is the EPPI-Centre's comprehensive online software tool for research synthesis. It is a web-based software program for managing and analysing data in literature review and has been developed for all types of systematic review such as meta-analysis, framework synthesis and thematic synthesis.

Systematic review

EPPI-Reviewer 4 has the functionality to help manage your systematic review through all stages of the process from bibliographic management, screening, coding and right through to synthesis.



It manages references, stores PDF files, facilitates qualitative and quantitative analyses and allows easy export of review data to enable use with other software programmes.

The software allows multiple concurrent users to access the system and being web-based allows members of a review group to be located in different geographic locations.

EPPI-Reviewer 4 supports many different analytic functions for synthesis including meta-analysis, empirical synthesis and qualitative thematic synthesis. It allows you to present your data in summary diagrams and customisable reports.

Recent additions to the software include text mining, data clustering, classification and term extraction which are leading to new possibilities in the field of systematic reviewing.

Functions

Its many functions include:

Reference management

- Managing the thousands of references that often result from comprehensive searches of electronic databases
- Importing references in a wide variety of 'tagged' formats

- Duplicate checking using 'fuzzy logic'. (Potential duplicates can be checked manually and / or automatically classified as duplicates, depending on how similar they are.)
- Document storage: store the original document file (such as pdf, doc etc) along with the study record.
- 'Linked documents': the 'unit of analysis' in a systematic review is usually the study, but there are often multiple publications originating from the same study; ER4 helps reviewers to use the correct 'unit'.
- Direct access to PubMed through web services. EPPI-Reviewer 4.0 makes use of this capability to allow direct searching and search result data transfer from PubMed.

Study classification and data extraction

- Flexible coding schemas for classifying studies:
 - Inclusion / exclusion / eligibility criteria;
 - Codes for descriptive 'mapping' of research activity.
 - o Codes to capture detailed information about a study.
- Concurrent multi-user classification: multiple users can classify studies independently and then compare their results; ER4 works throughout this process, producing summary discrepancy reports and an interface to facilitate the process of agreeing final decisions.
- Bulk application / removal of codes to selected studies
- Calculation of common measures of effect (odds ratios, risk ratios, risk differences, standardized mean differences, mean differences) from a variety of statistics (2 x 2 tables, means, standard deviations, confidence intervals, p, t and r values).
- Text mining: automatic term recognition and document clustering.

Synthesis

- Running meta-analyses (fixed and random effects models); calculating I-squared and supporting sub-group analyses using analog to the anova
- A powerful search engine enabling users to search by categories and text and combine searches using Boolean terms
- Producing reports of categorical, numeric and textual data in a wide variety of formats from frequency reports, crosstabs and full-text reports, to tabular summary reports and summary statistics of numeric data
- Text mining functionality. Automatic document clustering, using text mining, is one way of describing the range of studies you have identified at the click of a button. Text mining can assist with searching by identifying significant terms in the documents you have already included.
- Inductive coding functionality. This allows line by line coding of textual data and organising and structuring these codes graphically into 'conceptual relationship diagrams to display analytic and descriptive themes found through inductive coding.
- Fulltext reference searching using the uploaded pdfs.
- Diagrams to summarise e.g. qualitative syntheses and theories of change for interventions.

Review Management

- The ability to create an unlimited number of non-shareable reviews.
- Allocation of classification tasks (e.g. screening / data extraction) to individual users.
- Work progress reporting.
- Individual reviewer permissions (forthcoming)
- Review flow charts which update automatically (e.g. with counts of how many studies have been included / excluded according to which criterion).
- Easy export of review data to enable use with other software programmes and to enable long term independent storage of data.

Accessing EPPI-Reviewer 4

The login screen can be found at <u>http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/eppireviewer4/</u>.

Welcome to EPPI-Reviewer 4: software for systematic reviews			
User Name: Password:	Login Forgot Password?	Click Here	
		to create your Account	
Please visit the	EPPI-Reviewer 4 gateway at:		
	http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/	er4	
The Gateway contains: User manual, Account Manager, Forgotten Password facility, Export to RIS utility, Support Forums and much more. EPPI-Reviewer 4 How-To videos			
For Cochrane Authors: click HERE to logon with your Archie credentials			
Latest CHAN	IGES: Version: 4.5.0.0	Sep 28, 2015	
This release includes new statistical (meta-analysis) features, relying on the R statistical software and the Metafor package. Cochrane authors can now access EPPI-Reviewer via the new "logon via Archie" features. This system handles Read More			

You need a Username and Password to access the program. Enter your Username and password in the textboxes and then either hit the return key or click on the **Login** command button. If you see the message '**Invalid Login. Try again**' be sure you have entered your username and password correctly. The password is case sensitive.

If you have successfully logged in you will see all of the reviews that you have access to listed on the screen,

If you are not yet in a review the option to create a first non-shareable review is displayed. Enter a review name into the textbox and click on **New review**. You will now see the Review home screen.

Selecting your review

The reviews that you have access to are listed on the screen once you have logged in successfully. Find the review you wish to work on in the list and click on the command button labelled **Go** next to the review name.

Clicking on **Go** loads the documents for your review. EPPI-Reviewer will preload much of the information needed for working on your review during the 'Loading documents' stage so this step may take a few moments. Once this stage is complete you should be on the review home screen for your review. The review home screen is the starting point for many of the programs operations.

Review home screen

The review home screen provides access to many of the functions in EPPI-Reviewer. It is divided into two tabbed panels; the Home panel and the Toolbox panel.



The Home panel provides access to the references and many of the operations that you carry out on the references.

The Toolbox panel provides access to tools that prepare references for further operations.

Creating a new review

The user can create an unlimited number of non-shareable reviews. A non-shareable review contains only one reviewer; the person who set it up.

To create a new review click on the **My info** tab in the home panel. All existing reviews are listed in a table at the top of the panel. Click on the command button labelled **New review**. A window will appear where the user can enter the review name and select **Save**. The new review will now appear in the list of reviews.

To change the active review click on the command button labelled **Go** next to the review name. Click on the **Go** button to the left of the review name to change the active review.

Changing reviews

The user can change the active review through the **My info** tab in the Home panel. All existing reviews are listed at the top of the panel. To change reviews click on the **Go** button next to the review you wish to change to.

Access for Cochrane Authors

EPPI-Reviewer now includes an open-ended license for use in Cochrane reviews. Anyone registered as a Cochrane author (i.e. anyone with a registered account on Cochrane - <u>https://archie.cochrane.org/</u>) can logon to EPPI-Reviewer and gain almost unrestricted access to the software. As part of the Cochrane Author Support Tool (CAST - <u>http://tech.cochrane.org/our-work/cochrane-author-support-tool</u>) program, Cochrane Authors can now use EPPI-Reviewer, free of charge, for the following scenarios:

- Work on private non-shareable reviews.
- Work on reviews that are registered in Archie (provided that their Archie account has access to them and that they are not checked out elsewhere).
- Work on prospective Archie reviews.

The role of EPPI-Reviewer within the CAST program is to support the production of complex reviews, as well as develop and evaluate new reviewing methodologies. This means that a review in EPPI-Reviewer can be marked as a Prospective Cochrane review. This allows the reviewer to perform experimental/scoping/exploratory work in preparation of what is expected to become a new Cochrane review. To mark a review as a Prospective Cochrane review you will need to get in touch with us.

Licensing for non-Cochrane shared reviews remains unaffected. In such cases, both the review and the accounts used to work on it will still need to be licensed individually.

Logging on with Archie credentials for the first time

Please note that these instructions are for the **first time** that you logon to EPPI-Reviewer via Archie. For subsequent logons the details are listed further down in this document.

The EPPI-Reviewer logon screen includes a link for Cochrane Authors. Click on the link **click HERE to logon with your Archie credentials** to access the logon via Archie features

Welcome to EPPI-Reviewer 4: software for systematic reviews			
User Name: Password:		CENTRE	
	Login Forgot Password?	Click Here	
		to Create your Account	
Please visit the	EPPI-Reviewer 4 gateway at:		
	http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cr	ms/er4	
The Gateway contains: User manual, Account Manager, Forgotten Password facility, Export to RIS utility, Support Forums and much more. EPPI-Reviewer 4 How-To videos			
For Cochrane Authors: click HERE to logon with your Archie credentials			
Latest CHAN	GES: Version: 4.5.0.0	Sep 28, 2015	
This release includes new statistical (meta-analysis) features, relying on the R statistical software and the Metafor package. Cochrane authors can now access EPPI-Reviewer via the new "logon via Archie" features. This system handles Read More			

The following screen simply allows you to **Logon via Archie**. For this step to work, 'Pop-up' windows must be enabled in your browser for EPPI-Reviewer. Your browser will show an alert in case a Pop-Up is blocked. Please use the browser on-screen instructions to allow Pop-Ups, if needed.



The resulting Pop-Up will open a specific Archie page, please enter your Archie credentials.



After logging on, Archie will ask your specific permission to exchange data with EPPI-Reviewer on your behalf.



Consent Required

Do you want to enable EPPI-Reviewer to perform the following tasks on your behalf?

- Manage documents and reviews
- Manage person records



Clicking 'Accept' will close the window (some browsers may ask if that's OK). If your Archie logon details were entered correctly EPPI-Reviewer will show a new screen, allowing to decide how to use the verified Archie credential. Two options are available: users may link their Archie identity to an existing EPPI-Reviewer account or create a new one.

Linking Archie and EPPI-Reviewer Accounts			
Welcome to EPPI-Reviewer 4			
 You have successfully authenticated through Archie. However, your Archie Identity is not currently known to EPPI-Reviewer. In order to work properly, EPPI-Reviewer needs to establish a link between an EPPI-Reviewer account and your Archie credentials. This can be done in two ways: 1. Link an existing EPPI-Reviewer account to your Archie details. Please choose this option if you already have an EPPI-Reviewer account. You will be asked to log on again, using your EPPI-Reviewer username and password. In case you don't remember your EPPI-Reviewer details, you can retrieve your username and/or reset you password by clicking here. 2. Create a new EPPI-Reviewer account. Please choose this option only if you don't already have an EPPI-Reviewer account. If you have an Expired account, please do not choose this option: an expired account will work best with option 1. 			
Please nick your route below:			
Link to an exsisting account: 1. Proceed > (Preferred)			
Create new account: 2. Proceed >			

If you already have an EPPI-Reviewer account, please use the first option. It is best to only have one EPPI-Reviewer account that is used for all of your EPPI-Reviewer activities.

Picking the first option 'Link to an existing account' will send you to an additional logon page. This page allows you to certify your EPPI-Reviewer identity by logging on with your EPPI-Reviewer username and password.

Linking Archie and EPPI-Reviewer Accounts				
Please provide your EPPI-Reviewer username and password below :				
In case you don't remember your EPPI-Reviewer details, you can retrieve your username and/or reset you password by clicking <u>here</u> . Clicking "Re-Logon" below will allow EPPI-Reviewer to immediately verify your identity. Your Archie details will be linked with your EPPI-Reviewer account automatically. From then on you will be able to log on normally (EPPI-Reviewer credentials) or through Archie, indifferently.				
Username:				
Password:				
Back Re-Logon				

If you do not have an EPPI-Reviewer account you can pick the second option and create a new account by filling the form shown below.

Linking Archie and E	PPI-Reviewer Accounts			
Create new account:				
Please Fill all	the fields below:			
This will create	a new EPPI-Reviewer account and link the	new account to your	Archie Identity. After completing this	
procedure you	will be able to authenticate in EPPI-Review	er using Archie (as y	ou just did) or the credentials below.	
EPPI-Reviewer	functionalities will remain unchanged eithe	r way.		
Please note the	at in order to be able to use your EPPI-Revi	ewer credentials you	will need to confirm your email	
Address. After	r registering here you will receive an "Activation by confirming	ation" email, with a u	nique link.	
Clicking the lin	k will complete the activation by community	j that your Email add		
Frist Name		Last Name		
Username		The username must	be at least 4 characters long and unique.	
Email		Confirm Email		
Password		Confirm Password		
Passwords must be at least 8 characters and contain and at least one one lower case letter, one upper case letter, one				
digit and no spaces.				
□ Show password ✓ Send Newsletter? Check and Create				
Back Go back to previous choice Cancel Cancel and logout completely				

After successfully completing this step your list of reviews will be displayed.

Logging on with Archie credentials (after the first time)

These instructions apply once you have already linked your EPPI-Reviewer account with your Archie account. If this is the first time you are logging into EPPI-Reviewer via Archie please see the instructions earlier in this document.

Once your EPPI-Reviewer and Archie accounts have been linked, logging on in the normal way (EPPI-Reviewer credentials) or via Archie will yield the same results. Your lists of reviews will be loaded and shown. Archie accounts will show two list of reviews: the ones that are exclusively held in EPPI-Reviewer, and the reviews available on Archie.

Occasionally, in order to load the list of Archie reviews, EPPI-Reviewer will need to refresh your permissions to exchange data with Archie, and will show the following window:



Clicking OK will allow you re-authenticate on Archie (same procedure shown above), clicking Cancel will prevent EPPI-Reviewer from collecting the list of your Archie review. Therefore, in the latter case, you won't be able to access your Archie reviews from within EPPI-Reviewer. To solve this, reload the EPPI-Reviewer page and either log on via Archie or click "OK" when the dialog above is shown again.

Working with Archie reviews

Once logged on, two lists or reviews will be available, in two separate tabs. The "Archie Reviews" tab is shown below:

Please select revie	lease select review							
Reviews in EPPI-	Reviewer	Archie Reviews						
Select	Review		V	EPPI I 🏹	Archie CD	7		
Undo Check Out Open	Test revi	ew for EPPI 1		457			/ :	
Check Out Open	Test revi	ew for EPPI 2		460			/ 18	

Reviews that were never opened in EPPI-Reviewer will only show the "Check Out" button. Once a review has been checked out by EPPI-Reviewer at least once, the "Open" button will appear. EPPI-Reviewer will use Archie to fetch the list of reviews you have access to and will control the read-write access to each review based on its checked in/out status. The following rules apply:

- A review checked out by EPPI-Reviewer allows Read and Write access.
- All other states, not checked out, or checked out from Archie by some other tool (RevMan, Covidence) will be read-only in EPPI-Reviewer.

At the present time EPPI-Reviewer currently can't exchange data with Archie, RevMan or the Cochrane Registry of Studies (CRS), it only allows you to 'Undo Check Out'. Development to allow checking in of review data back to Archie/RevMan is in progress and will be available in future releases of EPPI-Reviewer. This documentation will be updated once that functionality is available.

If a review is checked back in while other Authors are logged on and working in the review, within 30 seconds the system will pick up the change (the review should now allow access only in Read-Only) and currently logged on users will be automatically be logged out.

Bringing references into your review

There are a number of different ways to bring references into your review. You can carry out searches on external databases and import the saved text file results into the program. For some external databases, such as PubMed you access the database using EPPI-Reviewer and load your references directly into the software. You can also enter references manually.

Importing text file search results

When you carry out a search on an external database, you can normally save the results of the search in a format that is appropriate for importing. The file to import must be in a tagged format. This means that each piece of information must be clearly labelled so an import filter can identify it. For example, the author information will normally be identified by a heading such as AU or Author. A typical tagged reference might look something like this:

AN: 343708-100300

TI: The five dimensions of social pedagogy within schools.

AU: KYRIACOU, C

AB: This paper discusses social pedagogy in the context of schools, which it defines as taking an integrated view of the whole child in terms of five key dimensions: care and welfare; inclusion; socialisation; academic support; and social education. Considers the implications for professional practice within schools if a social pedagogical approach is adopted and the advantages of such an approach.

DE: SOCIAL PEDAGOGUES, WORKING WITH CHILDREN, SCHOOLS, PUPILS, TEACHERS, PRACTICE, INTERAGENCY RELATIONS, INCLUSION, WELLBEING, SOCIAL SKILLS, ATTITUDES, BEHAVIOUR, PSHE, PERSONAL AND SOCIAL EDUCATION, HEALTH EDUCATION, PASTORAL CARE

SO: Pastoral Care in Education, vol.27, no.2 (Jun). pp101-108. 2009 PT: Journal Article YR: 2009 UP: 200910

Search results can exist in hundreds of different formats. Each database may be different but most should have some type of tagged format available.

To import your search results into EPPI-Reviewer select the Sources tab on the toolbox panel to access to the source and importing functions.

Data is imported into a search 'source' to create a log of searches that have been carried out. At the top of the Sources tab of toolbox panel you can see a table. Each time a new source is imported it will be added to this table.

You can reach the Add Source window by clicking on the **Import references** icon at the top of the Documents tab of the Home panel

You can also add a new source by clicking on the link labelled **Manage sources / save new** in the sources tab. This will open the **Add source** window.

In the Add source window be sure the Import New tab is selected.

Select the appropriate import filter from the dropdown menu labelled **Import Filter**. Although there are only a few import filters available in EPPI-Reviewer 4 you have access to hundreds of filters through the 'Export to RIS function' on the EPPI-Reviewer 4 gateway (<u>http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/er4</u>) that is available through the EPPI-Centre website (<u>http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk</u>). These are all of the filters that were created for EPPI-Reviewer 3.0. The 'Export to RIS' utility

will take your file and return an RIS formatted file that will import easily into EPPI-Reviewer 4. Full instructions on using this utility are available on the 'Export to RIS' page.

Now click on the **Read file** button and choose the text file containing your search results. The name of the file selected will appear in the Name box. The software will load the file and separate it into individual references. The references titles are displayed in the text box in the bottom half of the page.

If you decide that the items displayed appear correct click on the command button labelled **Upload items** at the bottom of the screen and the items will be uploaded to your review. Close the **Add source** window to return to the Review home screen where you items will be listed in the Documents tab of the Home panel.

Importing directly from external databases

Some databases such as PubMed allow software to access their data directly. EPPI-Reviewer allows you to connect to this database directly to run your search and return your search results.

Select the Sources tab on the toolbox panel to access to the source and importing functions. Click on the link labelled 'Mange sources / save new'. This will open the Add source window.

In the Add source window be sure the **PubMed Import** tab is selected.

Enter you search terms into text box labelled **Search PubMed**. You should also enter data in the **Source Name** and **Notes** boxes. Now click on the command button labelled **Search PubMed** to run your search. The system will access PubMed and show the results in the box at the bottom of the screen.

If you are satisfied with the results click on the command button labelled Import and the items will be uploaded to your review. Close the **Add source** window to return to the Review home screen where you items will be listed in the documents tab of the Home panel.

Manually adding references

References can be entering individually by hand. On the Documents tab of the home panel there is a **Create new document record** icon. Click on this button to go open the **Document details** window.



This is the **Create new documents record** icon that can be found at the top of the Documents tab of the Home panel.

First select the publication type by picking from the **Pub type** drop down menu. The fields available to fill in will change based on the publication type selected.

Fill in as many fields as possible and then click on the command button labelled **Save**. The item is saved to your review and you are returned to the Review home screen.

Uploading full text documents

You can upload and access the full text version of a document in EPPI-Reviewer. Any type of file can be uploaded. Word and pdf files, when uploaded will have their content extracted into a text format.

To upload a file, select the reference from the Documents tabs of the Home panel. This will open the Documents details window. In the Citation details tab, near the bottom is a table where any uploaded files are displayed. Click on the button labelled **Upload**. You can now browse to the location of the file to upload. Select the file and click

Open. The Selected file window will be visible where you can click on Upload. This will upload the file into the review.

There is a file size limit of 15 Mbytes. Files larger than this will need to be subdivided or reduced in size before they can be uploaded.

You should now see it in the table of uploaded files. In the 'View text' column clicking on **Go!** will open the textual content of the document and display it in the 'Text document' tab. Clicking in the View original column will open the document in its native format. This may be in a new tab or window depending on the document type.

If the document is a pdf you can click in the 'View pdf' column. The pdf will open within EPPI-Reviewer 4.



In this view you can also add annotations to the document using the commands at the top of the screen. PDF annotations are short comments that reviewers may add to a PDF document. They should not be used to hold coding information as they cannot be searched, exported or included in reports.

To add an annotation click the **Show Annotations** button to display the annotations column. Now double click in the column where you want the annotation to display. This will open the 'New Annotation' window where you can enter you comment and then click **Save**. The annotation will be displayed in the semi-transparent right hand column.



Double clicking an existing annotation will allow you to edit or delete it. Annotations can be dragged up and down within a page but cannot be moved to a new page.

When the annotations are visible the annotations button will change to **Hide Annotations**. Clicking on the annotations button now will hide the annotations column.

Extracting references from uploaded documents

If you have uploaded full text documents that contain a reference list, EPPI-Reviewer will help you extract the references and carry out searches for those references.

For example, if you have uploaded an article in pdf format, click on **Go!** in the 'View text' column of the list of uploaded files. The textual content of the file is displayed in the Text document tab. Select the text that you wish to extract the reference from. This is often at the end of the pdf. Right click and select **Send Selection to Reference Search**. The selected text is now displayed in the Reference Search tab and to the right of it the separated references are displayed. You may need to edit the text to get the references to separate correctly. There is a help screen available on this page giving tips on how best to use this feature. To show the help screen click on the button labelled **?** near the top of the reference list.

Once you are satisfied with the reference separation, you can run searches for the items in Google, Bing, PubMed and ROAR (Registry of Open Access Repositories) by selecting a reference and clicking on the links below the references. There may be multiple links for each place that you can search. This means that the system is using different variations of the content of the reference to carry out the search.

Managing your search sources

Each time a new import occurs a line is added to the list of sources. This list is displayed on in the Sources tab. This table shows the all of the sources, the number of items imported, the number of deleted items and the number of duplicates identified using the duplicated checking functions.

Manually created items are given a line in the table as well. All manually created items are placed in the source called *Manually create items*.

		Name 🖓	Items	Deleted Ite	Duplicate
List		20 items from ER3.txt	20	0	0
List		PubMed 2/23/2011 14:06	100	0	1
List		csaresults.ris	48	0	0
List	8	EBSCOhost.txt	50	0	0
List	0	PubMed 2/23/2011 16:20	50	0	5
List	8	export embase.ris	142	0	0
List		Manually Created Items	0	0	0
TOTALS:		Sources: 7	410	0	6

You can edit the details of a source by clicking on the **Manage source / Add new** button in the Sources tab of the Toolbox panel. This will take you to the Add Source window.

Select the source you would like to edit from the Used Sources List on the right side of the window. Then click on the Manage Sources tab at the top left side of the window.

There are a number of text boxes available where you can enter further information about search. When you are finished your edits be sure to select the **Save** button just below the Manage Sources tab.

You can export a list of your search sources in the sources tab of the Toolbox panel. Next to the **Manage source /** Add new button is the **Export Sources Table** icon.

The Export Sources Table icon can be found at the top of the Sources tab of the
Toolbox panel.

Clicking on the icon will open a Save As window where you can select the location and name of the file to save. The file will be save as an .xls file that can be opened in Excel.

Deleting a search source

Quite often a reviewer may refine their search strategy resulting in searches being re-run. This could result in uploaded search sources that are no longer relevant and require removal.

To remove a search source first mark it as deleted in the Sources tab of the Toolbox panel by clicking on the delete source icon, shaped like a garbage can, next to the source name. This will mark the items in the source as deleted but not permanently remove them.

To undelete a search source click on the undelete source icon, shaped like a return arrow, next to the source name. All items in that source will change from delete to include.

To permanently remove the source and all of the items in it, click on the Manage Sources / Add New button at the top of the Sources tab. This will open the Add Source window. Select the Manage Sources tab on the left hand side. Now select the source to permanently remove in the Used Sources List. If the source has already been marked as Deleted and none of the items in the source are marked as Master items after duplicate checking it will be eligible for permanent deletion. If it is eligible you should see a red X in the Add Source window. Click on the X and a confirmation window will appear. Clicking on Yes will delete the source and all of the items in that source. If you click on Yes the deletion cannot be undone so please be sure are deleting the correct source.

Managing your documents

Documents that are imported or manually created are displayed in the Documents tab of the home panel. By default the Authors, Title and Year fields are displayed. If you wish to change the fields displayed click on the **Select the fields you want to display below** icon that can be found at the top of the Documents tab



The **Select the fields you want to display below** icon can be found at the top of the Documents tab of the Home panel.

This will open the Select fields to display window. Click on the fields you would like to display and then click on Close.

rtin	Select fields to display	- O X	ia
rset		2	fo
bot		Document ID	ta
diaı		Your document ID	IS
elso i Ka		✓ Authors	a
ou-		🗹 Title	ra
ke I		Journal	10
ach		Short title	
am		Document type	s
am		🖌 Year	0
el-F	Maximum no of rows	700	ig
jem	n ne na seu se da la secondada da da consecuencia da 22.000 km	Close	20

By default the documents are loaded 700 items at a time. If there are more than 700 documents in your review, they will be paged. You can change pages at the bottom of the Documents tab. You can change the number of documents in a page in the Select fields to display window.

In the documents tab you can also order the fields by dragging the header columns left or right in the table.

You can change the order of items on a page by clicking on the column name in the Documents tab. If you click on the 'Authors' column it will order by Authors. If you click on the 'Title' column it will order by Title.

You can filter what is displayed by typing in the **Find:** textbox at the top of the Documents tab. As you type in the box the items in the table will filter down in real time based on what you type.

You can also filter what is displayed on each page by clicking on the filter icon (the one that looks like a funnel) in the header of each column. This is a very powerful filter that gives you a number of options of what to display. It is column specific.

The ordering and filtering are page specific so if you have more that 700 items you will need to move to the correct page and then run any filtering or reordering functions.

I, E and D flags

Items in EPPI-Reviewer can be labelled as Included (I), Excluded (E) or Deleted (D). When a review is loaded all of the items with the (I) flag are displayed in the Documents tab.

Included (I) or Excluded (E) items do not necessarily refer to the screening criteria applied to an item. Whether an item is included or excluded from your review could depend on a number of different judgements spread across

many different codesets. The I or E flags are a method of indicating an items status and aid in information management.

For example, a user may have many thousands of items that require screening. The process of screening might narrow the items for further coding to hundreds and further coding might reduce the number of items to dozens. The user can dynamically change what items are considered included or excluded to help identify the items they are presently working with. The items considered included or excluded might change often based on the reviewer's requirements at that time.

By default, documents brought into a review are marked as (I) unless the user decides otherwise. All includes items can be displayed by clicking on the **List included documents** icon.

	The List included documents icon can be found at the top of the Documents tab of the
	Home panel.

The documents marked as Excluded or Deleted can be listed by clicking on the corresponding icons.

	1.1	-			
	-		-		
	-	-	-		
	_				
	_	_	-	-	

The **List excluded documents** icon can be found at the top of the Documents tab of the Home panel

D:	The List deleted documents icon can be found at the top of the Documents tab of the
EV::	Home panel.

You can mark an item with an Include or Exclude flag based on previously assigned codes or by selecting individual items.

Changing an items Include / Exclude / Delete flag

To mark an item or items as being included based on previously assigned codes click on the icon labelled **Assign documents to be included or excluded**.



The **Assign documents to be included or excluded** icon can be found at the top of the Documents tab of the Home panel.

This will open the Assign documents dialog window.

educatic Assign doo	cuments			23
es amor	Assign	documents with this code		•
ures an		Evo 1		-
active		LAC I		
graft tra	Assign as	Included O Excluded		
ragmeni		Assign	Cano	el
ect scap		Assign		

From the Assign dropdown menu select the documents with this code option.

A second dropdown menu will appear containing the codesets. You can expand the codesets to find the conditional code for the assignment.

You should now click on Include or Exclude in the dialog window depending on the assignment you wish to make. Finally click on the Assign button. All the items marked with the selected code will be assign the Include or Exclude flag depending on the choice made.

If you wish to just assign selected items with a code, first click on the items to assign in the Documents tab. Then click on the **Assign documents to be included or excluded** icon to open the **Assign documents** dialog window. In the Assign dropdown menu select **selected documents** and click on either Included or Excluded. Now click on **Assign** and the Exclude or Include flag will be assigned to the selected documents.

If you wish to mark selected items as deleted, first click on the items to delete in the Documents tab. Now click on the **Delete selected documents** icon. A confirmation window will appear allowing you to complete the operation

The **Delete selected documents** icon can be found at the top of the Documents tab of the Home panel.

An item marked as delete is still in the review. Deleted items can be listed by clicking on the **List deleted documents** icon. Its status can be changed back to Include or Exclude in the normal fashion described above.

Items can also be marked as Deleted when the search source it belongs to is deleted. When a search source is deleted, it is not physically deleted. It remains in the review but is just shown as deleted allowing it to be recovered at any time. Undeleting a source will mark all of its items as includes. Sources can be deleted, or recovered in the Sources tab of the Toolbox panel.

You may also notice that all items in a deleted source are marked as Shadow (S) rather than I, E or D. This means that the item is out of the review but still exists and can be returned to the review if necessary. Duplicate items are also marked as Shadow (S).

Creating reference lists

To create a reference list, select the items that will be in the list. Then click on the **List formatted bibliography** icon at the top of the Documents tab.

- 0	
<u> </u>	-

The **List formatted bibliography** icon can be found at the top of the Documents tab of the Home panel.

Clicking on the icon will display the formatted references in the Report viewer window. The Report viewer window is a full editor that will allow the user to format, save and print the list of references.

Exporting documents

To export references out of EPPI-Reviewer 4, select the references you want to export in the Documents tab. Then click on the **Export references** icon at the top of the Documents tab.

->	The Export references icon can be found at the top of the Documents tab of the Home	
	panel.	l

An RIS formatted text file is generated and you will be prompted to name and save it. RIS files can be imported into most reference management software including EndNote, Procite, Reference Manager and EPPI-Reviewer.

Managing duplicate documents

If you are importing documents from multiple databases you will likely have duplicate versions of some documents. EPPI-Reviewer will help identify and manage those duplicates.

If possible, it is a good idea to finish the importing of your references before carrying out duplicate checking. This will avoid you having to check for duplicates multiple times whenever new items are brought into the review and will avoid the creation of overlapping duplicate groups.

As well, it is best to finish duplicate checking before you start coding items to avoid the situation of coded items being marked as duplicates.

To identify duplicate documents click on the **Manage duplicates** icon located on the Documents tab of the Home panel.

	1.00		
1000	1		

This is the **Manage duplicates** icon that can be found at the top of the Documents tab of the Home panel.

This will bring up the Manage Duplicate Groups window. From this window click on the **Get new duplicates** button to list all of the possible duplicates.

D	ocumer Manag	nts Search ge Duplicate	Diaarams Frequencies Crosstab e Groups	s Re	eports Me	eta-a	analvsis C	ollaborate N	1v info
s	07	Grouj 🏹	Title 🗸	Dup	olicates Ma	in	Manual/Ad	vanced	
		433	The influence of pets (Hurley)	Re	efresh list		Get new	duplicates	Mark Automatically
		434	Marbacher (2010)	MASTER The influence of pete on infants' proce					infanta' and an in a
	1	435	Liska (2010)	Title:					infants processing of
		436	Thany (2010)	Author(s): Hurley KB ; Kovack-Lesh KA ; Oakes LM ;				A;Oakes LM;	
	1	437	Breitschwerdt (2010)	Jou Titl	ournal/Book itle:				nent
					Date:	Date: August			
					Applied Codes:	0			
				23-03-02	Checked'	V	Id 🏹	Title	
-				>			2982	The influence	e of pets on infants' pro

When you click on this button the system goes through all the items in the review and compares each item with all of the others. It calculates a score based on the authors and titles, book authors and titles, when applicable, and journal names, when applicable. If the score is 1, the chance of an exact match is 100%. The possible duplicates are grouped together into duplicate groups that are displayed on the left side of the screen. Each group holds items that the system believes are similar.

Once this list of duplicate groups is created you can begin working with it. You should only need to click on the **Get new duplicates** button when new items are added to your review.

If your review has many thousands of items, it may take a few minutes to complete this operation. In this case, after 5 minutes you will get a message telling you that the system is still compiling your list. You will not be able to access the duplicate checking screen during this time but you will still be able to access the rest of the program.



If the user clicks on the button labelled **Mark Automatically**, the program will mark all of items with a score of 1 as checked and assign a duplicate / master relationship among the items based on the statistics calculated when the **Get new duplicates** operation was first run. This should narrow down the number of duplicate groups that the user needs to check manually. Something to watch out for with this operation is that it will ignore items that have a code assigned to it or have a file uploaded. This is to avoid the situation where an item that has already been coded ending up as a duplicate.

When a group of possible duplicates are marked as complete it will be indicated in the list of item groups on the left hand side by having a check in the checkbox. To identify the groups of items that still need to be addressed you can filter the Complete column by clicking on the filter icon (the one that looks like a funnel) and setting the **Is equal to**

option to **False**. This results in only the unchecked items appearing in the list. You can also order the checkbox column so all of the unchecked items are displayed first.

To manually deal with a duplicate group, click on the row in the list of groups. This will display the items on the right side of the page. At the top the Master item is listed. Underneath are the possible duplicates.

Click on the title in one of the items in the list of possible duplicates to expand it.



Determine whether the expanded item is a duplicate of the master item.

Whenever a field (Title, Journal, Authors, etc.) is different, the text on the master item will be highlighted with four different background hues to help quickly identify the differences. The brighter the hue, the larger the difference detected. This means that items without highlights are identical to the master.

If a field is empty in either the master or group member, it will be highlighted with a lighter hue to show that there is a slight difference. If a field is different then it will be highlighted in a darker hue.

If it is a duplicate, click on the **A duplicate** button to mark it. The check boxes labelled **This is a duplicate** and **This item has been checked** will now be ticked. It will also show as having been checked in the list of groups on the left hand side

If the two items are not duplicates click on the **Not a duplicate** button. This action will also check the **This item has been checked** box.

If you wish to make the possible duplicate the master item click on the **Mark as Master** button and the duplicate and master will switch places. You will still need to mark the initial master as a duplicate of the new master.

Move through each row in the list of duplicate groups table assigning the items as duplicates or not duplicates.

If you are duplicate checking after coding has started, pay attention to the **Applied Codes** field. It will tell you if the document has been coded yet. This will help you determine which item you should mark it as the duplicate. If an item has already been coded you may wish to keep it as the master item and mark other items as duplicates of this one.

If you come across a group where all of the items are greyed out this means that the master item for the group has also been marked as a duplicate in a different group. This is possible if new items have been added to the review and the Get new duplicates function has been run multiple times. An item can end up in more than one duplicate group depending on the information contained in the reference. In this case, the user should choose a different item to be the master item. Please see the section on <u>Overlapping duplicate groups</u>.

If you are returning to the duplicate function after previously running the duplicate check but not having finished you should first click on the **Refresh list** button. This will bring up the list as you left off the previous time without rerunning the duplicate checking function. You can then carry checking duplicates where you left off.

If you are returning to the duplicate function after uploading more documents you should click on the **Get new duplicates** button. This will look for new possible duplicates but will not affect any duplicate checking that you have already done.

After completing the duplicate checking close the Duplicates window. On the Documents tab click on the **List included documents** icon and the items marked as duplicates will be removed from the list.

When an item is marked as a duplicate it will no longer show up in the review. It has not been deleted and can still be retrieved if necessary. A duplicate is assigned a special flag called Shadow (S). You can see the shadow items if you list a source on the Sources tab of the Toolbox panel. Rather than just seeing I, E or D flags, there will also be S flags for the items in the source that have been marked as duplicates.

Manually adding a duplicate

If you find a duplicate item that was not picked up by the Get new duplicates you can manually add it to a group. In the manage duplicates window there is a **Manual/Advance** tab. When you click on this tab the items in the duplicate group selected on the left is displayed. At the bottom of the screen is the list of items that were displayed in the Duplicates tab.

Select an item from this list by clicking on the checkbox and then click on the **Add selected item(s)** link at the top of the list. The selected item will be added to the group and marked as a duplicate. All items manually added as duplicates are listed below the item details in this tab. An item can be removed from this list by clicking on the **Remove** button next to the item.

Title 🗸	Duplicates Main Manual/Advanced							
Salo (2011)	MASTER	Allergy-related outcomes in relation to serum IgE: Results from						
Liu (2010)	Author(s):	Salo Păivi M M; Calatroni Agustin ; Gergen Peter J J; Hoppin Jane A A; Jaramillo Renee ; Arbes Samuel J SJ Jr; Zeldin Darryl C C;						
Garden (2010)								
Gandolfi (2010)		1224547	Allergy-related outcomes in relation to serum IgE: Results fro					
Bal (2009)								
Hsu (2010)								
	4							
	Manually add	ed Items	52					
	Manually add Remove 1564	ed Items	s: ir P M. Pine C.M. Burnside G. Familial and cultural perceptions					
	Manually add Remove 1564	ed Items 1892 Ada	s: ir P M. Pine C.M. Burnside G. Familial and cultural perceptions					
	Manually add Remove 1564 Documents fr	ed Items 1892 Ada	s: ir P M. Pine C.M. Burnside G. Familial and cultural perceptions					
	Manually add Remove 1564 Documents fr	ed Items 1892 Ada om Main	s: ir P M. Pine C.M. Burnside G. Familial and cultural perceptions List [Add Selected Item(s)] [Add Group] [Advanced M M. Pine C Familial and cultural perceptions and beliefs of oral					

At the top of the Documents from Main List there are other options including Add Group and Advanced Mark Automatically.

Add Group allows the user to take the items from one duplicate group and add them to a different duplicate group.

7	Manage Duplicate Groups										
S	οV	Grouj 🏹	Title	•	7	Duplicates M	ain 🛛	Manual/Advanced			
		6182	Akter (2010)			MASTER	Effect of blanching and drying temperatu				
1111	1	6302	Akter (2010)			ntie:	related parameters of dried persimmons				
				Author(s)	Akter Mst Sorifa S; Ahmed Maruf ; Eun Jong-						
							7531	19 Effect of blanching and drying temper			
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			1	Add Group					23		
	Please enter the 'Group ID' you wish to add. All items contained in the group you'll indicate will be added to the currently selected Group.								d to		
				Add Items from this group: 6302 to group 6182							
						GO!					

Click on the Add Group link at the top of the list and an Add Group box will appear. Enter the group ID from the list on the left side of the screen and click on Go. The items from selected group will be placed in the group listed at the top of the screen.

The Advanced Mark Automatically option allows the user to adjust a number of thresholds that the Mark Automatically function considers.

70	-												
s	07	Grouj 🏹	Title 🗸	Duplicate	s Main	Manual/A	Advanced						
		63801	Burnley (1842)	MAST		CR amplifi	ication of	Bartonel	lla koehlerae	from human b	lood and	enrichment bloo	
-		63802	Disclosure and sickle (Dyson)	litle	· -								
		63803	Keshavarz (1467)	Author(s):		(s): Breitschwerdt EB ; Maggi RG ; Mozayeni BR ; Hegarty BC ; Bradley JM ; Mascarelli PE ;							
h		63804	Breitschwerdt (2010)		2	36527 PCR	R amplifica	tion of Ba	Bartonella koehlerae from human blood and enrichment b				
		63805	Hurley (2010)	(Advan	ced Auto A	ssian				57		
		63806	Knell (2010)		Please	e enter the	e thresho	ld values	with care:		~~~~		
		63807	Arria (2010)		Auto Assignement cannot be undone.								
h		63808	Montejo (2010)		Too much threshold adjustment could result in incorrectly marked duplic			luplicates.	25.				
				Manually		:	Similarity	I nresnoid:	: 1.00 🖵 (1	Default = 1.00)			
				Remove			Codes	Threshold:	: 0 📮 ([Default = 0)		condition of the is	
				[comove]	U	Iploaded Do	ocuments	Threshold	: 0 📫 ([Default = 0)			
								Mark Au	tomatically				
				9								<i>y</i>	

The Similarity Threshold tells the system how close a match must be for the Mark Automatically function to use. By default the setting is 1.0. If the threshold is lowered the sensitivity of the matching will be lowered.

Note: Adjusting the Similarity threshold must be used with caution as it could lead to incorrect matches. Correcting false positive matches can be difficult as you may not know they exist and finding them may require looking through all of the duplicate groups.

The Codes threshold and the Uploaded Documents threshold allow coded items and items with uploaded documents to be considered by the Mark Automatically function. By default this function will ignore items that have been coded and items that have uploaded documents.

Overlapping duplicate groups

When **Get new duplicates** is run multiple times due to new items entering the review it is possible that overlapping duplicate groups are created. This means that the same item may appear in multiple groups. Since the master item is chosen automatically when **Get new duplicates** is run, new and larger versions of existing groups may appear. Sorting out these overlapping groups can sometimes become complicated.

An overlap group can be identified as it will normally contain a greyed out group member (meaning the **A duplicate**, **Not a duplicate** and **Mark as Master** are greyed out). This means that this item is either

- marked as a master in a different group or
- is already marked as a duplicate in a different group.
| Manag | e Duplicate | e Groups | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------|---------------------|---|---|---|--|--------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|--|
| 07 | Grouj 🏹 | Title 🔭 🗸 | • | Du | plicates Ma | ain | Manual/Ad | vanced | | | |
| | 5725 | Ann (2011) | | | - for a la li a la | | Catheren | م م الم الم | Maula Automa | | |
| | 5761 | Aguilar Shea (2011) | | R | erresn list | | Get new | duplicates | Mark Automa | tically /1 | |
| | 5998 | Ahn (2010) | | | MASTER
Title: | Effect of blanching and drying temperatures on t
related parameters of dried persimmons peel po | | | | | |
| | 5681 | Aho (2010) | | | Author(s): | Akt | er Mst Sori | fa S; Ahme | d M ; Eun Jong-B | ang B; | |
| | 6075 | Akintobi (2010) | | Jou | urnal/Book | Inte | ernational i | ournal of fo | od sciences and | nutrition | |
| \checkmark | 6182 | Akter (2010) | | Tit | le: | 201 | 0. Ostakan | | | | |
| | 6302 | Akter (2010) | | | Date: | 201 | U October | | | | |
| | 5993 | Alexander (2010) | | | Applied
Codes: | 0 | | | | | |
| | 6185 | Alexander (2010) | | - | Checked' | V | V bi | Title | | | |
| | 5708 | Al-Khashan (2011) | | | | | 75189 | Effect of b | lanching and dry | ing tempera | |
| | 6306 | Al-Khashan (2011) | | | Refere | nce | 75189() | Similarit | y score: 0.96134 | 3831653595 | |
| | 6257 | Al-Kubaisi (2010) | | Pub type Journal, Article - Source: PubMed Search on 2/15 | | | | | | | |
| | 6303 | Al-Kubaisi (2010) | | Author(s) Akter Mst Sorifa S; Ahmed Maruf ; Eun Jong-Bar | | | | | | n Jong-Bang | |
| | 6307 | Al-Kubaisi (2010) | | | Title Effect of blanching and drying temperatures on th | | | | | | |
| | 5657 | Allen (2010) | | | | | Internatio | nal journal | of food sciences | and nutrition | |
| | 6235 | Allen (2010) | | | Mar | k as | A dupl | icate | Not a duplicate | Mark as M | |
| | 6304 | Allen (2010) | | | | | 75319 | Effect of b | lanching and dry | ing tempera | |
| | 6002 | Al-Makadma (2010) | | | | | 76019 | Effect of b | lanching and dry | ing tempera | |
| | | | | 100000 | | | | 2.1000 01 0 | and dry | ing compore | |

ocuments | Search | Diagrams | Freguencies | Crosstabs | Reports | Meta-analysis | Collaborate | My info

Sometimes the entire group is greyed out. This would indicate that the master item for this group is marked as a duplicate in a different group.

Manag	ge Duplicat	e Groups	0.000											
C V	Grouj 🏹	Title	7	•	Dup	plicates Mair	n N	/anual/Ad	vanced					
1	6212	Adams (2010)			D	efrech list	ſ	Get new	duplicates	Mark Automatically	711 group			
1	5723	Afifi (2011)					(Get new	dupilcates	Mark Automatically	, II group			
1	5761	Aguilar Shea (2011)				Title: r	related parameters of dried persimmons peel powder							
1	5998	Ahn (2010)				Author(s): A	Akter Mst Sorifa S; Ahmed Maruf ; Eun Jong-Bang B;							
1	5681	Aho (2010)			Journal/Book		300k International journal of food sciences and nutrition							
1	6075	Akintobi (2010)							Date: 2	010	Novembe	er.		
	6182	Akter (2010)				Applied		Novembe						
1	6302	Akter (2010)				Codes:								
×	5993	Alexander (2010)				Checked'	7	Id 🏹	Title					
1	6185	Alexander (2010)			>			75319	Effect of bla	inching and drying tem	peratures or			
1	5708	Al-Khashan (2011)												
	6306	Al-Khashan (2011)												

The best way to deal with overlapping groups is to:

1. Select the group with the greyed out items from the list of groups on the left

- 2. Go to the Manual/Advanced tab and use the 'find related groups' function to identify the overlapping groups. This function is described in the previous section.
- 3. Among the related list of overlapping groups, there should be one group that contains all of the items found in the other related groups. This will normally be the group with the highest Group ID number (the most recently created group). You can examine the items in each group to confirm this.
- 4. Delete all of the other related groups. This is done by selecting the group to delete over on the left and clicking on the trash can icon (labelled Delete the current group).
- 5. You should now just have a single group of duplicates rather than overlapping groups.

Please note also that with the previous update we have added the "Reset" features to deal with situations where a huge number of overlapping groups are present. The "Reset" features are explained within EPPI-Reviewer and should be used with care!

Create a new duplicate group

If the **Get new duplicates** function has not spotted some items as being duplicated you can create a new group and add items to it.

On the Manual/Advanced tab there is an icon that looks like a blue addition symbol. It is labelled 'Add new group'. If you click on this icon the **Create New Group** window will appear.

Create New Group, Sen	501 01	oup Ment	7613	8				
Please select group m	nemb	ers.						
This screen allows you to select the items that		1224381	Arda-Pirincci Peli	The role of glucagon-like peptide-2 on apoptosis, cell proliferation, and oxida				
will belong to the new		1224378	Arun Renganatha	Role of Syzygium cumini seed extract in the chemoprevention of in vivo genc				
This should include		1564820	Asadi-Pooya A A	Drug compliance of children and adolescents with epilepsy.				
the 'Master item' and all items you wish to		1224497	Asin K E; Bednar	A-71623, a selective CCK-A receptor agonist, suppresses food intake in the n				
mark as its duplicates.		1224516	Atack John R; Mi	In vitro and in vivo properties of 3-tert-butyl-7-(5-methylisoxazol-3-yl)-2-(1-				
You can select items in two ways:		1564855	Ateah C A. Durra	Maternal use of physical punishment in response to child misbehavior: Implic				
1) coloct two or more		1224502	Auchampach Joh	Characterization of the A[sub]2B[/sub] adenosine receptor from mouse, rabb				
items from the current		1224481	Author not founc	Methods Needed to Curb Rising Pet Population				
items list.		1224455	Author not founc	Multi-Species Genome Comparison Sheds New Light on Evolutionary Processe				
2) type the comma		1564809	Axelsson L Ander	Work ethics and general work attitudes in adolescents are related to quality c				
separated list of two or more Item IDs in the		1224389	Azevedo Luciana	In vivo antimutagenic properties of transgenic and conventional soybeans.				
more item IDs in the Image: Second Secon								
Enter Item IDs list:				Next >				

All of the items that were displayed on the Documents tab before you entered the duplicate checking screen are displayed. You can click on the items that should be in the group or enter the ID's of the items in the box below. Then click on the **Next** button.

	Please selec The system h If an item app groups (secon You can go ba	t the as au pears nd co ack t	e correct Masi utomatically sel s in some other olumn "Close ar o the "items se	ter and review the g lected a Master Item, group, it might be be id Show Related Group lection" screen by clic	you can char you can char tter to use th ps"). king "Back".	nge hat The	s. it b gro e no	by oup	clicking the "Make Master" I p instead. You can find the r a at the bottom of this page	but elat pro	ton ed	(s). groups by clicking on the number of relate es some additional (dynamic) guidance.	ed					
Z	Master Iter	n:	ch + Title					T	-									
2	1224378	0	Arun (2010)			3	0		Comments: This Item does not belong to	o ar	ıy ç	group, you can use it as a master.	•					
	ID			Short Title	Title					Comments:		Comments:						
	1224381	0	Make Master	Arda-Pirincci (2010)	0) The role of gl) The role of glu) The role of		cag	on	n-like peptide-2 on apoptosi	3	0	This Item does not belong to any group, you can use it as a master.	
	1564892	0	Make Master	Adair (2004)	Familial and cultural perceptions and beliefs			l perceptions and beliefs of	0	0	This Item does not belong to any group, you can use it as a master.							
											~							

You should now decide which item should be the Master item and which items are the duplicates. The system will tell you is any of the items are already in a duplicate group. Clicking in the second column will close the window and show the related groups the item is in. You could also select the Back button at the bottom of the screen and unselect the item that is already in a group.

One you are satisfied with your selection click on the **Finish** button to create the new group. It can now be treated as any other duplicate group.

Resetting the duplicate checking

If a user has decided that what they have done while duplicate checking was all wrong and they wish to start again there is a reset option in the Manual/Advanced tab. When clicking on the Reset ling the user is presented with two options.

Delete Duplicate Data	X
You can delete all duplicate groups and keep This will give you a fresh start to re-evaluat Note that documents already marked as dug 1) When you 'Get new Duplicates' you shoul 2) Overlapping groups will not show up agai 3) Information about the old groups will be If you are really sure that you want to proce	information about documents already marked as duplicates. duplicates without losing the work you've done already. licates will not be re-evaluated, and this will have a few consequences: d get a smaller number of groups as all 'completed' groups should not reappear. to; they may re-appear only if you import new items and 'get new duplicates' once more. OST! You will not be able to find out the similarity scores of items you have already marked as due ad, type 'I agree' in the box below and click 'Yes, I confirm'.
	Yes, I confirm
Alternatively, you can delete all duplicate infi This will give you a fresh start to re-evaluate Note that documents already marked as dup You might want to proceed with this rather 1) You have used the 'Advanced Mark Auton In this case, deleting all de-dup data and sta 2) You have a large number of overlapping of Getting a 100% fresh start will eliminate over If you are really sure that you want to proce	rmation, effectively erasing all information. duplicates in case you believe what you have done so far is likely to be wrong. icates will reappar. adical choice in case: atically' feature with too permissive thresholds and you have marked as duplicates too many false rting over again is likely to be faster than manually looking for errors. roups and you have not invested a lot of time in manually evaluating groups. rlapping groups and allow you to re-run the automatic marking procedure with little waste of time ad, type 'Confirm' in the box below and click 'Yes, wipe all'.
	Yes, Wipe All

The first option allows you to delete all duplicate groups but still keep the information about documents already marked as duplicates. This gives you the options to re-evaluate the duplicates without losing the work that you've already done. When you **Get new duplicates** after resetting you will have a much smaller number of duplicate

groups as all 'completed' duplicate groups will not appear. Overlapping groups will not appear unless further imports take place and **Get new duplicates** is then multiple times. While the system will know what items are marked as duplicates (and what items are their masters) any information but the older 'completed' duplicate groups is now lost.

To run this option you will need to enter I agree in the text box and then click Yes, I confirm.

The second option involves a complete reset of all duplicate information. Entering **Confirm** in the textbox and then clicking **Yes, Wipe All** will remove all duplicate information allowing the user to start from the beginning. There are a few scenarios where you may wish to use this form of reset:

- The Mark Automatically function was used at too low of a threshold and you have too many items marked as duplicates that shouldn't be
- You have a very large number of overlapping groups and have not yet spent too much time evaluating the groups. Resetting the will eliminate the overlapping groups. The overlap groups are created from running the **Get new duplicates** multiple times after importing new items. Running **Get new duplicates** once will not create overlap groups.

Managing linked records

It is often the case that the same study is described in two or more documents. We call these linked records or documents. EPPI-Reviewer 4 has a function to deal with this situation.

First, make a record of the ID numbers of the records you wish to link. Now pull up the citation for the first record by clicking on Go for that record on the Documents tab. This will open the Document details window.

Go to the Linked records tab that you should see near the top and over to the right. In this tab all of the records linked to the presently selected item will be displayed. To add a new link click on the **New link** button. This will open the Create / edit link window.

Create / edit link	
Item Id	572282 Get item
Item Id	572282
Short title	Abdool Karim (2010)
Title	Preventing HIV infection in women: a global health i
Link description	
	Save

In the Item Id box enter the document number of the item that you want to link and click on **Get item**. This will display the Item Id, the Short title, and the Title of the item so you can confirm you have the correct ID. You can also enter a Link description if you wish. When you are finished click on **Save** and the link will be complete and displayed in the Linked records tab.

Items in the link records tab are displayed in rows. Each record can be edited by clicking on the **Edit** button. A link can be removed by clicking on the **Delete** button. The full citation can be viewed by clicking on the **View** button.

If you have uploaded a full text document, such as a pdf, to a linked item, the pdf will appear with all of items linked together.

There are different ways that a reviewer can deal with linked records. One method is to keep all of the items accessible. Any uploaded pdfs will be visible to all of the items linked together.

Another possible method is to only keep one record per study making the study the base unit. For example, if you have 3 records or documents looking at the same study, decide which record will be the main one. You could then link the other two to this main record. As well, you could upload any pdfs for the linked documents to the main record. After this is complete, you could mark the two linked records with the exclude flag indicating that you will no longer need those records. They are still in the review but are not part of your active records.

Setting up the screening / coding tools

Coding studies is the process of examining your studies and answering a series of questions about those studies to create a database of substantive information. Whether this is the process of applying inclusion/exclusion criteria, often called screening, or the process of carrying out a detailed data extraction, it is all considered coding in EPPI-Reviewer. To carry out coding the user must first design and create their coding tools.

Coding tools are called code sets in EPPI-Reviewer. Each code set contains a set of codes that are applied to the studies. These applied codes are used to build a database of information about your studies that can be queried in many different ways.

A code set is a hierarchal structure. At the top is the code set and below that are the lower level or child nodes. The child nodes or codes can be many different things such as questions, answers, inclusion/exclusion criteria or groups of studies.

For example, if you had a data extraction tool containing different sections part of a section may look like this:



Coding tools are created in the Codes tab of the Toolbox panel. All existing coding tools are displayed and can be edited in this panel.

To create a new set of codes click on the **Create a new code set** icon at the top of the Codes tab of the Toolbox panel. This will open the Create / edit code set window.



You can create a new code set by clicking on the **Create new code set** icon at the top of the Codes tab of the Toolbox panel

Once the 'Create / edit code set' window is you need to decide that type of codeset that you require. As shown in the diagram there are 3 codeset types available

CodeSet Type	Standard 🔹	
Used for coding and data-extraction	Standard	imited
depth, can't contain codes of "Inclu	Screening Admininstation	
CodeSet name	Edit code set title	
Single user data entry?	2	
Save	Cancel	

Standard

The Standard codeset type is used for regular coding such as keywording or data-extraction. This codeset type can contain multiple levels of child codes but cannot contain the special code types 'Include' and 'Exclude'.

Screening

The screening codeset type has been designed to simplify coding comparisons that are commonly used in screening by restricting it to the code types 'Include' and 'Exclude' and only allowing one level of hierarchy. The **Screening** codeset type will be displayed in green.

Administration

The Administration codeset type is used for setting up codesets for activities such as Allocation, Retrieval and Reports. It only allows Selectable and Non-selectable code types and cannot be used for comparison coding. The **Administration** codeset type will be displayed in blue.

You also need to decide if multi-user data entry will be allowed (where two or more reviewers code the same item for quality assurance purposes. Please see <u>Single vs. Multiple data entry</u> for more details on multiple data entry).



You can also display the code properties of a selected code or code set by clicking on the **Code / code set properties** icon at the top of the Codes tab of the Toolbox panel

Once the code set is created you can then add the questions and answers to it in the form of codes. To add lower level codes to a code set right click the code/code set to reveal a further options menu. Select the **Add child code** option and the Add / edit code window will appear. For a lower level code (child node) you can edit the name, provide a description and choose the code type.

If you are using the **Screening** codeset type you will only be able to select **Exclude** or **Include** code types. The **Exclude** or **Include** code types will display a checkbox next to the code.

Code name	EXCLUDE on date
Description	Exclude if pre 2000
Code type	Exclude
ndex number	Include
	Exclude

If you are using the Standard codeset type there will be a number of code types are available. If you wish to display a checkbox with the code you should choose **Selectable (show checkbox)**. To enter outcome data you could choose **Outcome**.

Add / edit code						
Code name	Yes					
Description						
Code type	Selectable (show checkbox)					
Index number	Not selectable (no checkbox)					
	Selectable (show checkbox)					
Save	Selectable (future radiobutton - N/A) Outcome Intervention					
	Comparison					
	Selectable (future Numeric value - N/A)					
	Selectable (future Mark as included - N/A)					
	Outcome classification code					

When the example from the beginning of this section is assembled in EPPI-Reviewer 4 in the codes tab it would look like this:



Moving Codesets and Codes

You can drag and drop codesets and codes to reorganize your coding tools and codes.

To move a codeset left click the codeset and drag it to where you want it to be. The system will not allow a codeset to get dropped into another codeset.



To move a code left click the code and drag it to where you want it to be. You can move the codes to different levels of hierarchy within the codeset. The system will not allow you to move a code to a new codeset.



You can have multiple levels in a code set. You can have multiple codes sets in a review.

Although there is no limit on the depth of hierarchy in a codeset, having more than 4 levels can become cumbersome due to the width of the tool and having to expand many levels to find a particular code.

Copying and pasting codes

Different questions in a coding tool will often present the user with the same possible responses. Rather than individually creating a number of similar codes the copy and paste functions will allow you to copy sections of your coding tool as needed.

The copy and paste function will copy the selected code and all of its child codes. The steps to follow would be:

- 1. Select the level you wish to copy
- 2. Right-click and select Copy

 De List items with this code List items with this code (excluded) List items without this code List items without this code (excluded) Display included item frequencies (child Assign selected items to this code 	
List items with this code (excluded) List items without this code List items without this code (excluded) Display included item frequencies (child Assign selected items to this code	
List items without this code List items without this code (excluded) Display included item frequencies (child Assign selected items to this code	
List items without this code (excluded) Display included item frequencies (child Assign selected items to this code	
Display included item frequencies (chile Assign selected items to this code	
Assign selected items to this code	dren)
Remove selected items from this code	
Insert in report	
Code within code (axial coding)	
Report: all text coded with this code (a	ll PDFs)
Delete code	
Properties	(or comparison conc
Сору	:ed?
Paste	rvention?
	we were vention?

- 3. The selected code and all codes below that level will be placed in the clip-board
- 4. Select the position where you want to copy your codes
- 5. Right-click and select **Paste...**

Codes	Add child code			
📮 🕦 🖪 📥	List items with this code			
► Aim(s) of the intervent	List items with this code (excluded)			
► Year intervention starte	List items without this code			
► Theoretical model (as s	List items without this code (excluded)			
► Intervention site	Display included item frequencies (children)			
► Length of the intervent	Assign selected items to this code			
► Type of intervention	Remove selected items from this code			
▶ Medium of intervention	Insert in report			
▶ Person(s) providing the	Code within code (axial coding)			
 Number of people recru How were the people p Was special training giv 	Report: all text coded with this code (all PDEs)			
 Did the authors indicate 	Properties			
— Yes (write in)	Сору			
No	Paste			
 Quality of the outcome evaluation 	tion 63			
▶ What was the design of the	e evaluation?			
▶ What were the aims of the	evaluation?			
► What proportion of the elig	jible population was recruited?			
Number of participants rec	ruited to intervention and control/comparison gro			
How were participants allo	cated to intervention and control/comparison gro			
How Was the allocation to inter	vention and control/comparison groups done blin			
•	•			

6. The pasted section will be placed at the bottom of the level selected

You can copy individual codes or you can copy sections of the coding tool.

For example, if you wanted to copy some codes named 'yes', no' and 'unknown' you could copy the individual codes one at a time. But if you select their parent you can include all of those codes in the copy operation. If you copy all of them at once (including the parent code) you just need to edit the pasted parent code to represent your new question.

Copying codesets across and within reviews

If you are carrying out multiple reviews and need to use the same codeset in more than one review, or just wish make a copy of an existing codeset in the same review you can use the codeset copy utility.

The codeset copy utility can be found in **EPPI-Reviewer 4 account and review manager** that can be found on the **EPPI-Reviewer 4 gateway**. You will find it under **Utilities / Codesets**.

The process to follow is:

- 1. Select the source review
- 2. Select a codeset from the source review
- 3. Select a destination review. This can be the same as the source review if required.

EPPI-Reviewer 4 account and review manager

EPPI-Reviewer 4 manager



4. Click on **Copy** and a copy of the selected codeset will be placed in the source review.

Coding references or documents

Coding studies is the process of examining your studies and answering a series of questions about those studies to create a database of substantive information. Each of these questions and possible answers are codes that the user creates when assembling their coding tools.

Screening references

Reference screening in EPPI-Reviewer 4 is treated as just another form of coding. Exclusion / Inclusion criteria are set up in the system as a series of codes to be applied to the references. The user then applies the criteria to each reference.

The software allows the user to carry out both 'single' and 'double' screening. Single screening is where a single user applies the screening criteria to a reference. Double screening is where two users apply the screening criteria to the same reference and then compare and reconcile their disagreements.

A popular function to assist in screening is Key term highlighting. This function will allow you to highlight in green terms that are relevant and highlight in red terms that are not relevant. You can find more information about this under Key term highlighting.

Data extraction

Like screening, data extraction in EPPI-Reviewer 4 is just another form of coding. You can set up your data extraction tool, using the standard codeset type, as a series of questions and answers. Using the selectable and non-selectable code types, it is similar to creating a form/questionnaire for the reviewer to complete for each study.

You can carry out single and double data extraction and EPPI-Reviewer provides the comparison functionality needed to identify your agreements and reconcile your disagreements.

Applying codes to a reference or document

Items can be coded individually or in batches. The items to code are accessed through the Documents tab of the Home panel.

To code one study at a time select a reference from the Documents tab by clicking on the **Go** button next to the item. The document is now displayed in the **Documents details** window. The document is displayed on the right hand side while any created codes sets are displayed on the left hand side. Expand the relevant code set on the left hand side.

Using the same example as before the code set in the Document details would appear as:

Do	ocuments Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs Rep Document details	orts Meta-analysis
s	Codes -	Citation details Te
	 A O Z Screening criteria A O Z Data extraction tool A Section A: Document details 	Title Hu an Author(s) Be
	Has paper been published?	Month Au Year 20
To a la	- No Info Unknown (provide details) Info	Abstract Ob

Depending on the code type you should then select an answer or add information to the code relevant to the document displayed. Clicking on a checkbox will select the answer and automatically save the selection.

Auto advance and Hotkeys

To assist in the screening process there are Auto-advance and Hotkey functions available.

If you turn auto advance on, by selecting the checkbox next to **Auto advance**, the system will advance to the next item automatically each time you check a code in a coding tool. This will reduce the number of clicks required to code an item.

The Hotkey function has been added to remove the need to use a mouse when coding. This might be helpful to reviewers who have RSI (Repetitive Strain Injury) from using a mouse too often. You can turn on Hotkeys by selecting the checkbox next to **Hotkeys**. If you then select the codeset or a code in the codeset a number will appear next to the immediate child codes of your selection.



You can now select the code by typing Ctrl-shift and the number.

You can combine Auto advance and Hotkeys to make the process even quicker.

Adding text to a coded response

If the code has a checkbox visible there will also be a button labelled **Info**. Clicking on this button will open up a window for entering a text response to the question. Enter your response and then click **Save**.



When you are finished applying your codes to the document click on **Next** or **Previous** at the top of the Citation details tab to move to a new document.

Citation details	Text document Reference Search Coding record Linked records
Previous	Next 🔶 🛛 📓 Save and close 🛛 🔚 Save 💥 Cancel 🛛 💽 Find on web
Title	Human Salmonella Infections Linked to Contaminated Dry Dog and Cat Food, 2006-2008.
Author(s)	Behravesh CB ; Ferraro A ; Deasy M 3rd; C Item Id: 3697 (68229)
Month	August Pub type Journal, Article 🔹

To code items by batch display the documents to code in the documents tab of the home panel. The documents can be listed in many ways such as the result of a search, or by source or by previously assigned codes or by selecting individual items. Once listed, select the code to apply to the documents from the Codes tab of the Toolbox panel by left clicking it. Now right click on the code and select **Assign selected items to this code**. Select **Yes** from the confirmation window and the selected code will be applied to all of the selected items. Codes can be removed from selected items in the same manner.

d code ns with this code ns with this code (excluded) ns without this code ns without this code (excluded) item frequencies (children)
selected items to this code
y 1 5

Coding complete vs. coding not complete

For an item's coding to be available for reports or searches the item must be marked as complete. The complete or not complete status is code-set specific so an item could be marked as complete with respect to one code-set but not complete with respect to another.

Applying a code to an item will automatically mark it as complete except when multiple coding (i.e. two or more users coding the same item) is being carried out. Please see the section on <u>Normal vs. Comparison data entry</u> for details.

You can tell if an item is marked as complete based on icon next to the code set.

	ocuments Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs Reg Document details	oorts Meta-analysis Collabo
9	Codes 👻	Citation details Text docum
	. E 🗛 🕦 🔚 🔲 Auto advance 🔲 Hotkeys	Frevious Next 🔶
	🕨 👫 🥝 🗾 Screening criteria	Title Human Sa
	- 🕨 🚡 😑 🗾 Data extraction tool	Author(s) Behravesh

If the icon is a red disc, the item is not complete. A green disc with a checkmark indicates the item is marked as complete.



	The Coding complete icon (green disc) indicates that coding for this item is finalized. Items with finalised codes will appear in reports and searches.
--	---

To manually mark an item as complete left-click on the red disk. The coding complete window will appear allowing the user to mark the item as complete.

To manually mark an item as incomplete, open the code set properties window by either clicking on the code set properties icon or right clicking on the code set and selecting properties. Uncheck the **Coding complete** checkbox and then click on **Save**.

Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies Cross	tabs Reports Meta-analysis Collaborate My info		
s Codes	Citation details Text document Reference Searce		
- E Auto advance	🗧 🚺 Item 1 / 19 🔶 Previous Next 🔶 📝 Sa		
📕 🖌 🏖 🥥 🚔 Screening on Title and Abstract	Coding properties of		
- EXCLUDE on date Info	Coding completed 🗹 🧮		
EXCLUDE on target group Info	Coding is locked for this item		
	Started by Steven Startle		
EXCLUDE on country Info INCLUDE for second opinion Info	Save Cancel tinc		
INCLUDE on abstract Info	vero eros et accumsan et iusto od		

Normal vs. Comparison data entry (formally single vs. multiple)

EPPI-Reviewer supports **Normal** data entry/coding and **Comparison** data entry/coding. This was previously known in EPPI-Reviewer as **single data entry** and **multiple data entry data** entry but has been renamed to more closely reflect its purpose and behaviour.

Normal data entry is when you are applying codes to items.

Comparison data entry is when two or more reviewers are coding the same item independently and will then compare their work to identify agreements and disagreements. This is often called double coding or double screening.

Changing between normal and comparison data entry

Normal and comparison data entry are properties of the codeset. When a codeset is changed from normal data entry to comparison data entry (or vice versa) the process of coding documents changes accordingly.

When a codeset is set for **Normal** data entry, any code applied to an item using a code from that codeset will mark the item as 'complete'. The codeset will display a green disc on the Document details page for that item (see figure below). If an item is marked as complete for a particular codeset, then the coding for that item becomes visible to the programs searching and reporting functions.

🖿 🚠 🤡 📔 Demo keywording tool		
🔺 🧸 🧭 🗾 Demo data extraction tool		
How can the study be identified?		
A Review number		
Not linked Info		
— 🔲 Linked to 1 report (write	in)	
— 🔲 Linked to 2 reports (writ	e in)	
- D Linked to 3 reports (write	e in)	

When a codeset is set for **Comparison** data entry the assumption is that multiple users will be coding the same item using the codeset that is set for comparison coding. When a code is applied to the item the item is **not** marked as complete. The codeset will display a red disc on the Document details page for that item (see figure below). It is expected that the coders will need to compare their coding selections and reconcile their differences before marking the item as complete.



Codesets should normally be set for normal data entry and only changed to comparison data entry if the user intends to double code/screen (or triple code/screen) items.

Changing from normal data entry to comparison data entry

Normal data entry and comparison data entry are properties of a codeset. If you wish to change that property you can right-click the codeset and select **Properties**. This will open the **Edit codeset** window where you can click on the **Change to comparison data entry** link.

Í	Edit code set	
X	Set name	Screen on title and abstract
d	Set Type:	Screening
1	Data entry method:	Normal
c	Change	to Comparison data entry
s	Editing code set allowed?	
	Index number	1
e	Save	Cancel

If a user tries to change a codeset from normal coding to comparison data entry they will get a warning telling them that Comparison coding implies that multiple users will be coding the same item using the codeset that is being changed.

Change to 'Comparison' data entry?	
Are you sure you want to change to 'Compar This implies that you will have multiple users codeset and then reconciling the disagreeme Please ensure you have read the manual to ch	ison' data entry? coding the same item using this nts. heck the implications of this.
	OK Cancel

If Comparison data entry is to be used the reviewers should expect to compare results, reconcile the disagreements, and mark the agreed version as complete.

Changing from Comparison data entry to Normal data entry

If a user tries to change a codeset from Comparison data entry to Normal data entry and all of the multiple coded items have been reconciled and marked as complete, then the system will tell the user there are no conflicts and that it is safe to proceed (see figure below). Once returned to single data entry any further coding carried out, whether on new items or previously coded items, will behave as expected under normal data entry.

Edit co	Edit code set					
	Change data entry method					
	You are about to change your dat There are no potential data conflic	a entry method to 'Normal'. ts so it is safe to proceed.				
	Cancel this change					
	Continue: change to Normal data entry					
	Save Cancel					

If a user tries to change a codeset from Comparison data entry to Normal data entry and there are items that are not marked as complete the system will put up a warning message (see figure below). The default selection is to cancel the operation so the reviewer can 'complete' the items that need completing.

Edit co	Edit code set				
	Change data entry method				
	You are about to change your data entry method to 'Normal', but there are '37' items that should be completed before you proceed. You can view these incomplete items from the 'Review statistics' tab on the right.				
	Cancel: I'll complete the coding for these items first Carry on: Even if there are uncompleted unreconciled disagreement				
	Save Cancel				

These items can be identified in the Review statistics tab in the toolbox panel. A breakdown of the number of incomplete items is listed and the coders associated with them. If the user clicks on the number, the items will be displayed in the Documents tab.

In	comp	olete codii	ng	Coun	t
4	Scr	eening on	Full Report	1	0
		Steven S	1	0	
		Alice Inte	rest	1	0

If the user clicks on the green checkmark they can bulk complete the items. A warning will be displayed as bulk completing can have implications. For example, if the items you are bulk completing have been double coded and you select a coder whose selection of codes was 'incorrect' (i.e. not the best selection of codes) you could be completing items using 'incorrect' codes. If you are confident that a particular coder's selection of codes is correct, then bulk completing the items using this method is an appropriate method.

If the user chooses to proceed and not complete the items by clicking on '**Carry on: Even if there are uncompleted unreconciled disagreements'** in the warning window they may end up having coded but not completed items. The coding for these items will not show up in searches and reports as the item is not marked as complete. If you look at the coding in the Document details window the user will only see their own coding for those items. If they apply further codes to those items, using the changed codeset, the items will remain un-completed. The items will need to be manually completed by opening the properties window for the codeset and checking the **Coding completed** option or by using the bulk coding function in the Review statistics tab.

Allocating references for coding

References to be coded can be allocated or assigned to individuals in a number of different ways. The system can help manage the coding process but telling the users what code set to apply, to which items to apply it, what has been coded and what still needs to be code.

Random allocation

To create groups of randomly selected items for allocation go to the Codes tab of the Toolbox panel. Create a new code set, chose a codeset type of **Administration** and give it a name such as 'Allocation groups' to indicate it will contain the allocated items. Now click on the icon labelled **Assign documents to specified codes randomly** that is at the top of the Documents tab of the Home panel.



For allocation you can randomly assign documents to a code set by clicking on the **Assign documents to specified codes randomly** icon at the top of the Documents tab.

The 'Allocated items to codes randomly' window will appear.

T	Meta-analysis Collaborate My info		Codes
	Allocate items to codes randomly	X	
	Select from	All without any codes from this set 🔹	
		Screen on title & abstract Select	► A Z Screen on title & abstract ► A Z Screen on full report
	Create codes below this code / set	Screen on T&A Select	🛏 漏 🗾 Data extraction tool
is Is	Percentage to allocate	100	⊢ 🔒 💋 Allocation codes ⊢ 🔒 🖉 Retrieval status
n	Number of groups to create	1	🛏 🔏 🗹 Report sets
e		● Included items	🛏 👫 🗹 Inductive coding
iı b		Go!	

Choose an option from the 'Select from' drop down menu. To allocate all items not already coded by a particular code set choose 'all without any codes from this set' and select the code set. For the 'Create codes below this code option you should select the code set that was just create. Finally select the number of groups to create and the percentage of items to allocate. The number of groups will normally be the number of reviewers that will be coding items. If you are double coding the number of groups will normally be half the number of reviewers that will be coding items. Select **Go!** to register the choices and to close the window. In the recently created code set new child nodes, named Group 1, Group 2, etc. will now exist. The number of child nodes will match the number of group you created. The total number of all items across all groups will total the percentage of items allocated.

	Codes 👻
	: 📮 🕦 🖪 📥
	Allocation groups
	- Group 1
'ear 🏹	- Group 2
	Group 3

If you select and right click on one of the child codes, say Group 1, and choose **List items with this code** the randomly selected items will be listed in the Documents tab of the Home panel. All of those items have been assigned the Group 1 code.

Now that the items have been placed in groups, the groups can be assigned to individuals. There are a couple of ways to do this. You could just rename the groups to match the individuals who will be doing the coding by selecting the code and pulling up the code / code set properties window.

Setting up coding allocations

If you wish to assign the new groups of random documents to individuals and let the system keep track of what code set to apply and what has been coded, go to the Collaborate tab of the Home panel.

Documents Search Diag Reviewers in this review	grams Frequencies Cro	osstabs F	Reports M	eta-analysis	Collaborate	My info				_	Cod	des
Reviewer Jeff Brunton	Id 64	19		Assign work	udies in this gu that have t Using this	roup (i.e. his code) code set	Screening o	LO items criteria	×		4	Creening criteria Exclude on study design Exclude on scope Include based on title an
Coding assignments Reviewer	Study group	7	Codes to		To th Assign work	is person		Cancel	•	Create new	4	Allocation grouos 10 items 2 + 3 items
Jeff Brunton Jeff Brunton	10 items 2 + 3 items		Screenin	g criteria g criteria				3	1	2 Delete 0 Delete		🕌 🖆 Lingo3G clusters

Click on the **Create new** button and the assign work box will appear. From the first drop down menu select the code that contains the items that will be coded. This would be the Group 1, Group 2, etc. nodes that we created previously. From the next dropdown menu select the codeset that will be applied to the allocated items. Finally, select the person to allocate the items to by using the third dropdown menu.

Since the allocation process uses items assigned to a code you can use any group of items when allocating. If you need to allocated items to individuals based on previous coding, you just need to select that previous coding for 'Code studies in this group' when setting up the allocation.

Now click on Assign work button. The assignment will be listed in the Coding assignments table.

Allocating items for double/multiple coding

For quality assurance items are often double (or even triple) coded. Double coding means a code set may be applied twice times to an item by different reviewers. This is often called double screening or double data-extraction depending on the purpose of the codeset.

If double coding is to be used, repeat the steps for allocation, as described above in the allocation instructions, but rather than allocating a different group of items to each reviewer, use the same items for each reviewer. After clicking on **Assign work** for the first reviewer, change the reviewers name and click on **Assign work** again.

Be sure to check that the Codeset that will be used for double coding (ex. the screening codeset) is set to **Comparison** data entry. A codeset that is set for Comparison data entry has the two-person icon displayed next to it.



Codesets set for **Comparison** data entry have a two-person icon displayed.

You can also change or check the this property by right-clicking the code set, displaying the properties for that set and editing the properties accordingly.

Coding by allocated references

Normal coding

If the items to be coded have been allocated to a single reviewer the user must be sure they are accessing the correct items. Select the My Info tab of the Home panel. The allocated coding tasks are listed in the 'My work allocation in this review' table.

My work allocations:				
Codes to apply:	Group of studies	Allocated	Started	Remaining
Screen on title and abstract	Group 1	51	46	5
Screen on title and abstract	Group 2	51	29	22
Data extraction tool	Group 1	51	4	47
Screen on title and abstract	All items	3009	135	2874

Find the row in the table for the task you are going to work on and click in the cell of the column labelled **Remaining**. The Documents tab will appear listing the allocated items that have not yet been coded in the selected allocation. Select the first item in the list by to open the Documents details window. Move through the items applying the codes. As it is single coding, the items will be automatically marked as Complete when a code is applied. The list of items assigned to an individual for coding can also be found in the Collaborate tab.

Comparison coding

If the items to be coded have been allocated to a code-set set up for **Comparison** coding the reviewer will still access their items in the My info tab. The items for coding can also be accesses on the Collaborate tab by clicking in the cell of the **Allocated** and **Started** column of the 'My work allocation in this review' table.

Please note that when carrying out **Comparison** data entry, the item is not marked as **Complete** until reconciling has been carried out. This means that if you run searches or reports on those items based on their coding, the codings will not appear until the Agreements are marked as complete and the Disagreements are reconciled and marked as complete.

Comparison and reconciling of Comparison coded items

If Comparison data entry has been carried out the reviewers will want to compare coding and reconcile the differences.

Go to the Collaborate tab of the Home panel. The allocations are displayed on in this panel. Click on the button labelled **Create comparison** to bring up the **Create comparison record** window. Select the name of Reviewer 1 and Reviewer 2 and the code set to compare. To restrict the comparison to a particular group of items (such as the ones that you just coded) select the code that will identify those items in the Codes tab and then click on **Set Attribute (optional)**.

Create c	omparison record	S 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
This cor compar	mparison will be ba ison is created.	sed on the status of the database at the time the
	Reviewer 1	Jeff Brunton
	Reviewer 2	Steven Startle 🔹
Revi	ewer 3 (optional)	
	Code set	Screen on title and abstract 🔹
Set At	tribute (optional)	Group 5
		Create Comparison

Now click on the **Create Comparison** button in the window to run the comparison and create a new row in the comparison table.

Create c	omparison							
Codes ap	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	(Review	(Only studies	Date	Quick report	stats	Delete
Screen or	Jeff Bruntor	Steven Star		Group 1	29/07/2014	Run	View	Delete
Data extr	Jeff Bruntor	Steven Star		Group 1	31/07/2014	Run	View	Delete
Screen or	Jeff Bruntor	Steven Star		All items	31/07/2014	Run	View	Delete
Screen or	Jeff Bruntor	Steven Star		Group 5	04/08/2014	Run	View	Delete

From this new comparison row you can generate a 'Quick report' of the coding by clicking on the **Run** button for the new table row. Clicking on **Run** will bring up the Quick comparison report window. Select the code set to compare from the Codes panel (the code set used to carry out the coding), click on **Select this code (set)** button and then click on the **Run** button in the window.

Quick comparison report	🔺 🌆 💋 Screen on title and abstract
Please select the 'parent' code or code set from the codes	 Exclude on date
against.	- Exclude on geographical location
Select this code (set) Screen on title and abstract	— Exclude on study design
Select this code (set)	- Exclude on Scope
Run	Include based on title and abstract
	🕨 🔍 Allocation codeset

An html window will pop up containing the report. This report shows how each reviewer coded each document and the agreed version if any items have been reconciled at this point. Since this window is in html, the contents can be cut and pasted into most word processing or spreadsheet software such as Word or Excel.

<i> http://b</i>	🗳 http://bk-epi/Testing/ER4/ - Windows Internet Explorer 💿 📼 📧							
Compa	Comparison report between: <i>Jeff Brunton</i> and <i>Steven Startle</i>							
This report created. also in the Screen of	This report is based on the status of the database at the time the comparison was created. Any coding 'completed' after the comparison was created will be displayed also in the Agreed column.							
Id	Item Jeff Brunton Steven Startle Agreed version							
114100	This is a test item	Exclude on study design	Include based on title and abstract					
114129	Occurrence of Dipylidium caninum in fleas from client-owned cats and dogs in Europe using a new PCR detection assay.	Include based on title and abstract	Exclude on date					
114341	Surveillance: pointing the way to improved welfare for companion animals.	Exclude on geographical location	Exclude on Scope	-				

To view the statistics on the coding and reconcile the agreements click on the **View** button in the Stats column. This will bring up the **Comparison statistics** window. The number of items coded for each reviewer is listed along with the number of agreements and disagreements. Clicking on the **list** button for either agreements or disagreements will pull up those items in the Documents tab.

Comparison statistics		X					
The statistics are based on the status of the database at the time the comparison was created.							
Number of documents coded by Jeff Brunton: 50							
Number of documents coded by Ste	ven Startle: 50						
Number of documents coded by bot	th Jeff Brunton and Steven Startle:	50					
Full Include/Exclude Only							
6	Agreements	Disagreements					
Jeff Brunton vs Steven Startle	8 / 50 (list) Complete	42 / 50 (list) Reconcile					

There may be two tabs in this window labelled **Full** and **Include/Exclude Only**. The Full tab will calculate the number of agreements and disagreements based on any differences between the different coders.

The Include/Exclude Only tab is available when you are running a comparison that uses the screening codeset type.

Comparison statistics		X					
The statistics are based on the status of the database at the time the comparison was created.							
Number of documents coded by Jeff Brunton: 50							
Number of documents coded by Steven Startle: 50							
Number of documents coded by both Jeff Brunton and Steven Startle: 50							
Full Include/Exclude Only							
13	Agreements	Disagreements					
Jeff Brunton vs Steven Startle	40 / 50 (list) Complete	10 / 50 (list) Reconcile					

This comparison will calculate the number of agreements and disagreements by grouping all of the exclude codes and the include codes. The different scenarios of agreements or disagreements are as described below:

- If each coder has assigned an exclude code it will be called an agreement even if they were different exclude codes.
- If each coder has assigned an include code it will be called an agreement even if they were different include codes.
- If each coder has assigned an include and exclude code then it will be an agreement as each coder has done the same thing.
- A disagreement is when you have an exclude code versus an include code. This would also apply if one coder assigned an include and exclude code while the other only assigned either an include or exclude code.

Note that when you run Create comparison you are creating a snap-shot of the status of your database for a particular point in time. If you code more items, the comparison will not change. You will need to create a new comparison to see any changes.

Dealing with agreements

Before marking all of the agreements as completed you might wish to run and save a quick report of the existing coding. Reconciling double coding means that one of the coders will be holding the agreed version. This agreed version will overwrite the existing coding for that user. If you wish to present the original coding along with the agreed version, you will need to save off a copy beforehand.

To place all of the agreements under one account open the comparison statistics window by clicking on the View button in the stats column.

Comparison statistics		X					
The statistics are based on the status of the database at the time the comparison was created.							
Number of documents coded by	Jeff Brunton: 50						
Number of documents coded by	Steven Startle: 50						
Number of documents coded by	both Jeff Brunton and Steven Startle: 5	0					
Full Include/Exclude Only							
	Agreements	Disagreements					
Jeff Brunton vs Steven Startle 8 / 50 (list) Complete 42 / 50 (list) Reconcile							

In the Comparison statistics window click on the **Complete** button to open the **Complete agreed coding** window. Select the user account from the dropdown menu that will hold the agreed versions and click on **Go**!

Complete agreed coding	X
Do you want to use the data e Startle? (The Include/Exclude they may differ in terms of sp entered.)	entered by Jeff Brunton or Steven assignment will be identical, but ecific codes and additional text
Steven Startle	•
Go!	Cancel

Although there is no requirement for one account to hold all of the agreed versions, it might be easier to keep track of your process if this was the case.

It is important to remember that while the coding may be in agreement between two users any textual response may be different. If textural responses have been given the user may wish to compare the responses using the 'Quick report' function.

Once you click on **Go!** the items will be marked as Coding complete..

Dealing with disagreements

There are a number of different ways to compare and reconcile the disagreements depending on the complexity of your coding tool. For coding tools that have many levels of hierarchy and contain a large amount of textual input then it would be expected that almost all items would be disagreements. In this situation the reviewer may prefer to make comparisons using the **View comparison** options in the **Coding record** tab (see further down in this section for details).

For most coding tools, such as screening tools or tools with two or possible three levels of hierarchy, then the Comparison reconciliation screen is the preferred method dealing with disagreements.

This screen can be access by clicking on the **Reconcile** button in the Comparison statistics window.

Comparison statistics						
The statistics are based on the status of the database at the time the comparison was created.						
Number of documents coded by Je	ff Brunton: 50					
Number of documents coded by St	even Startle: 50					
Number of documents coded by bo	oth Jeff Brunton and Steven Startle: 5	0				
Full Include/Exclude Only						
Agreements Disagreements						
Jeff Brunton vs Steven Startle 8 / 50 (list) Complete 42 / 50 (list) Reconcile						

The Comparison Reconciliation screen will display the items that are listed as disagreements. Each reviewers work is displayed in a column and each row represents an item. The codes selected by each review are displayed as text boxes on the screen.

Compariso	Comparison Reconciliation											
c V	Item	Jeff Brunton		Steven Startle								
	Airley (2012) ID: 114788		Complete	Complete								
		Exclude on d	ate	Exclude on geographical location								
	Amsellem (2011) ID: 115130		Complete	Complete								
	63	Exclude on s	tudy design	Exclude on geographical location								
	Arzi (2013) ID: 114600		Complete	Complete								
		Exclude on g	eographical location	Exclude on date								
	Bardagí (2010)		[
1 4 1				Page 1 of 1								
ID	115130		Abstract:	🔚 Export 🥥								
Title	Complications of reconstruct companion animals.	ive surgery in	Factors that affect wound patient, nutritional status	healing include the general health of the , and wound factors. Treatments such as								
Journal	The Veterinary clinics of Nor Small animal practice	th America.	corticosteroids, chemothe delayed healing. Multimo	rapy, or radiation are also common causes of dal cancer treatment has become more								
Author(s)	Amsellem Pierre ;		 common and the veterinary surgeon may be required to perform reconstructive procedures on an animal that has received or will receive chemotherapy and/or radiation treatments. Complications of reconstructive cutaneous procedures include seroma, hematoma formation, infection, wound dehiscence, distal tip necrosis of skin flaps, paresthesia, and free skin graft failure. Procedures such as maxillectomy or hemipelvectomy also have complications. Knowledge of common complications can facilitate client education and even allow 									
Туре	Journal, Article											
Short T.	Amsellem (2011)											
			the surgeon to avoid thes	e complications.								

When you select a row the row is highlighted and the citation details are listed at the bottom of the screen. If a pdf has been uploaded it can be accessed as well.

The person reconciling the disagreements can see the codes that each reviewer selected. Once a agreed version is chosen the item can be completed by clicking on the **Complete** button that exists in each column for each item. If

you click the **Complete** button for the first reviewer then it will be first reviewers version of the coding that is the agreed/completed version.

Com	pariso	n Reconciliation			X						
	c 🏹	Item	Jeff Brunto	n	Steven Startle						
		ID: 114600		Complete	Complete						
			Exclude o	n geographical location	Exclude on date						
		Un-Complete		0	Exclude on study design						
		Bardagí (2010) ID: 115341	Exclude o	n Scope							
		Bauer (2011) ID: 115027		Complete	Complete						
eda.			Exclude o	n date	Exclude on geographical location						
м	+ 1	► H			Page 1 of 1						
	ID 115130			Abstract:	层 Export 💡						
	Title Complications of reconstructions of reconstructions of reconstructions and surgery in companion and			Tuctive mals. Factors that affect wound healing include the general health of the patient, nutritional status, and wound							
Jo	ournal	The Veterinary clinics of America. Small animal p	North ractice	factors. Treatments such as corticosteroids, chemotherapy, or radiation are also common causes of							
Auth	nor(s)	Amsellem Pierre ;		delayed healing. Multimodal cancer treatment has become							
	Туре	Journal, Article		required to perform reconstructive procedures on an							
Sh	ort T.	Amsellem (2011)		animal that has received or will receive chemotherapy and/or radiation treatments. Complications of							

The green check in that cell will indicate which reviewers coding is the agreed version. As well, once an item is completed the checkbox on the left side of the row will be marked.

This method of completing items will allow a third person to carry out the operation by selecting coding other than their own as the agreed version.

To un-complete an item you can click the **Un-Complete** button that appears in the second column.

As each item is completed the row will turn green to help the reviewer identify the items that still need to be completed.

If you are reconciling many items you can page what is displayed on the screen. The number of items in the page is tied to the number of items in a page in the Document details window. To keep the page fast and responsive you might wish to lower the value from the default value of 700.

If you need to go to the actual citation in the Document details window you can click on the ID link just below the Author(Year) data that can be found in each row.

Com	Ipariso	n Reconciliation		
101215	c₹	Item	Jeff Brunton	Steven
		Acke (2009) ID: 115858	Complete Answer 2 Answer 1 → Section 1 → Section 1 Question 3 → answer 4	The E Answ Answ
			[Info] Five culture methods for the recovery of Campylobacter species (spp.) were evaluated on 361 rectal swabs collected from cats and dogs in Ireland. Answer 1	Answ Answ Answ

If your coding tool has more than one level of hierarchy you can display the full path by clicking on the code that is displayed. Each parent code up to the root level will be shown.

If any text has been entered in the Info box while coding, that text will also be displayed if you click on the code.

For comparisons using more complex coding tools you may prefer to use the **Run comparison** or **Live comparison** in the **Coding record** tab. With the Comparison statistics window open click on the list button for the disagreements.

Comparison statistics											
The statistics are based on the status of the database at the time the comparison was created.											
Number of documents coded by Jeff Brunton: 50											
Number of documents coded by Steven Startle: 50											
Number of documents coded by both Jeff Brunton and Steven Startle: 50											
Full Include/Exclude Only											
Γ	Agreements	Disagreements									
Jeff Brunton vs Steven Startle	8 / 50 (list) Complete	42 / 50 (list) Re									

The disagreements are then listed in the Documents tab. Select the first document to open the Documents details window by clicking on the **Go** button on the left.

You should now be in the Documents details window. Select the 'Coding record' tab on the right hand side of the screen. The reviewers who have coded the item across all codesets will be listed.

To compare the coding of an individual item select the **Run comparison** button after selecting the coders to compare. This will present the choices of the different coders for the particular item in a popup window.

	Code set		Reviewer	V	Complete 🏹	Locked? 🏹	
	Allocation	codeset	Jeff Brunton				View
	Lingo3G cl	usters	Jeff Brunton				View
Screen on title and abstract		Steven Startle				View	
1	Screen on	title and abstract	Jeff Brunton				View

If you are comparing coding using **Run comparison** in a complex coding tool, such as you would during data extraction, each coder will be displayed in their own colour for easier identification.

http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/eppireviewer4/ - Internet Explorer	-		×
 Status of report 			
 [Steven Startle] Unpublished 			\sim
 [Alice Interest] Unpublished 			- 10
 Year of report 			
 [Steven Startle] 1996 to 2000 			
 [Alice Interest] 1996 to 2000 			
 [Alice Interest] Abstract 			
Duis te feugifacilisi. Duis autem dolor in hendrerit in vulputate velit esse	molestie		
consequat, vel illum dolore eu feugiat nulla facilisis at vero eros et accur	nsan et it	usto odi	io
dignissim qui blandit praesent luptatum zzril delenit au gue duis dolore t	e feugat i	nulla	
facilisi. Ut wisi enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exerci taion ullamco	orper sus	cipit	
lobortis nisl ut aliquip ex en commodo consequat.			
 [Steven Startle] List abstract 			
Duis te feugifacilisi. Duis autem dolor in hendrerit in vulputate vel	lit esse m	olestie	
consequat, vel illum dolore eu feugiat nulla facilisis at vero eros et	t accumse	an et	
iusto odio dignissim qui blandit praesent luptatum zzril delenit au	gue duis d	dolore t	e
feugat nulla facilisi. Ut wisi enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud e	xerci tai	on	
ullamcorper suscipit lobortis nisl ut aliquip ex en commodo conseg	juat.		
 Abstract/Summary of key findings 			
 [Steven Startle] Not included 			
 [Alice Interest] Not included 			
 Keywords 			
 [Steven Startle] Not included 			
 [Alice Interest] Not included 			
 Support for the study 			
 Source of funding 			\sim
TAC T. ONLINE			

To compare the coding on each item click on the **Live comparison** button. Now change to the Citation details tab so you can move through the items. Finally, click on the code set on the left side of the screen bring up the live comparisons.

At the bottom of the screen, under the citation details box, the codings for each reviewer in the comparison will be displayed.

.....

e comparisons	
Close Steven Startle	Alice Interest
Include on abstract	

The code selections displayed on the left side of the screen in the code treeview are your own selections (assuming you have logged in using your own account). You can edit these choices based on the comparison data displayed below the citation box.

When you have made your agreed selection (i.e. reconcile the item) you need to mark the item as complete. A **Coding not complete** item has the red disc displayed next to it. If you click on this disk a Coding complete window will appear where you can select OK to mark it as complete. The item should now have a green disc next to it; the **Coding complete** icon.

The **Coding not complete** icon indicates that coding for this item is not finalised. Items 2 🗢 🗸 with codes not finalised will not appear in reports or searches.

The Coding complete icon indicates that coding for this item is finalized. Items with ر 📀 🕻 finalised codes will appear in reports and searches.

The user can now move through each item by clicking on the next or previous button at the top of the citation details box.

An important point to remember when marking an item as complete is that the coding of the person marking the items as complete becomes the completed coding. Always make sure your coding is correct before you mark the item as complete. If someone else comes along later, edits the coding and marks it as complete, then their coding becomes the completed coding.

Study Arms

Clinical studies and many other experimental designs often include at least two 'Arms' (ex. intervention and control arms). In EPPI-Reviewer, extracting the characteristics of each arm independently is possible using the normal coding process but can be difficult to manage. This is because the main structure used to extract data in EPPI-Reviewer is based on codesets and codesets are common across the whole review. When trying to describe 2 or more sub-parts of a given study a structure specific to that study would be a better mechanism. To make data extraction against arms more manageable users can manually define arms for each included study.

Arms can only be applied to the Standard codeset type.

Users can create, edit and delete Arms in the **Study arms** tab that can be found in the **Document details** window.

Document details		
i 📮 🛈 C 📥 🗆 Auto advance	Citation details Text document Reference Search Coding record Linked records PDF Study a	arms
Codes apply to: Whole study 🔻		
▶ 😼 🥏 🚰 Screening on Title and Abstract	Arm title	Edit Delete
- 🔒 📀 🗹 Allocation codes	Control Arm	Edit arm Delete arm
- 🔏 🔮 🧾 Screening on Full Report		
⊢ 🤱 🤣 🗹 Keywording/mapping tool		
Alignment Alignment		
- 🧏 🖉 🎽 Inductive coding		
⊢ 🤱 🥝 🔓 Lingo3G clusters		
► ▲		
🕨 🧸 🖨 🗹 ROBIS: RoB in Systematic Reviews		
- 🎦 🗢 🖌 extraction test	Edit arm	

To create a new arm enter the name in the **Edit arm** text box and click the save icon. When you create a new arm it will be displayed in the Study arms table.

To edit an arm click **Edit arm** in the Study arms table. It will then be listed in the **Edit arm** text box where you can either Save your edit or Cancel your edit by clicking on the appropriate icon.

To delete an arm you can click on the **Delete arm** button on the Study arms table. Deleting an arm is a permanent operation so you will need to confirm your action before proceeding.

When Arms are present for a given study, a dropdown menu appears on the top of the 'codes' area.



A **Whole Study** option is always present and selected by default. Once the reviewer selects the appropriate arm then can apply codes, add text to the info box and highlight text in an uploaded pdf. Any coding done will be specific to the selected arm.

All reporting functions, including the comparison functions, will indicate which arm was used to when the data extracted.

P	r le la								
Report viewer									
i 🖬 👗 😫	1 1 2	<i>#</i> a			8	100% 🔹 👄			
•	Evaluator [Con	trol Arm]							
	 WB_What have we learnt private WSS.pdf: Page 2: " scope for competition in certai as electricity generation 4. In the case of the UK, privatization of infrastructure also wa broader withdrawal of the state from economic activity and privatization of assets held a productive sectors, such as airlines, automobile production, and coal mining. While the of divestiture were significant at certain points in time, fiscal pressure did not play a dor (Parker: 2004)" Health promotion practitioner [Intervention Arm] 								
•									
	WB_What have provision. The f decade, as reserv productive invol	e we learnt priv all of the Soviet vations about pr vement of the s	vate WSS.pdf: F Union marked t ivate involvementate in any econo	Page 2: "led go he acceleration nt in infrastruct omic activity w	vernment a of a tren ture were ras fundar	s to take a domin d that had started reconsidered and nentally question			

Coding only interface

The 'Coding only' interface is designed to simplify your coding tasks by using your coding allocations to direct your work in an optimised interface. It also allows true double blind coding / screening by ensuring that the different reviewers cannot access each other's work.

In order to use this interface, you should first set up each reviewer's coding assignments in the Collaborate tab. This is described under Allocating references for coding.

To enter the 'Coding only' interface you must click on the 'Coding only' button when selecting your review.

Select	Review V	п 🗸	
Go	Duplicate checking example	445	<u>/:</u>
Go	EPPI-Reviewer 4 demo	319	
Go	Sstest	392	Coding Only

The coding only option is available just after the login screen as well as in the **My info** tab.

The coding only interface has 2 main areas. The **Documents** tab is on the left as always. On the right side is the **My info** tab where the reviewers coding allocations and available reviews are listed.

Docum	ents	7								My Inf	0		Co	ding Only	mode:	•
44 docu Showir	14 documents loaded. Showing work allocation remaining: Group 3 T&A								Your account expires on 16/03/2013. Current (shared) review		Nev	v reviev	N			
: 📥 [Π	Find:]					My w	ork allocations:	expires on 04/06/2013.	Alleested	Standard	Dama	ining
			Authors 🛛 🏹	Title		7	Year	V	-	Code	s to apply:	Group of studies	Allocated	Starteu	Kellia	aa
Go		Ι	Allison R ; Shelli	The	effectiveness of various models of primary care-bas	ed foll	2011			Caree	ning on Title and Abstract	Group 3 TRA	121	121		44
Go		I	Arbesman M ; Lo	Occu	upational therapy interventions for employment and	educa	2011			Scree	ming on Title and Abstract	Group 2 T&A	131	131		- 0
Go		I	Baillet Athan ; Z	Effic	acy of cardiorespiratory aerobic exercise in rheumat	oid ar	2010			Scree	ning on Full Report	Group 1 Full report	53	53		-
Go		I	Bartlo P ; Klein F	Phys	sical activity benefits and needs in adults with intelle	ctual	2011			Demo	keywording tool	Group 1 Keywording	10	10		0
Go		I	Bender JL ; Radh	Can	pain be managed through the Internet? A systemat	c revi	2011			Demo	data extraction tool	Group 1	4	4		0
Go		I	Bond GR ; Drake	An u	update on randomized controlled trials of evidence-b	ased s	2008			Penne		0.000 1				
Go		I	Bradt J ; Goodill	Dane	ce/movement therapy for improving psychological a	nd phy	2011									
Go		I	Chatterton Wenc	The	singer or the singing: Who sings individually to pers	ons w	2010									
Go		I	Costello E ; Edel	Upda	ate on falls prevention for community-dwelling older	adult	2008			Max and						
Go		I	Daniels R ; van F	Inte	rventions to prevent disability in frail community-dw	elling	2008			Selec	Peview			7	τV	
Go		I	Dickson K ; Goug	Supp	porting people in accessing meaningful work: recove	ry ap	2008			Go	Duplicate checking examp				145	6.
Go		I	Dixon Lynn ; Du	Occu	upational therapy for patients with Parkinson's disea	se	2007			Go	EPDI-Deviewer 4 demo				210	6
Go		I	Floyd A ; Moyer	Grou	up versus individual exercise interventions for wome	n with	2010			60	Schoot				202	16
Go		I	Forbes D ; Forbe	Phys	sical activity programs for persons with dementia		2008				Sstest				,92	/5
Go		I	Forster A ; Lamb	Reha	abilitation for older people in long-term care.		2009									
Go		I	Foster G ; Taylor	Self-	-management education programmes by lay leaders	for pe	2007									
Go		I	Gillison Fiona Bri	The	effects of exercise interventions on quality of life in	clinica	2009									
Go		I	Hall A : Maher C	The	effectiveness of Tai Chi for chronic musculoskeletal	pain c	2009		-							
	1	•	H			Pa	ge 1	of	1							

When you enter the 'Coding only' interface the system will automatically load the list of 'Remaining' items from your first coding allocation.

My work allocations:	Your account expires on 16/03/2013. Current (shared) review expires on 04/06/2013.	New review 013.			
Codes to apply:	Group of studies	Allocated	Started	Remaining	
Screening on Title and At	Group 3 T&A	44	0	44	
Screening on Title and At	Group 1 T&A	131	131	0	
Screening on Title and At	Group 2 T&A	131	131	0	
Screening on Full Report	Group 1 Full report	53	53	0	
Demo keywording tool	Group 1 Keywording	10	10	0	
Demo data extraction too	Group 1	4	4	0	

Docum	ents				
14 docu	ment	s lo	aded.		
Showin	g woi	k al	location remainin	g: Group 3 T&A	
i 📥 🛛			Find:		Ţ
			Authors 🏹	Title V	Year 🍸 📩
Go		I	Allison R ; Shelli	The effectiveness of various models of primary care	2011
Go		I	Arbesman M ; Lo	Occupational therapy interventions for employment	2011
Go		I	Baillet Athan ; Z	Efficacy of cardiorespiratory aerobic exercise in rhe	2010
Go		I	Bartlo P ; Klein F	Physical activity benefits and needs in adults with in	2011

To begin coding click on **Go** for the first item and you will be taken to the **Document details** window.
\sim						
🔶 🕑 🕅 http://localhost/WcfHostPortal/EppiReviewer4.aspx 🖉 🗸 🕈 🕐 EPPI-Reviewer4 (V.4.2.1.6) 🗙 👘 🛠						
<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew F <u>a</u> vorites <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp						
👍 🕘 IOE portal 🚥 BBC 🥭 ER4 gateway 🕐 ER 4 🧧) ER 3 🥔 ER 3 Mgr 🕘 eep 🎒 EPPI 🦉 Weather 🕐 ER4 bk-epi 🚻 Video - ITV Player 避 ER 2 👋					
	Codina Only mode:					
Document details	22 T					
L Auto advance 🔲 Hotkeys	Citation details Text document Reference Search Coding record Linked records PDF					
	j Item 1 / 44 🔶 Previous Next 🔶					
EXCLUDE on date	Title The effectiveness of various models of primary care-based follow-up					
EXCLUDE on target group	after stroke: A systematic review					
EXCLUDE on intervention Info	Author(s) Allison R ; Shelling L ; Dennett I Item IDs Internal: 1738926 Imported: 4					
EXCLUDE on evidence Info	Month January Pub type Journal, Article 👻					
EXCLUDE on country Info	Year 2011 Included? 🗸					
INCLUDE for second opinion Info	Abstract Aim: To systematically review studies reporting the effectiveness of various					
INCLUDE on abstract Info	models of follow-up in primary care on a range of outcomes (physical,					
► 🍇 😄 🖌 Screening on Full Report	psychological, social functioning, or quality of life) for survivors of stroke and their caregivers. Background: Stroke is a major cause of disability globally.					
🕨 🕨 🚰 Demo keywording tool	Current UK policy calls for a primary care-based review of healthcare and					
🕨 👗 😅 🖆 Demo data extraction tool	social-care needs at six weeks and six months after hospital discharge and then annually. Methods: Trials meeting the pre-defined inclusion criteria were					
Allocation sets	identified by the systematic searching of electronic databases. Data were					
	McMaster University Quality Assessment Tool. Findings: Nine randomised					
Report sets						
	Files 🗸 🗸					
	Upload Vocument V Extension V Delete View tep Download View PDF					
^						
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Status: Normal. The Online Shop is now active in the Acco	unt Manager pages. It [Show More] User: Steven Startle Keview: EPPI-Keviewer 4 demo					

The document details window will look the same except some of the functionality has been removed. The codeset properties icon has been removed so the reviewer cannot edit the codeset.

In the **Coding record** tab the coder will only be able to examine their own coding. The **Run comparison** and the **Live comparison** options have been removed. This means that the coder cannot see other reviewers work allowing true double blind coding.

The ability to upload full text documents has been removed as well although if a document has already been uploaded it will be available to the coder to access.

A review administrator can also restrict a reviewer to the 'Coding only' role through the 'EPPI-Reviewer 4 account and review manager'. When a reviewer is the 'Coding only' role they will not have the option to load the review using the normal interface.

EPPI-Reviewer coding app

EPPI-Reviewer Coding is a new web-based interface for EPPI-Reviewer and replicates the functionality available in the Coding-Only interface of EPPI-Reviewer 4.

EPPI-Reviewer coding can work on most devices and browsers: you can access it on your phone and tablet, as it does not require Silverlight.

You can access the application here: <u>https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/eppireviewer-coding/home</u>

You log in using your normal EPPI-Reviewer username and password.

The layout is very similar to the normal coding-only interface so once you log in, you can select your review and see your assigned work.

					EPPI-Reviewer Codi	ing	Jeff Brunton 44	Logout
Work Alloca	tion List				First Previous Page	e: 1 of 1 Next Last	Showing 20 items of 20	
Codes to apply	Group	Allocated	Started	Remaining	Showing total work allocation	ion: Group 1 T&A		
Screen on title & abstract	Group 1 T&A	20	20	0	GO Answe	Title Title er (2001) Is everyone doing	genough to finish the job?	Year 2001
Screen on full report	Group 1 full report	8	8	0	(ld: 18647081)	rly (2001) European agreem	ient on residuals will bring concessions.	2001
					GO Cautic	ous (1998) Hourly intervention	ons add substance to concerns.	1998

Selecting the first item your assigned items will take you to the familiar coding screen where you can carry out your assigned tasks.

	EPPI-Reviewer Coding
 Screen on title & abstract EXCLUDE on date [info] EXCLUDE on country [info] EXCLUDE on topic [info] EXCLUDE on intervention [info] INCLUDE based on title & abstract [info] INCLUDE based on title & abstract [info] INCLUDE based on title & abstract INCLUDE based on title & abstract Yes Screen on full report A O Screen on full report A O Screen on full report A O Allocation codes A O Report sets A O Report sets A O COPY - NICE Quality Appraisal Checklist - qualitative intervention studies A O COPY - NICE Quality appraisal checklist - qualitative studies A O COPY - Stude Studies 	First Previous Next Last Item 1 of 20 Is everyone doing enough to finish the job? Abstract: Duis te feugifacilisi per suscipit lobortis nisl ut aliquip ex en commodo consequat.Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipis dolore magna aliguam erat volutpat. Ut wisis enim ad minim veniam, ex ea commodo consequat. Duis te feugifacilisi. Duis autem dolor in h feugiat nulla facilisis at vero eros et accumsan et iusto odio dignissim feugat nulla facilisi. Ut wisi enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exerce Authors: Answer Ashley ; Journal: Journal of participation Short Title: Ib: 18647081 Type: Journal, Article Year: Volume: 2 Pages: 7-9 Issue: 5 Edition: Imported ID:

Screening tab

Much of the coding functionality that has been described up to this point has now been incorporated into the **Screening** tab. From this tab you can set a number of screening parameters, let EPPI-Reviewer select the items that you will screen, and then click on the **Begin screening** button to access your items.

Screening tab layout

There are 4 main areas in the screening tab. In the top left corner is where you can set up the different options for the type of screening you will be carrying out.



(Note that any changes you make here should be saved, using the Save Options button – otherwise you will lose your changes. The exception is the chosen **Screening codeset**, which will be stored automatically.)

To the right, the screening progress is represented graphically.

On the bottom left the codes used by the **Priority screening** function are listed, whilst on the bottom right the training records related to the system's text mining functionality are displayed.

The process of setting up the screening tab depends on whether you are using the **Priority** screening functionality (text mining) or **Random** screening.

It is important to note that all the features described here are designed to work for standard screening exercises. Departures from the expected conventions might produce unexpected results: for example, the "Multiple (auto-complete)" reconciliation mode, expects that items will receive one and only one code

from the screening codeset, assigning more than one code might lead to incorrect detection of agreements.

Setting up for Random screening

To use Random screening (i.e. the items to screen are selected randomly based on your chosen parameters) you must select **Random** from the **Screening mode** dropdown menu in the **Screening options** area.

Documents	Search	Diagrams	Frequencies	Crosstabs	Reports	Meta-ana	lysis
Begin screening 🔅 Create list of items to screen							
		S	creening opt	ions			
		Scree	ning codeset	Screen or	n Title & A	bstract	•
		Sci	reening mode	Random			•
				O Screer	ı everythi	ng	
				Screen	selected	code	
				INCLUDE	E on title 8	& abstract	•

You should then select the coding tool you will be using from the **Screening codeset** dropdown menu. This screening tool should be set up using the Screening codeset type. You should see the codes from that selected codeset displayed at the bottom left hand side of the screen (unless you have unchecked the **Add codes automatically** option in that area).

Next, you need to let the system know where you are selecting the items from. The options are **Screen everything** or **Screen selected code**. **Screen everything** means that the selection of items will include all items in the review. **Screen on selected code** means you can select the items based on previous coding. For example, if you are screening on full report you might want to select the Include code from your **Screen on title and abstract** codeset so you are only looking at the items with that include code.

Now that the system knows what codeset to use and where to select the items from you can set the **Reconciliation mode** and the **# of people screening each item** option. What you set this to will depend on the codeset that you selected and whether it was set for normal or comparison coding.

If your coding tool is set for normal coding mode then the **# of people screening each item** value should be set to 1. As well, the **Reconciliation mode** should be set to **Single (auto-completes)**.

If your coding tool is set for comparison coding then the **# of people screening each item** value should be set to a value greater than one.

If you have set the **# of people screening each item** option to a value greater than one, then you should select one of the 4 **Multiple:** reconciliation options. These options are:

- Multiple (no auto-completion) this option will leave all of the coding marked as 'not complete'
- Multiple: auto complete (code level) this option will mark the coding as complete if there is agreement between the reviewers

- Multiple: auto complete (include / exclude level) this option will mark the coding as complete if there is agreement at the include vs exclude code level (i.e. two different excludes will still be an agreement)
- Multiple: safety first this will mark the item as complete and 'included' if any of the reviewers select an include code

	Screen everything 2 ど 名
	○ Screen selected code 한 번
a contra contra contra de la co	
# people screening each iter	۰ <u>۲</u> ۴
Reconcilliation mod	e v
	Single (auto-completes)
	Multiple (no auto-completion)
	Multiple: auto complete (code level)
	Multiple: auto complete (include / exclude level)
ave Options Cancel	Multiple: safety first

A final option is the **Auto-exclude** check. If this option is selected, items that are given an exclude code are also given the **E** flag.

# people screening each item	1	*	
Reconcilliation mode	Single (auto-completes)		
	✓ Auto-exclude		

This means the item will not be loaded when the review is loaded. You can find details about these flags under I, E and D flags.

The Review needs indexing option is not relevant if you are using random screening. It should be unchecked.

Once the reconciliation mode has been set you should now click the Save options button to save your selections.

You can now create the list of items to screen by clicking on the Create list of items to screen at the top of the page.

Documents	Search	Diagrams	Frequencies	Crosstabs	Report		
Begin screening 🔅 Create list of items to screen							
	45	s	creening opt	ions			
	Screening codeset Screen on Title 8						
	Screening mode Random						
				~			

Depending on the size of the review and the options you selected this operation can take a while to run. To see if the list generation is complete you can click on the **Refresh** icon next to the **Save** button. If the **List creation in progress** checkbox is selected then the list is still being generated. If the checkbox is not selected and disabled then the list generation is complete.

		List creation in progress
Save Options	Cancel	C

Once the list generation is complete you can begin screening items by clicking on the **Begin Screening** button at the top of the page.

If you are using comparison coding and have selected one of the multiple reconciliation modes the system will determine the items each person will screen to match the chosen parameters. For example, imagine a review with 3 screeners, the screening tool was set up for comparison coding, the '# people screening each item' was set for 2 and the reconciliation mode was 'Multiple (no auto-completion)'. If each reviewer clicked on Begin screening and started working through the items the system give each reviewer the correct number of items so that all items in the review were screened twice. If one person was screening quickly and the other two more slowly it would match up the items accordingly.

Note that making further changes to the screening options in this tab normally mean you should create a new list of items to screen, by clicking the appropriate button. Otherwise, you will still be working from the old list of items. (This won't matter for changes in reconciliation mode, for example, but changes in the screening codeset, changes in what to screen, and the like should be accompanied by the creation of a new, correct and up-to-date, list of items to screen.)

Setting up for Priority Screening

Setting up for **Priority** screening is very similar to setting up for **Random** screening but with a few extra steps. All of the steps will be repeated here for the benefit of the reviewer who hasn't read the **Random screening** section.

To begin you should select **Priority** from the **Screening mode** dropdown menu in the **Screening options** area.

As well you should select the screening tool that you will be using. This screening tool should be set up using the Screening codeset type.

Documents	Search	Diagrams	Frequencie	Crosstabs	Reports	Meta	
Begin screening 🔅 Create list of items to screen							
		Scree	ning optior	5			
		Screening of	codeset S	creen on Title	e & Abstra	•	
		Screenin	g mode P	iority		•	
			۲	Screen ever	ything		
			C	Screen selec	cted code		

Next you need to let the system know where you are selecting the items from. The options are **Screen everything** or **Screen selected code**. **Screen everything** means that the selection of items will include all items in the review.

Screen on selected code means you can select the items based on previous coding. For example, if you are screening on full report you might want to select the Include code from your **Screen on title and abstract** codeset. If you are screening on Title and Abstract you will most likely select **Screen everything**.

Save Options	Cancel	uencies	Crosstabs	Reports	Meta-analysis	Collaborate	My info
Begin screer	ning 💮 Create	list of iten	ns to screer	ı			÷ +
		Screenir	ng options				Key
	Sc	reening co	odeset So	reen on T	tle & Abstract	•	
	:	Screening	mode Pr	iority		•	
				Screen ev	verything		
			\bigcirc	Screen se	lected code		
	# people scre	ening eac	h item			1.00	
	Reco	ncilliation	mode			•	
			Si	ngle (auto	-completes)		2
			M	ultiple (no	auto-completior	ı)	
			M	ultiple: aut	o complete (coo	le level)	
			M	ultiple: aut	o complete (inc	lude / exclude	e level)
Save Option	ns Cancel		M	ultiple: saf	ety first		

Now that the system knows what codeset to use and where to select the items from you can set the **Reconciliation mode** and the **# of people screening each item** option. If you change the **# of people screening each item** to a value greater than 1 then you need to change the screening codeset to comparison coding. This is a property of the codeset. The value selected for the **# of people screening each item** option will determine what options you should select in the Reconciliation mode dropdown menu.

If the **# of people screening each item** option is set to 1 then you are in normal coding mode and **Reconciliation mode** should be set to **Single (auto-completes)**.

If you have set the **# of people screening each item** option to a value greater than one then you will want to select one of the 4 **Multiple:** reconciliation options. These options are:

- Multiple (no auto-completion) this option will leave all of the coding marked as 'not complete'
- Multiple: auto complete (code level) this option will mark the coding as complete if there is agreement between the reviewers
- Multiple: auto complete (include / exclude level) this option will mark the coding as complete is there is agreement at the include vs exclude code level (i.e. two different excludes will still be an agreement)
- Multiple: safety first this will mark the item as complete and 'included' if any of the reviewers select an include code

Once the reconciliation mode has been set you should now click the **Save options** button to save your selections.

You now need to let the system know what codes will be used to train the system. These will most likely be codes from the selected codeset (in the dropdown at the top of the screen) but it doesn't need to be all of those codes. If you have secondary codes that are classification in nature and not specific to screening codes you would probably not add them to the list. If you have criteria such as 'Exclude on date' you might not include them either as the date information is not normally in the title and abstract fields. The text mining functions only look at the title and abstract fields so criteria based on information outside of those fields will be ignored and would probably not be added to the list.

The method of adding individual criteria to the area at the bottom left of the screen is as follows:

Codes	Add selected code to include/exclude list	
i 📭 🗊 📼 📥 🍣 🎢	Code 🗸 Include? 🗸	
🗕 👫 🎽 Screen on Title & Abstract	EXCLUDE on date Delete	
- EXCLUDE on date	EXCLUDE on country Delete	
- EXCLUDE on country	EXCLUDE on target group Delete	
- EXCLUDE on target group	EXCLUDE on intervention Delete	П
- EXCLUDE on intervention	EXCLUDE on evidence Delete	
EXCLUDE on evidence	INCLUDE for second opinion V Delete	
EXCLUDE on region	INCLUDE on title & abstract 🔽 Delete	
- INCLUDE for second opinion		1
INCLUDE on title & abstract	and then click on the Add selected code to	
Saraan on Full Taut	include/exclude list arrow. This will place it in the list.	

include/exclude list arrow. This will place it in the list. Be sure to tick or untick the **Include?** box as appropriate.

Select the code in the Codes tab...

You should now be ready to create the list of items to screen by clicking on the Create list of items to screen at the top of the page.

Depending on the size of the review and the options you selected this operation can take a while to run. To see if the list generation is complete you can click on the Refresh icon next to the Save button. If the List creation in progress checkbox is selected then the list is still being generated. If the checkbox is not selected and disabled then the list generation is complete.

		List creation in progress
Save Options	Cancel	C
		~5

Once the list generation is complete you can begin screening items by clicking on the Begin Screening button at the top of the page.

As with random screening, as described above, note that making further changes to the screening options in this tab normally mean you should create a new list of items to screen, by clicking the appropriate button. Otherwise, you will still be working from the old list of items. (This won't matter for changes in reconciliation mode, for example, but changes in the screening codeset, changes in what to screen, and the like should be accompanied by the creation of a new, correct and up-to-date, list of items to screen.)

Grouping items

Quite often you may wish to create groups of studies or references based on how the items are coded. This is done using code sets.

For example, if you wanted to group all the references that were coded in a particular manner you would first run a search, based on a particular code, to identify the items (see <u>Searching</u>).

A code can now be assigned to the search results. Select the search result so the checkbox is ticked. Now right click a code in the Codes tab over on the right to display the menu. You will see an option labelled 'Assign items in selected searches to this code'. Click on this option and the selected code will be assigned to all of the items in the search.

You may wish to create a code set labelled Groups. In this code set you can set up a number of child codes, each one corresponding to a group.

This group of coded items can now be recalled at any time in the future.

Analysis of your data

As you code your studies you are building up a database of information about those studies. The analysis functions in EPPI-Reviewer enable you to analyse and synthesise the data you have entered. These features include:

- searching the data
- generating many different types of reports
- performing meta-analysis on the data

Searching

The data entered into EPPI-Reviewer are held in a powerful SQL database. This enables quick, sophisticated and sensitive searches to be performed.

To access the search functions click on the **Search** tab in the Home panel.

						and the second se	
Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies Cross	abs Reports Meta-analysis Co	ollaborate My info				×	Codes
👬 New search 🛛 💥 Delete selected 🛛 📝 Combine	AND OR NOT (inc	luded) 🔘 NOT (excluded)	······		te et la compart	Ţ	I 📮 🕦 🖪 📥
V Title 1 Coded with: Exclude on study design	Search Find documents	Containing this text education Title / abstract • Included C Exclude	Created by $\sqrt[7]{}$ Jeff Brunton	Date	Hits V 3	Select	Screening or Exclude on stud Exclude on stud Exclude on scop Include based or Allocation gr 10 items 2 + 3 items Allocation gr ingo3G clus Allocation gr ingo3G clus Allocation gr

Each search is stored as a row in the in the search table. To combine searches, select the searches in the search table, select a Boolean operator and click on **Combine selected**. The new search will appear in the table. Click on the **Select** button in the appropriate row to list the results in the Documents tab.

82 Analysis of your data

All searches can be restricted to either Included documents or Excluded documents.

To carry out a search click on the **New search** button on the top of the screen. This will open the search window. From this window searches are constructed.



Code set searching

From the top dropdown menu select either 'that have at least code from this set' or 'that don't have any codes from this set'. From the second dropdown menu pick the code set. Decide whether you are looking for excluded and included documents and then click Search.

The search results will place the search results in the search table.

Code searching

From the top dropdown menu select either 'with this code' or 'without this code'. From the second dropdown menu pick the code. You can expand the code set to find the code to search on. Decide whether you are looking for excluded and included documents and then click Search.

The search results will place the search results in the search table.

Freetext searching

Every piece of free-text information entered is indexed and available for searching. Free-text searches include:

- searching for phrases
- Boolean searching for words in the same record
- wildcard searching (for example, "behavio*" will locate both English and American spellings of the word
- proximity searching
- inflectional searching (for example, entering the term "run" will also search for "running" and "ran")

The free text search can be applied to the Title/Abstract, additional text entered by the reviewer, the text of the uploaded documents (pdfs, word docs, etc.), Authors, document IDs and publication year.

To search on free text information select 'containing this text' from the first drop down menu. Enter the text to be searched on in the box below. In the second dropdown menu select what to search. This could be Title/Abstract, Additional text (what you have entered) or Uploaded documents (any pdfs, etc. that you have uploaded), authors and document IDs. Now click on **Search** and the results will be displayed in the search table.

Frequency reports

Frequency reports allow a reviewer to gain an overview of the answers given to a particular question. It presents all possible answers together with the number of studies which were categorised with that answer and displays them in a table.

To run a frequency report, select the Frequencies tab in the Home panel. Highlight the code to be used in the Codes tab of the Toolbox panel. The frequency report will be generated based on the child nodes of the selected code.

Click on the **Get frequencies** button at the bottom of the Frequencies tab. The results will be displayed in a table where each row is a code. The frequencies of each code are contained in the Count column. Click on the **Go** button for a particular row and you are taken to the Documents tab where the selected items are displayed.

Documents	Search	Diagrams	Frequencies	Crosstabs	Reports	Meta-analysis	Collaborate	e My info		Codes
Code							7	Count 🏹	Select	-
EXCLUDE o	n date							2	Go	
EXCLUDE o	n target (group						61	Go	4 2
EXCLUDE o	n interve	ntion						97	Go	
EXCLUDE on evidence							6	48	Go	
EXCLUDE on country								1	Go	
INCLUDE or	n abstrac	t						53	Go	

You can limit the Frequency report to specific documents by selecting a code from the codes tab and clicking on **Set** for filter (optional).

You can also generate a frequency report for a codeset by right clicking on the codeset and selecting **Display included item frequencies (children)**. This operation will run a frequency report on the selected codeset and place the results in the Frequency tab.

The results of the frequency report can be exported to a number of different formats including Word and Excel.

Crosstab reports

Using the crosstab report, it is possible to cross-tabulate the answers of one question against another into a matrix to find the relationship between certain answers. This function is useful when comparing things such as types of interventions against results.

To run a crosstabs report, select the Crosstabs tab from the Home panel. You should also select the Codes tab from the Toolbox panel. Now select a question from a code set. Set this as the X axis of the Cross tabs by clicking on **Set** for the X-axis in the Crosstabs tab. Select a second question and make that the Y-axis by clicking on **Set** for the Y-axis.

Now click on **Get crosstabs** to display the results. The matrix is displayed with the answers for one question in rows and the answers for the other question in columns. Clicking on the intersection point for two answers will list the documents that have been coded with both answers.

Documents Sear	ch Diagrams	Frequencies	Crosstabs	Reports	Meta-analysis	Collaborate	My info
Code 🏹	0-4	5-10	11-	16	17-20	21 and	d over
Female only	0	2	2		0	0	
Male only	0	0	2		0	0	
Mixed sex	0	2	7		0	3	4.5

You can limit the crosstab report to specific documents by selecting a code from the codes tab and clicking on **Set** for filter (optional).

The results of the Crosstab report can be exported to a number of different formats including Word and Excel.

Coding reports

Coding reports are a way of displaying all of the coding for an item across the different codesets. To access the coding reports functions click on the Get coding reports(s) for the selected item(s) icon.

The **Search for documents i**con on the Documents tab will also give you access to the search functions.

First select the item or items you wish to have in the report from the documents tab. Then click on the icon to access the Item coding reports window.

	and the second		
err	Item coding reports		
y a icti	Please select the cod you have many items data.	le set s sele	(s) that you would like to use for this report. If acted, it may take a little white to retrieve all the
ele	Select code set		Code set 🗸 🗸
[:] m			Screening Criteria
y a			Data extraction tool
nrlio			Groups
02			Lingo3G clusters
ie (test 1
of r			test 2
ific			Lingo3G clusters
jes			
rab			
			Get report(s)
		-	

Select the codesets to include in the report and then click on Get report(s).

This will produce a report that will display the citation, it's abstract and all of the coding that was carried out using the selected codesets. If no coding was carried out, only the citation and abstract will be displayed.

Report viewer											- 0 X
File Edi	t View 1	Insert Mai	il Merge Format	Tools T	able Head	ler & Footer					
🗋 🗁 🔛	🗶 🗗 🖺	9 6 3				T		i 🖪 📅 🛛 🖗	1		
💱 🔉 Ω		# #	B I <u>U</u> <u>U</u> S	\$ X₂ X	Times New H	Roman	24 <u>A</u> •	Normal	inine (scord		
		For studies and/or how	that involve following those who dropped of	ng samples pr out of the stu	ospectively o dy differ from	ver time, do tl a those who re	he authors prov emained in the s	ide any inform study?	ation on w	hether	•
	 If the study involves following samples prospectively over time, do authors provide baseline values of key variables such as those being used as outcomes and relevant socio-demographic variables? 										
	Outcome data										
	Outcomes										
	Outcomes										
	Description	Outcome	Intervention	Control	Group 1 events	Group 2 events	Group 1 no events	Group 2 no events	Odds ratio	SE (log OR)	
	Outcome 1	Outcomes	reducing rates of smoking	patch vs gum	25	15	25	45	3	0.411	
	Outcome 2	Outcomes	reducing rates of smoking	patch vs gum	7	32	15	20	0.292	0.539	
4	ID 14: Fourly Charl Your ID: IT	Four es J; (2003) 1 T540975	ly (2003) Multi-agency services	s may substit	ude existing s	tandards Jou	ırnal substitutic	mal. 77(4): 3 -	17.		
Pages: 1	of 20 🔻		, Lotor Lacost onangeo a		0101 0001130	n oranton I <mark>rea</mark>		10	0% ▼ E	-	

Configurable Reports

The report functions will allow the user to display their coding in many different formats. The user can select the codes or codesets to build the report and then select the documents to include in the report.

To create a report go to the Reports tab of the Home panel. Enter a name in the 'Report title' box and click on the **Save** button. This title can be edited at any time.

A report consists of one or more columns that contain the codes that make up the report. To add a new column to your report click on the **Add new column** button and the new column will be displayed on the screen.



You can now drag the codes or codesets from the Code tab on the right side of the screen over to column. The codes or codesets that can be dragged will have an arrow next to them. Depending on the type of report the user can choose as many codes as they wish to put in a column. The user can add as many columns as they wish to a report.

There are two types of report. 'Question' reports summarise all the answers or codes directly below a specified code or code set. These reports can also have multiple codes per column. 'Answer' reports contain one answer (code) per column. 'Answer' reports can also contain information about outcomes. Where this is selected, they default to a format that is suitable for use in other statistical software and do not display additional text.

You can change your report between 'Question' and 'Answer' using the drop down menu.

Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs R	eports Meta-analysis Collaborate My info			Codes
Report Title Data extraction report #1	Study sample Drag codes onto this column and edit its proper	Report type	vuestion nswer mn	Comparison of the second
Country in which intervention was implemented How were the people providing the intervention recruit Type of intervention Abstract	Age group Sex Sampling and recruitment procedures		odes or code sets from the right on umns on the left.	How can the study Support for the study Gescription of the Germany The Netherland USA UK Finland Norway
			eligible c col	- Sweden - France - Switzerland

To edit a column's properties click on the Minimize button at the top right hand corner of the column. The column properties will displayed where the user can edit the Column title and choose what to display in the report. By

default the code selected, any additional text added by the user and any text coded in uploaded documents, such as pdfs, will be displayed in the report. This can be seen by the checked boxes.

Intervention Study sample (a) dit the title of this column and which data you want displayed. When you have finished (a) dit the title of this column and which data you want displayed. When you have finished (a) Column title Intervention (a) Column title V Title V Code V Additional text V Coded t (a) Column title V Title V Code V Additional text V Coded t (a) Country in which interventic Country in which inte (a) (a) (a) (a) tow were the people provid How were the people (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) wbstract Abstract (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a)		ā					(4)
dit the title of this column and which data you want displayed. When you have finished diting, click the '-' icon on the right. Column title Intervention Column title ▼ Title ▼ Code ▼ Additional text ♥ Code t ♥ Country in which interventic Country in which inte ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ tow were the people provid How were the people ♥ ♥ tow were the people provid How were the people ♥ ♥ type of intervention Type of intervention ♥ towstract Abstract ♥ Abstract ♥ ♥	Intervention					Study sample 📵	Add new colum
Column title Intervention Column title V Code V Additional text V Coded t V Column title V Title V Code V Additional text V Coded t V Column title V Title V Code V Additional text V Coded t V Country in which interventic Country in which inte Image: Country in which inte <th>lit the title of this column and v diting, click the '-' icon on the n</th> <th>which data you wani ight.</th> <th>t displayed</th> <th>. When you have fin</th> <th>nished</th> <th></th> <th>to</th>	lit the title of this column and v diting, click the '-' icon on the n	which data you wani ight.	t displayed	. When you have fin	nished		to
Column title Title V Code V Additional text V Codet V Country in which interventic Now were the people provid How were the people Image: State of the people <t< th=""><th>Column title Intervention</th><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>it on</th></t<>	Column title Intervention	-					it on
Country in which interventic Country in which inte Image: Country in	Column title 🛛 🗸 Tit	tle 🗸	Code 🟹	Additional text 🏹	Coded t 🟹		righ
How were the people provid How were the people Image: Constraint of	Country in which interventic Co	ountry in which inte					the
ype of intervention Type of intervention 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	low were the people provid Ho	ow were the people					mo
Abstract Ø Ø Ø	ype of intervention Ty	pe of intervention	Z				s fr
	Abstract Ab	ostract					set left
							G

Be sure to save your report when you have finished putting it together.

Once a report is saved it can be retrieved by clicking on the **Load** button next to the **Save** button at the top of the Reports tab.

To run a report first select the documents that will be included in the report. This could be documents grouped with a particular code or individually selected items. The documents to include should be selected in the Documents tab of the Home panel.

Once the documents are selected click on the **Run reports** icon in the Documents panel.

÷.	The Run reports icon can be found at the top of the Documents tab of the Home panel.

You can also click on the **Run** button at the top of the Reports tab.

Clicking on the Run reports icon opens up the Reports dialog box.

Select the saved report from the **Report** dropdown menu. If you have not already picked the items to include in your report you can select them based on previous coding by selecting **Items with this coding** in the **Items to include** dropdown menu. You can also decide the order the items in the report should appear from the **Order by** dropdown menu.

The Display option allows you to select fields from the citation to be displayed in the report.

Other options include whether to display the report in a horizontal or vertical alignment.

Documents Sear	ch Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs Reports Meta-analysis Collaborate My info				
🕴 📝 Save 📑	Load 🎐 New 🐨 Run				
Report Title Mea	surement tools Rep				
Dr	ag and Drop eligible codes or code-sets from the right onto columns on the left				
	Reports				
Interview	Report Date extraction report #1				
Drag codes onto column and edit properties by cli	t i Items to include All selected items				
Order by Short title					
	Display 🗹 Item Id 📃 Imported Id 🗹 Short Title 📃 Title				
Year Abstract 🗹 Uncoded Items Outcomes					
Additional Text Tag: [Info] 🗹 Add Bullets to Codes					
	Show as Risk Of Bias figure Alignment:				
	Note - The order of the classification codes in the risk of				
	- Low (1 code only)				
	- High (1 code only)				
	- Unclear (1 or more) Go Close				

You can also specify a tag to place in front of the Info text and whether place bullets in front of the selected codes. Bullets are useful when the user may have selected multiple responses for a particular question.

The Show as Risk of Bias figure option is described below.

Once your options are selected click on the command button labelled **Go**. The report is then generated and displayed in the Report viewer window. From this window can user can edit, save or print the report.

Creating a Risk of Bias report

You can generate Risk of Bias diagrams using the configurable report function. A Risk of Bias diagram can be used to summarise reviewer judgements about the reliability of the studies in a review.

To generate these diagrams you must first create a risk of bias coding tool. This could be a separate codeset in your review or it could be part of an existing codeset. You are free to construct the tool however you wish to examine any concepts of the studies that may be subject to bias. The only restriction is that the ratings of risk must be in a particular order.

The order must be:

1. Low Risk

- 2. High Risk
- 3. Unclear

The names or wording that you give to the ratings is not important. You can word the ratings however you wish as long as the order is the same.

An example is shown of how the Cochrane Collaboration's risk of bias tool could be set up in EPPI-Reviewer.

	🤱 🥩 🞽 Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing (
<i>4</i> .	 Selection bias
si.	 Random sequence generation
6	- 🖌 Low Risk Info
8	— 🔲 High Risk Info
8	Unclear Info
8	 Allocation concealment
	- 🖌 Low Risk Info
8	— 🧾 High Risk Info
8 -	Unclear Info
4	- Performance bias

This particular tool is available to copy into your review using the **Codeset copy** utility that you can find under **Utilities** in the **EPPI-Reviewer 4 account and review manager**. You can find the **Account manager** on the **EPPI-Reviewer 4 gateway** (http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/er4).

Once you have created your coding tool and coded your studies you can create the risk of bias diagram in the Reports tab.



The report should be a **Question** report. You should start by creating a new column for each question that you wish to display and then drag in the appropriate question into each column from your coding tool. For the report to appear as you expect you will want to only have one question in each column.

Be sure to **Save** your report once you finished putting it together.

Once the report is set up you should click **Run**. This will bring up the **Reports** window.

Reports		X						
Report	RoB - Selection Bias	•						
Items to include	All selected items	•						
Order by	Short title	•						
Display	🖌 Item Id 🔲 Imported Id 🗹 Short Title 🛛	Title						
	Year Abstract 🗹 Uncoded Items Outcomes							
Additio	Additional Text Tag: [Info] 🗹 Add Bullets to Codes							
Show as Risk (Of Bias figure	Alignment:						
Note - The order	Note - The order of the classification codes in the risk of							
bias codese	t must be:	Vertical						
- Low (1 cod	e only)							
- High (1 cod	de only)	Construction of the Construction of the						
- Unclear (1	or more)	Go Close						

From this window you should select the report name and the items to include in the report. You should also check the **Show as Risk of Bias figure** checkbox. Once you have done this click **Go**.

Two diagrams will be generated and displayed in the **Report viewer** window.

Report viewe	r				
	1 () ()	<i>#</i> 3			100% 🔽 🖨 — 🗸 —
Risk	of bias				
Item ID	Short Title	Random sequence generation	Allocation concealment	Blinding of participants and personnel*	Blinding of outcome assessment*
11960171	Answer (2001)	+	Ŧ	+	?
11960172	Botherly (2001)	+	+	-	1
11960173	Cautious (1998)	+	-	-	.
11960174	Evenly (2006)	?	-	-	+
11960175	Falter (2001)	+	+	+	+
11960176	Fitfull (1999)	-	?	?	+
11960177	Fortunate (2002)			+	?
11960178	Fourly (2003)			-	+

The first diagram will display each study in its own row and the rating that you have given it for each question. The low risk ratings are shown as green with a plus sign, the high risk ratings are red with a minus sign and the unclear items are displayed in yellow with a questions mark.



The second diagram will display the percentage of items that were assigned a particular rating with respect to each question. The colour coding is as before (green : low, red : high, yellow : unclear) but with the percentage written in the bar.

As with all other reports that are displayed in the Report viewer you can save it as a Word document, or an html file or a text file. If you wish to have it in Excel you just need to save it as an html file and then open that file in Excel.

Creating a report that best displays your data

The report function offers the user many options on how to best present their data. How to set up your report can depend on how you have set up your coding tool.

92 Analysis of your data

If your coding tool has many levels you will need to drag the lower levels to the columns as report function will only examine one level of hierarchy at a time. You can place the lower levels in the same column or different columns depending on what you prefer. For example, if you were creating a multiple report and your coding tool looks like this (see below), the root level would be 'Small Animals'. To be sure you had all responses in your report, including the levels below the root level, you should drag in 'Small Animals' and the levels below them such as 'Infection' so you would get the codes below that level. This will ensure that all of the possible responses will be accessible to the report.



These are a few examples of reports for different scenarios.

1. Show the responses to a number of questions In the same column



This is how it looks when setting it up.

Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs	s Reports Meta-analysis Collaborate My info	Codes	- @ X
File Edit View Insert Mail Merge	e Format Tools Table Header & Footer		
R 🗋 😂 😹 🗗 🖏 🤊 🥙 🛷 🗚	■ = = = = = = = = = = = = =	I II II II 🚯 🔐 🖉 🥖 🔳	
💙 👯 Ω 🖬 📰 🔳 🖷 🖪 Ι	UUS SX2 X ² Times New Roman 24		
Item Id Title	Intervention	Study sample	•
1224297 Botherly (1999)	Country in which intervention was implemented	Age group	
	USA	Young people 13-15	
	How were the people providing the intervention recruited?	Sex	
	Stated (write in) The community organizer is usually a community	Mixed sex	
	member selcted because of good rapport with neighbourhood residents	Sampling and recruitment procedures	
	Type of intervention	Details Recruitment	
	Advice/counselling counselling/mental health services available where needs	"Adolescents were eligible to participate if they were not currently enrolled in an ongoing structured after-school program that had a regular meeting celedule: If they would be 13. 140 meeting 1007	
	careers advice etc. also more generally available	for the New York City Sites and if they would 13,14 or 15 on January 1, 1998 for the other sites; and if they	
	Casework	were not currently pregnant or parenting.	
		Programs used a variety of of recruitment strategies	

This is how it looks when presented.

This can be set up with multiple columns so you can group related questions in each column.

2. Have a question in each column



This is how it looks when setting it up.

Documents Search Diagram	ns Frequenc	cies Crosstabs Repo	orts Meta-analysis Collaborate My info		Codes						
File Edit View	Insert	Mail Merge Fr	ormat Tools Table Header & Footer								
R 🗅 🗁 🔛 🕹 🖒 🖡	6 7 6	🛷 🔏 🔳 E	E = 🖬 🖷 🗄 🏭 🖛 ¶ 🏢								
🐬 🛝 Ω 🖬 🔳	🏹 🎎 Ω 🖾 📰 📳 📕 🗿 I U U S S X, X ² Times New Roman 24. <u>A</u> * ⁴ Δ * Normal 🗮 🚍										
	-			6:							
	Item Id	Title	In which country/countries was the study carried out? (please specify)	What is the sex of the learners	What is/are the educational setting(s) of the study?						
	1224298	Answer (2001)	Details of country of study Canada United States	Mixed sex	Secondary school						
-	1224297	Botherly (1999)	Details of country of study England Wales	Mixed sex	Independent school						
	1224310	Cautious (1998)	Details of country of study Spain	Mixed sex	Correctional institution						
	1224304	Evenly (2006)	Details of country of study England	Mixed sex	Higher education institution						
-	1224294	Faller (2001)	Details of country of study Canada	Female only	Residential school						
-	1224306	Fitfulll (1999)	Details of country of study Denmark	Mixed sex	Secondary school						
	1224308	Fortunate (2002)	Details of country of study United States	Mixed sex	Higher education institution						
-	1224295	Fourly (2003)	Details of country of study England	Male only	Independent school						
	1224293	Further (1999)	Details of country of study	Mixed sex	Secondary school						

This is how it looks when presented.

3. Show the responses to a question but have each answer in it's own column

Documents Search	h Diagrams F Load 🤗 New (requencies Cross	stabs Reports M	leta-analysis Col	laborate My info			
Report Title Screet	New columination of the second	New colu Drag codes onto this column and EXCLUDE	New columation of the second s	New colum	New columation of the second s	Report type New colu Drag codes onto this column and INCLUDE •	le codes or code sets from the right on to columns on the left. ↓	Screening on Title and Abstract EXCLUDE on date EXCLUDE on target group EXCLUDE on intervention EXCLUDE on evidence EXCLUDE on country INCLUDE on country INCLUDE for second opinion INCLUDE on abstract INCLUDE on abstract Demo keywording tool EXCLUDE on data extraction tool EXCLUDE strats Allocation sets Allocation sets Mapping report #1 Data extraction reports

This is how it looks when setting it up.

Documents Search Diad	arams Frequent	cies Crosstabs Reports	Meta-analysis	Collaborate My i	info		Code	5		_ 0 :
🖣 File Edit Vie	w Insert	Mail Merge Forn	nat Tools	Table Head	der & Footer					
R 🗋 🗁 🔛 🗶 D	P 🖺 🤊 🤊		= = = =	11 11 11 1 <u>1</u>	🖷 ¶ III		5 6 6 6			
💞 🛝 Ω 🖬 🗐		<u>■</u> <u>B</u> <u>I</u> <u>U</u>	S 중 X₂	X ² Times New I	Roman 24	<u>A</u> • ^{ab}	• Normal			
	Scre	ening repo	ort							
	Item Id	Title	EXCLUDE on date	EXCLUDE on target group	EXCLUDE on intervention	EXCLUDE on evidence	EXCLUDE on country	INCLUDE for second opinion	INCLUDE on abstract	
	1224414	Adedara (2010)		EXCLUDE on target group						
	1224576	Albrecht May (2008)			EXCLUDE on intervention					
	1224582	Albrecht-Buehler (2008)		EXCLUDE on target group						
	1224390	Al-Kappany (2010)				EXCLUDE on evidence				
	1224391	Al-Kappany (2010)		EXCLUDE on target group						
	1224568	Al-Qassab (2009)			EXCLUDE on intervention					
	1224423	Altintaş (2010)			EXCLUDE on intervention					
	1224338	An (2010)				EXCLUDE on evidence				- 64
	1224298	Answer (2001)							INCLUDE on abstract	
		1			EXCLUDE	1				

This is how it looks when presented.

4. Show the outcomes entered for the studies

Doc	uments Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs Reports Meta-analysis Collaborate My info	Codes
	Save 🔁 Load 🦻 New 🗊 Run	
Rep	ort Title Outcomes Report type Answe	r
	New column	d new column
Di	ag codes onto this column and edit its properties by clicking the icon on the top right.	C → ↔ How can the study be identified?
	Knowledge	Type of study Description of the intervention Description of the intervention Dutcome of the outcome evaluation The outcome of the intervention Dutcome of the intervention Dutcome classifications Data collection tool: observation Data collection tool: self report (of

This is how it looks when setting it up.

Be sure you have checked **Outcomes** when running the report.

Docume Repo	ents Search Diaor rt viewer	ams Fre	auencie	s Crossta	abs Repor	ts Meta-a	analvsis	Collaborate	Mv info	1										Codes		<u>ہ</u> ا
File	e Edit View	Inse	ert I	Mail Mer	ge For	mat T	Fools	Table	Header	& Fo	oter											
R	🖻 🖫 🛛 🖉		96	𝒞 ÂB	E	3		III 000	E <u>\</u> i= ■	1	¶				1	5	di	ø	0			
1	Α! Ω		# 6	B	ΙÜ	<u></u>	S= X₂ 1	X ² Times	New Rom	an		24		A	• 2	2 •	Norm	al			-	
		0	iteo	mes	5																	
		Ite	Titl	Kno	Outco	Outco	Outc	Interv	Comp	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	ES	SE	7
		m Id	e	ge	me descri	type	ome	ention	arison	at a	at	at a	at	at a	at	at	at	at a	at			
					ption					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1 0			
		122 429 7	Bot herl y (19 99)	1	Know ledge after 6 mont hs	Conti nuous : Ns, mean s and SD	Kno wled ge	Educa tional mater ials	Curre nt practi ce	3 4	3 4	22	2 1	5	5	0	0	0	0	0.19771863 1178707	0.2431638 43407036	ı
		122 429 3	Furt her (19 99)	1	Know ledge after 5 mont hs	Conti nuous : Ns, mean s and SD	Kno wled ge	Educa tional mater ials	Curre nt practi ce	5 5	5 4	2	1	4	4	0	0	0	0	0.24824355 971897	0.1923372 58083852	
		122 429 3	Furt her (19 99)	1	Attitu des: 6 mont hs	Conti nuous : Ns, mean s and SD	Attitu des	Educa tional mater ials	Curre nt practi ce	67	7 6	23	2 1	4	4	0	0	0	0	0.49733570 1598579	0.1702134 13944222	

This is how it looks when presented.

The column widths will need adjusting depending on the content.

Meta-analysis

A statistical meta-analysis allows the user to combine the numerical results of primary research studies that are addressing similar research questions.

EPPI-Reviewer contains a suite of functions to support statistical meta-analysis, supporting this process from the extraction of data from primary studies to the production of forest plots and sub-group analyses.

There are three stages involved in conducting meta-analysis on EPPI-Reviewer:

- 1. Setting up your coding tool
- 2. Entering outcome data
- 3. Getting your results



Results are available in two forms: either through the built-in statistical functions within ER4, or though exporting your data for use in other statistical packages (such as stata, SPSS or excel).

Please note: The newest meta-analysis functions in ER4 allow for complex meta-analysis analysis to be undertaken and the new capabilities mirror most of those found in other software packages. Specifically, the new functions are based on the 'metafor' package in R. In their reporting, users of these functions should cite: Wolfgang Viechtbauer (2010). Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 36(3), 1-48

Users of these functions should familiarise themselves with these techniques and the underlying assumptions before undertaking meta-analyses – many of these are discussed in the EPPI-Centre Introductory text on systematic reviews (which also contains suggestions for sources for more indepth reading): Gough, D., Oliver, S., & Thomas, J. (Eds.). (2012). *An introduction to systematic reviews*. Sage.

The example that follows assumes that you are doing a 'standard' meta-analysis, which is looking at the effectiveness of a given intervention using controlled trials. However, the functions work equally well for other types of analysis, including the synthesis of correlation coefficients.

Special mention should be made to two chapters which have informed the development of these functions: Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JPT, Rothstein HR (2009) Subgroup analyses. In: Introduction to Meta-Analysis. : John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, pages 59-86; and Deeks JJ, Douglas AG, Bradburn MJ (2001) Statistical methods for examining heterogeneity and combining results from several studies in meta-analysis. In: Egger M, Davey Smith G; Altman DG Systematic Reviews in Health Care: Meta-analysis in Context. London: BMJ Publishing Group.

Setting up a meta-analysis in EPPI-Reviewer

Planning

You should begin to plan your meta-analysis at the beginning of your data extraction, so that you classify your studies in the way that you want to be able to use them in the meta-analysis. There are three types of codes to consider creating in your code set:

- Study codes;
- Intervention / Outcome / Comparison codes; and
- Outcome classification codes

Stage 1: Setting up the coding tool

Setting up coding tools, as described under Setting up the coding tool earlier in the manual, is a matter of creating a code set and then right-clicking its nodes to create the structure of your choice. The same tool is used for metaanalysis, but the types of codes involved are slightly different.

Usually, when you are setting up codes for data extraction, you use the top two options in the dropdown list depending whether you want a code to be selectable (i.e. appear with a checkbox next to it) or not. Codes for outcomes are set up in the same way, though additional options are available: outcome, intervention, comparison, and 'outcome classification code'.

It is not necessary to use intervention, comparison or classification codes, though you do need to set up at least one outcome code for the 'outcomes' button to appear on the coding screen.



Outcome, intervention and comparison codes

Codes which allow you to classify the outcome, intervention and comparison are each individually selectable. While you may need to compile a list of, for example, all the outcomes a study evaluates (and so select from a list when doing a data extraction of a study), you also need to be able to distinguish which outcome from the list each outcome refers to. The same principle applies to interventions and comparisons. If you specify that a given code is

one of these types, it is still selectable in the standard coding screen, but it also then appears in the screen where statistical outcome data are entered, and in the meta-analysis screens.

Outcome classification codes

As well as being able to specify the set categories of outcome, intervention and comparison, it is also possible to set up as many additional categorisations are needed. These are useful in situations in which you have multiple outcomes with the same outcome, intervention and comparison definitions. An example of their use might be subgroup analyses in which results are presented separately for males and females, or to be able to distinguish different types of measurement tool for assessing the same outcome. Outcome classification codes appear as a list of selectable checkboxes on the right hand side of the screen where statistical data are entered.

Once you have set up at least one code as an outcome, the 'outcomes' button will appear on the coding screen



Summary of EPPI-Reviewer code types

Study codes

These are normal 'answer' codes in a code set. They describe a given study characteristic, for example, an aspect of the population, or particular intervention component. These characteristics are constant for all outcomes – they only vary across studies, not across outcomes in the same study. The ways of creating these codes are documented above.

Intervention / Outcome / Comparison codes

These are specific categories which are useful for classifying outcome characteristics. Importantly, they can differ *between outcomes in the same study*, so are applied to individual outcomes, rather than whole studies. These are special code types that are selected when you create a new code.

Outcome classification codes

Like intervention / outcome / comparison codes, outcome classification codes are codes which apply to individual outcomes, rather than the whole study. For example, you might want to have classification codes which allow you to identify the follow-up period for intervention measurement, as you might have several of these per study. Classifying study outcomes in this way allows you to quickly to identify specific sub-sets for meta-analysis.

Stage 2: Entering outcome data

Once you have specified at least one code as being an 'outcome' (in order for the outcome button to appear in your coding screen), you are able to enter outcomes. Outcomes are associated with a given code set, so you will need to have at selected at least one code for the coding record to be created. Clicking the 'outcomes' button presents you with a small box containing a list of the outcomes already entered (in your current coding record) against the study record you are working with.

veu ii		uie	iumens or ma vessels, omgre	T-111	cron spheres were obse	erveu in u	le lumens or cho	1010
orse	a	nd ir	ndicated that it may be more	exte	nsive than that in other	species i	nvestigated (ie, t	the
erina	E	dit	outcomes				_ 0 %	h e
			Title	V	Intervention ∇			=
		>	Knowledge after 5 months		Educational materials	Edit	Delete	
n.gov				N	ew outcome			:00
							Institution	

You can edit existing outcomes, enter new ones and delete redundant outcomes from this screen. Clicking 'new outcome' will cause the outcome editing screen to appear

		✓ Citatio	n details Text documen	t Reference Search Coding	g reco	rd L	inked records	
Ľ	Edit / create outcome			158		-		•
2	Description	Doyle					Classifications 🗸	
ılati om	Outcome type	Manual entry 🔹	Outcome	Knowledge 🔻	>		Males	
	Intervention	One-to-one training 🔹	Control	Booklet 🔻			Females Measurement tool: clinical test	
	SMD	0.651	standard error	0.122474			Measurement tool: self-report	
ver	r	0	standard error	0				
	odds ratio	0	SE (log OR)	0				
	risk ratio	0	SE (log RR)	0				
par	risk difference	0	standard error	0				
	mean difference	0	standard error	0				
		Correct for unit of analys	is error					
om 1ale		0.651	SE	0.122474				
		Save outcome		Cancel				
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ew on

This figure shows an outcome being entered manually. The codes set up previously all appear in the appropriate places on this screen: outcomes, interventions and comparisons are available to select on the left hand side, and classification codes appear as a list on the right.

As well as classifying outcomes, of course, this screen enables you to enter numeric data. There are currently eight types of outcome available. If you require other types please contact us and we will see if they can be added to the program.



You should name the outcome, enter your outcome data based on the outcome type selected and then click **Save outcome**. Once you have outcomes entered, you can view them from the 'coding record' tab by clicking view.

D	ocuments Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs Re Document details	ports	Meta-analysis Collaborate My info		Cod	es		_ @ x
s	Codes	Cit	tation details Text document Reference Search	Coding (record Linked records			
	E 📮 🕦 📘 📥 🔲 Auto advance 🔲 Hotkeys		Code set		Reviewer 🗸	Completed? 🏹	Locked? 🏹	
	🖌 🤱 🔗 🦯 Data extraction codes		Data extraction codes		James Thomas			View
	Population type							
	- Outcomes							
ļ	─ ✓ Knowledge Info Outcomes							

Your outcomes will then appear in a table as shown below (image is for demonstration purposes only).

Report viewer	1								-				- 0 %
File Edit Viev	w Insert	Mail Merge	Format Too	ls Table	Heade	r & Footer	r						
🗅 🗁 🔛 📈 🗅	2 7 6	🧇 👬 🔳			15 <i>Y</i> =	🖷 🦷		E G	- 🔂 🖉	0			
👽 🕸 Ω 🖬 🛅	📑 📕 🜌	B I	ण ण २ ३	X, X ³ Tu	nes New Ro	man	24	<u>A * "2 *</u>	Normal			#	
		•	Behaviour										-
		 Compar 	rison										
		•	Current practice										
	• (Jutcome class:	fications										
	utcomer												
	Jutcomes							-		_			
D	Description	Outcome	Intervention	Control	Group 1 N	Group 2 N	Group 1 mean	Group 2 mean	Group 1 SD	Group 2 SD	SMD	SE	
A	attitudes: 1 nonth	Attitudes	Educational materials	Current practice	78	87	22	22.1	6	6	-0.0166	0.156	
K (v	Inowledge written test)	Knowledge	Educational materials	Current practice	89	80	40	35	8	8	0.622	0.158	

The saved outcomes are available for running meta-analysis once the coding for that item is marked as completed.

Stage 3: Setting up your data and choosing studies for inclusion

There are two ways of using the data entered as outcomes in ER4: running meta-analyses with the ER4 program itself, and exporting the data for use in another software application.

Running meta-analyses in EPPI-Reviewer

Once outcomes have been entered, they can be selected for inclusion in individual meta-analyses on the 'Metaanalysis' tab. Any existing meta-analyses will be listed.

Existing users will see options for editing/running meta-analysis (using a new set of commands added in in September 2015), or will be able to run commands using the 'old' method. When the same specifications are entered, both methods should give the same results, although selecting the edit/run option will allow users greater flexibility and functionality over the analyses that are undertaken and the diagnostics and outputs that are produced.

Users setting up new meta-analysis will be guided through the full set of (new) options, which are discussed below.

Docu	ments Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs Reports Meta-analysi	Collaborate My info			
i S	🤊 New meta-analysis 🛭 😂 Sub-group analysis 🛛 🯠 Meta-analysis training				
	Meta-analysis	Effect measure			
	New meta-analysis	Continuous: d (Hedges g)	Edit/Run	Delete	Run (old method)
	New meta-analysis	Continuous: d (Hedges g)	Edit/Run	Delete	Run (old method)
	New meta-analysis	Binary: odds ratio	Edit/Run	Delete	Run (old method)
	New meta-analysis	Continuous: d (Hedges g)	Edit/Run	Delete	Run (old method)
	New meta-analysis	Binary: odds ratio	Edit/Run	Delete	Run (old method)
	New meta-analysis	Continuous: d (Hedges g)	Edit/Run	Delete	Run (old method)

To create a new meta-analysis click on the **New meta-analysis** button. This will open the Setup meta-analysis window.

s	etup	p meta-analy	ysis							_ @ X				
Γ			Meta-a	nalysis options					Forest plot o	options				
P	leta	-analysis titl	e New met	a-analysis	Significance leve	el 95		x-axis	title					
	0	Dutcome typ	Continuc	ous: d (Hedges g)	 Decimal place 	s 4	Sun	nmary estimate (t	itle)					
		Mod	el "FE": fix	ed effect	▼ Rank cor	relation test fo	or funnel plot asym	imetry 🗹 Ado	d annotations					
		Output styl	eStandard	ł	→ Trim and	d Fill'	She	ow weights along v	with annotations					
			Knaj Adju	pp & Hartung (knh Istment	a) Egger's r asymmet	egression Test try	for funnel plot	✓ Sho val	ow summary estim ues for moderators	nate (or fitted s)				
	Display fit statistics (fitstats)													
	Display confidence intervals (confint)													
	Show funnel plots													
	🔀 Run meta-analysis 🔒 Save meta-analysis 💠 Add column													
		es 🏹	SE 💎 🏹	Study 🗸	Outcome description ∇	Туре 🏹	Outcome 🛛 🏹	Intervention ∇	Comparison 🏹					
[0.00	0.00	Abdel (2013)	HCV OR	Binary	Main Outcome	Main Interventio	Main Comparisor					
		0.00	0.00	Buskila (1998)	HCV OR	Binary								
		0.34	0.26	Kim (2006)	CERI Outcome	Continuous								
		2.00	0.04	Kloek (2006)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
		0.29	0.70	Kong (2013)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
		-0.09	0.23	Parikh (2010)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
		-0.05	0.13	Wright (2013)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
		-0.12	0.34	Islam (2013)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
		0.09	0.13	Plescia (2008)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
		0.88	0.23	Russell (2010)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
		-0.03	0.04	Dodge (2013)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
	4									•				
P														

The window gives a snapshot of the data to be synthesised as well as the possible commands and options available for the meta-analysis.

Setting up your meta-analysis

Once you have completed your data extraction, you are ready to create your meta-analysis. The 'meta-analysis' tab allows you to create and edit any number of meta-analyses. The **Setup meta-analysis** screen is the place to do this.

Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs Reports Meta-analysis Collaborate My info Screening Codes												
Meta-analysis options Forest plot options												
Meta-analysis title New meta-analysis	Significance level Q5	v-avie title										
	Significance level 95	x-axis utre										
Outcome type Continuous: d (Hedges	Decimal places 4											
Model "FE": fixed effect	Rank correlation test f	or funnel plot asymmetry	✓ Add an	notations								
Output style Standard	with annotatio	ns										
Knapp & Hartung (knha) Egger's regression Test for funnel plot asymmetry 🗹 Show summary estimate (or fitted values for moderators)												
Adjustment												
Display fit statistics	ediction interva	als										
Display confidence	ntervals (confint)	Show boxplot and Q-Q graphs										
		Show funnel plats										
Snow tunnel plots												
R Run meta-analysis 🚽 Save meta-analysis 💠 Add column												
ES 🟹 SE 🏹 Study	🕅 Outcome description 🕅 Type 🛛 🦷	Outcome 🔪 🟹	Intervention ∇	ONLY/Fin; 🏹	Effect1:Fa 🏹	Healthbel 🏹	Measureis 🏹	' Immedia	•			
0.00 0.00 O'Loughlin (19	95 Daily smoking, 5-year lc Binary	Smoking cessation	Socio-economic status/positi	0	1	1	1	1				
0.00 0.00 O'Loughlin (19	95 IGNORE. Smokes >=25 Binary	Smoking cessation	Socio-economic status/positi	0	1	1	1	1	3			
0.00 0.00 O'Loughlin (19	99 IGNORE. Attempt to qui Binary	Smoking cessation	Socio-economic status/positi	0	0	1	1	1				
✓ 0.33 0.30 Banks (2009)	Daily moderate-vigorou: Continuous	Physical activity	Ethnicity	0	1	1	1	1				
0.00 0.00 Anand (2007)	IGNORE. Moderate activ Binary	Physical activity	Ethnicity	0	1	1	1	1				
0.00 0.00 Anand (2007)	IGNORE. High activity, i Binary	Physical activity	Ethnicity	0	1	1	1	1				
✓ -0.01 0.16 Anand (2007)	IGNORE. Change in scre Continuous	Physical activity	Ethnicity	0	1	1	1	1				
✓ -0.20 0.13 Poston (2001)	Physical activity (kcal/kg Continuous	Physical activity	Ethnicity	0	0	1	1	1				
✓ 0.34 0.26 Kim (2008)	Mean change in total ph Continuous	Physical activity	Ethnicity	0	1	1	1	1				
0.00 0.00 Kumpusalo (19	9 Physical exercise during Binary	Physical activity	Place of residence	0	1	1	1	1				
✓ 0.03 0.15 Black (2010)	Log average total Physic Continuous	Physical activity	Multiple health inequalities	0	1	1	0	1				
0.00 0.00 Anand (2007)	IGNORE. Low activity, ir Binary	Physical activity	Ethnicity	0	1	1	1	1				
		I						•				
Status: Normal. Last code update: 31/01/20	5. User: James Thomas Review: CHEI	RI: Community engagement to re	duce inequalities in health						- ~			

How to use the functionality available in the meta-analysis table

This adjustment should not be	
used when you're running a	Ê ★ [@]
Sature material fixed effect model (and so is	Select All
disabled when 'fixed effect' is	Ecrest plot optio
selected	Alternative/ placebo interver
Pieta-analysis title Shoking cess aton. cessation at 17 Significance level 95	x-axis title Comparator unclear / not re;
Outcome type Binary: odds ratio Decimal places 4	Summary estimate (title)
Model "FE": fixed ffect Rank correlation test for funnel plot asymmetry	Add annotations
Output style Standard	Here is a list of all the values ations
Knap & Hartung (knha)	selected in the 'comparison'
Adjustment	column (made visible by
✓ Display fit statistics (fitstats)	clicking the filter 'funnel'). It is Show rows with value that
Click a column header to order	possible to select all, or some, the sequal to
by that column	included in your analysis
	And •
🛛 😨 Run meta-analysis 🖶 Save meta-analysis 🔹 📥 Add column	Is equal to
	Click on the
ES V SE V Study V Outcome description V Type V Outcome V	funnel' icon Clear Filter Clear Filter
Outcomes which are incompatible	to bring up a Waitlist/delayed treatment
V 1.13 0.43 Gielen (1997) Cotini with the metric selected or have	list of all the Usual treatment/care, with assign 0 1 1
✓ 1.16 0.40 Malchodi (2003) Abstir zero standard error will not be pn	Column
1.01 0.16 Shelley (2008) Smok 'checked' for inclusion in the meta-	Inactive control 0 0 1
Campbell (2002) Decre analysis. This outcome is on the second when an	Occupation/employment stat: Waitlist/delayed treatment 1 0 1
1.47 0.19 Gold (1998) Long Continuous, but we have selected on we ext	concerned of the second s
0.00 0.00 Harmon (1995) Respo	t check for all nonsensical
✓ 0.74 0.10 Perry (1996) Cigarette use, at postte: Binary Smoking cessation figures	s; this standard error is
6.18 0.67 Andrews (2007) Six Months Continuous / Binary Smoking cesention clearly	coded as 'missing' and it relative/ placebo intervention 0 1 1
0.75 0.12 Fisher (1998) Smoking prevalence pinary Smoking cessation would	be possible to check for tive control 0 1 1
0.98 -999.00 Cite (1998) Long term smoking cess Binary Smoking cessation these,	by clicking the column parator unclear / not reported 0 0 1
0.70 0.15 O'Loughlin (1995 Daily smoking, 5-year lc Binary Smoking cessation header	r and ordering by SE tive control 0 1 1
Status: Normal. Last code update: 31/01/2015. User: James Thomas Review: CHERI: Community engagement to redu	ice inequalities in health



How the Intervention / Outcome / Comparison and Outcome Classification codes can be used

							Snow summary estimate (or fitted values										
 You can select either an individual code, or a question – which will result in the values entered for that question being placed in the cells below Any code or question from your data extraction / risk of bias assessment can be added as a column in the meta- analysis table. Just click 'add column' 				s) s (confint) Add column Outcome Smoking cessation Smoking cessation Smoking cessation Smoking cessation Smoking cessation Smoking cessation Smoking cessation Smoking cessation Smoking cessation	Add column Add co						tion inte raphs n meas assignr	ment					
				identified in this column are reflected in the column on the													
	Run meta-	analysis 🔓	Save meta-an	alysis 🕂	Add column					left – w	ith '1's)				Ţ		
	ES 💎	SE 🏹	Study	7 7		ŕγ	Inactivecontrol	V	3.Typeofcontrolgroup(sel	ect1only) 🏹	Immediat 🏹	Effect1:Fa 🏹	Healthbel 🏹	Calcu	•		
1	0.87	0.16	O'Loughlin (19	99 atus/positi	3a. Here, the answer 'inactive control' has	ulati	1		Inactive control		1	0	1	0			
	-999.00	-999.00	Helmert (1993) atus/positi	been selected. The	ulati	0		Matched data from targe	t population,	1	0	1	1			
1	0.70	0.15	O'Loughlin (19	99 atus/positi	outcomes from studies		1		Inactive control		1	1	1	0			
	0.33	0.30	Banks (2009)		coded with that code		1		Inactive control		1	1	1	0			
\checkmark	1.06	0.38	Anand (2007)		are given a '1'.	signr	0		Usual treatment/care, wi	th assignmer	1	1	1	0			
1	1.23	0.38	Anand (2007)		Usual treatment/care, with as	ssignr	0		Usual treatment/care, wi	th assignmer	1	1	1	0			

How you can use generic study-level codes to organise outcomes in your meta-analysis

Stage 4 – specifying the data type

As with entering the numeric outcome data, the outcomes, interventions and comparisons are available in order to help you select which outcomes you want to include in each analysis. As well as specifying which outcomes should be included in the analysis, you also select the effect measure you want to use in the meta-analysis (odds ratio / mean difference, etc). Users can select which type of outcome to analyse through clicking on the drop down menu. In the example below the data contain both binary and continuous outcomes – only values for the selected outcome type will appear (others will be viewed as zero) and be selectable on the left hand side.

It is therefore not possible to combine different outcome types without first transforming the data (e.g. using Chinn's formula to convert odds ratios to d's and vice versa). *note in the next planned iteration there will be additional functionality to help users to undertake such transformations.



The full range of outcome types available are:


Stage 5 – specifying the model type

EPPI-Reviewer now supports a greater range of models including fixed effects meta-analysis as well as a range of different random effects models that employ different techniques to estimate the between study variance.



There are now five different options for meta-analysis in ER4

There are a range of sources that can provide support in guiding the selection of different metaanalysis model as well as aiding in the interpretation of their output. These include:

- Higgins, J. P. (Ed.). (2008). Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions (Vol. 5). Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Cooper, H., Hedges, L. V., & Valentine, J. C. (eds.) (2009) *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis*. Russell Sage Foundation.
- Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P., & Rothstein, H. R. (2011). Introduction to Metaanalysis Wiley. com.
- Further information is also available within the metafor documentation <u>https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/metafor/metafor.pdf</u> and may want to post queries or discuss issues on <u>http://stats.stackexchange.com/</u>

In brief, the five options entail the following assumptions around the data:

1

- a. Fixed effects models make the assumption that within study variance may differ but that there is homogeneity in effect size across studies (note this is often an unlikely assumption in practice and users should examine the heterogeneity statistics given in the outputs carefully; for fixed effect models only the Q value and the result of whether the heterogeneity is statistically significant are provided)
- b. DerSimonian-Laird (DL) models are the most commonly used form of random effects model in the literature. Random effects (RE) models explicitly assume that there is heterogeneity in the true underlying effect under study, and the different types of model estimate the between study variance (tau squared (τ^2) in different ways with different assumptions. This is also used as an additional factor in weighting the studies. In the case of DL models, there is no specification as to the form of this variance.
- c. In Maximum Likelihood (ML) estimation, assumptions are made around the distribution of the between study variance (normality) which are necessary to solve using the log likelihood function¹.
- d. Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML) estimation is similar to the ML above, but only part of the likelihood function that is fixed is maximised.
- e. Empirical Bayes (EB) models are a reduced form of full Bayesian models that allow for flexibility in the estimation of the model parameters (which are derived from the data themselves as opposed to prior knowledge or subjective judgement). While they represent a reduced form of Bayesian models, they may be equally as computationally complex (and therefore less likely to converge; for more information and an overview of Empirical Bayes models and Bayesian meta analysis more generally see Sutton, A. J., & Abrams, K. R. (2001). Bayesian methods in metaanalysis and evidence synthesis. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, *10*(4), 277-303.).

Running a random effects model does require sufficient between study heterogeneity, and this is not always the case (where there is not sufficient between study heterogeneity the estimates will be identical to a fixed effects model). In a number of cases where there are a large amount of studies and significant between study heterogeneity, the simplest DL model will suffice; although ML and REML may be favoured and provide better estimates where there is a small number of studies. ML, REML and EB are more complex estimators. Overall, there is no definitive answer as to which model specification should be used when running a meta-analysis – this is mainly determined by the data that are entered into ER4 - and ER4 users should read up on the suggested references at the beginning of section 2 before proceeding (Borenstein et al 2011 provide a useful outline).

EPPI-Reviewer 4: Software for research synthesis

Setu	p meta-anal	ysis							- @ X				
		Meta-a	nalysis options					Forest plot o	ptions				
Meta	-analysis tit	le New met	a-analysis	Significance leve	el 95		x-axis	title					
	Outcome typ	Continuo	ous: d (Hedges g)	Pecimal place	s 4	Sun	nmary estimate (ti	itle)					
	Mod	el "FE": fix	ed effect	- 3 Rank cor	▼ 3 Rank correlation test for funnel plot asymmetry ✓ Add annotations								
	Output sty	le Standard	d	Trim and	Trim and Fill'								
		Kna Adju	pp & Hartung (knh ustment plav fit statistics (fi	a) 1 Egger's r asymmet	Egger's regression Test for funnel plot asymmetry 5								
		Disp	lay confidence inte	ervals (confint)			6 Sho	ow boxplot and Q-(ow funnel plots	2 graph: 7				
Ġ	Run meta-	analysis 🔓	Save meta-analy	vsis 🕂 Add column									
	ES 🏹	SE 💎 🏹	Study 🗸	Outcome description 🏹	Туре 🏹	Outcome 🛛 🏹	Intervention ∇	Comparison 🗸					
	0.00	0.00	Abdel (2013)	HCV OR	Binary	Main Outcome	Main Interventio	Main Comparisor					
	0.00	0.00	Buskila (1998)	HCV OR	Binary								
	0.34	0.26	Kim (2006)	CERI Outcome	Continuous								
	2.00	0.04	Kloek (2006)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
	0.29	0.70	Kong (2013)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
	-0.09	0.23	Parikh (2010)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
	-0.05	0.13	Wright (2013)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
	-0.12	0.34	Islam (2013)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
	0.09	0.13	Plescia (2008)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
	0.88	0.23	Russell (2010)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
	-0.03	0.04	Dodge (2013)	ceri outcome	Continuous								
•									•				

Stage 6 – specifying additional modifications, model output, and publication bias options

- 1. Knapp-Hartung adjustment This option is available for RE models only and provides an alternative means of calculating the variances. There is not complete consensus in literature on whether the use of this adjustment improves estimates there are many occasions when it appears advantageous although there are other instances where it appears to provide estimates that are too conservative. The choice of model selection (above) and the degree of heterogeneity also have implications as to whether selection of KH adjustment is appropriate. In STATA's meta-regression package, the adjustment is set to default, although in R (and hence ER4) users can select this option. ER4 users are advised to note/report whether adjustment is used in their analyses in reporting results. For more information on the relative merits and drawbacks of this adjustment please see: Röver, C., Knapp, G., & Friede, T. (2015). Modified Knapp-Hartung random-effects meta-analysis recommended for applications in rare diseases. arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.01227
- Significance level and decimal places The default for these is 95% with outputs showing estimates with up to four decimal points. Users may want to alter the significance level of tests from 95% infrequently, but may choose to alter decimal places more often to match journal/publishing requirements.
- 3. Rank correlation test for funnel plot asymmetry This test carries out the rank correlation between standardized intervention effect and its standard error to assess the extent of publication bias. This tests the extent to which the association between the effect size of studies and their size is greater than might be expected by chance; significant tests indicate evidence of publication bias. The output produces an estimate of Kendall's tau (the correlation coefficient) and its p-value. The specific test used is that proposed by Begg and

Mazumdar (1994)²; Egger's test is an alternative (see 5). Although this test is based on the funnel plot, users will need to select this in the output [select 6 to produce funnel plots]. For further notes see http://www-users.york.ac.uk/~mb55/msc/systrev/week7/pub_text.pdf on publication bias.

4. Trim and Fill - Unlike the test proposed in 3 and 5, trim and fill is a means of estimating the impact of potential publication bias on the estimates through trimming extreme values and 'imputing' values for 'missing' studies. Trim and fill is essentially a means of identifying and correcting for asymmetry in funnel plots – it is based on the assumption that any asymmetry in the funnel plot is caused by publication bias and needs to be 'corrected' in this way. In some cases, this can be quite a strong assumption to make. Rothstein and colleagues have a whole book dedicated to publication bias which includes detailed description of the merits and drawbacks of this procedure³. Users implementing trim and fill will find that their model outputs now contain unadjusted estimates as well as trim and fill adjusted estimates (see example below)

Trim and fill

Estimated number of missing studies on the right side: 2 (SE = 2.3028) Random-Effects Model (k = 13; tau^2 estimator: DL) tau^2 (estimated amount of total heterogeneity): 1.5587 (SE = 1.5786) tau (square root of estimated tau^2 value): 1.2485 I^2 (total heterogeneity / total variability): 99.33% H^2 (total variability / sampling variability): 149.34 Test for Heterogeneity: Q(df = 12) = 1792.0287, p-val < .0001 Model Results: estimate se zval pval ci.lb ci.ub $0.6470 \ 0.3552 \ 1.8218 \ 0.0685 \ -0.0491 \ 1.3432 \ .$

- 5. Egger's test for publication bias is based on the Galbraith plot (which is produced as standard in output) and is based on whether the intercept in a regression of x and x deviates significantly from zero. Where the intercept does deviate at a statistically significant level, this is taken as evidence of publication bias. The ER4 output produced gives the t-test statistic, standard error and a p-value.
- 6. Show funnel plots Four funnel plots are produced when this one is selected; the most familiar is that plotting the Standard Error (SE) against the effect size.
- **7.** Show box plot and q-q charts These can be used to explore assumptions in the data and to assess the presence and influence of outliers in the data.
- 8. Show credibility/prediction intervals This is appropriate for Random Effects (RE) models where the overall effect is the average of the true effects; in this case a prediction interval can help understand what might be the effect within an individual study through calculating alternative confidence intervals, which are displayed on the forest plot. (Note: future planned updates of ER4 will display the values of the interval on the forest plot)

² Begg, C. B., & Mazumdar, M. (1994). Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics*, 1088-1101.

³ Rothstein, H. R., Sutton, A. J., & Borenstein, M. (Eds.). (2006). *Publication bias in meta-analysis: Prevention, assessment and adjustments*. John Wiley & Sons.

Stage 7 – Choosing forest plot options

- ER4 users can choose from the following options in specifying forest plots

	Forest plot options
x-axis title	SMD
Summary estimate (title)	fect size for HCV
✓ Add annotations	
✓ Show weights along with annotations	

Show summary estimate (or fitted values for moderators)

Stage 8 - Interpreting and exporting output

When you click **Run meta-analysis** the results are displayed in the **Report viewer**. From this screen you have the option of editing and printing the results or saving them in a number of different formats. These include Word, text and html. If you save it as html you can open the file in excel thus saving your results as an excel file as well.

Stage 9 - Subgroup analysis

EPPI-Reviewer also supports limited subgroup analysis. You perform these by selecting two outcomes from your list and clicking 'sub-group analysis.

*Note – the functionality of subgroup analysis and moderator analysis in regression will be improved in future iterations of ER4. Currently all sub-group analysis takes place off the main meta-analysis screen. Currently, readers should familiarise themselves with how to add in study characteristics and select studies on the basis of these (see Stage 3)

Docu	uments	Search	Diagrams	Frequen	cies	Crosstabs	Report	s Meta-analy	sis C	ollaborate	e My	info				
- 5	New m	neta-ana	alysis 📢	Sub-grou	up ana	alysis 🤰	Meta-	analysis traini	ng							
	Meta-ar	nalysis		V	Effec	ct measure	V	Outcome 🏹	Inter	vention	V	Comparison	V			
	All stud	ies			Cont	tinuous: d ((Hedge:							Edit	Delete	Run
\checkmark	Group A	4			Cont	inuous: d ((Hedge:	Knowledge	Leafle	ets		'Normal' prac	tice	Edit	Delete	Run
\checkmark	Group E	3			Cont	inuous: d (Hedge	Knowledge	One-	to-one tra	aining	'Normal' prac	tice	Edit	Delete	Run

The studies from the two selected meta-analyses are then entered into a sub-group analysis. The variance in the random effects analysis is calculated individually for each sub-group, though the pooled effect size at the bottom of the plot assumes that there is no 'meaning' in the sub-groups and is therefore based on the variance across all the studies, as though they were not subdivided. The statistical test for difference is 'Method 1' from the chapter on Sub group analysis in Borenstein et al 2009 (for both fixed and random effects models). The calculation to establish the amount of 'heterogeneity explained' by the sub-group division is taken from the same chapter (page 179).



Exporting effect sizes in reports

The final function to be outlined here is exporting outcomes data in reports. Details on creating reports can be found under **Reports.**, but in summary, to create a report that exports your outcomes, you should select the report type 'single' and should check the 'outcomes' box when running the report. These reports enable you to export all relevant data about each outcome as well as study level data that can be used as covariates in a regression. In order to facilitate the use of these data in other software packages, the application of a given code to a study is indicated by a '1' in the cell (rather than the word). All outcome classification codes are also available in this report, again, in order for them to be used either as covariates, or to be the basis for sub-group analyses in whichever statistical software is used.

1	http://ep	pi.ioe.ac.uk/EP	PIRevie	wer4/ -	Internet Ex	plorer provided b	by Dell							gi bi di ta	24. jul)
	Eile Edit	View Favo	rites]	ools	Help														
																			^
	Out	omo	dat	- 1	,														
Item Title Yes No Unsure Outcome Outcome Outcome Outcome Outcome Id Title Yes No Unsure Outcome Outcome <td></td>																			
	Item	Title	Yes	No	Unsure	Outcome	Outcome	Outcome	Intervention	Comparison	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data
	Id					description	type				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Mathieu-					Cartinum												
	37052	Costello	1			test	Ns. means	Attitudes	Practical	Leaflets	35	350	2	1.9	0.1	0.11	0	0	0
		O et.al.					and SD		tasks	a come co			-						
		Schneider				Knowledge	Continuous:			<u> </u>									
	37061	et al	1			after 6	Ns, means	Knowledge	Educational materials	current	34	34	22	21	5	5	0	0	0
		(1975)				months	and SD		materials	practice									
	37055	Spivak et			1	Knowledge:	Continuous:	Vanudadaa	Educational	Current	120	122	2	20	2	2		0	0
	37033	al (1985)			1	2 years	and SD	Knowledge	materials	practice	120	133	1	2.9	,	,		×	
							Continuous:												

Meta-analysis training

To help understand how meta-analysis works a training section has been added where new and existing data can be manipulated to see the affect different changes will make. This can be found on the 'Meta-analysis training' tab of the Home panel.

Click on the button labelled **Load data** and pre-existing data is loaded into the system. Data from 6 studies is loaded. To change the data in a cell, double click the cell and edit the contents. To add a new study click on the button labelled **Add study**. You can delete selected studies or all studies using the **Delete highlighted** and **Delete all studies** buttons.

To run a Meta-analysis select the studies to include and click on the **Calculate** button. Data and forest plots will be displayed for both Fixed effect and Random effects models. A Funnel plot will also be calculated and displayed.

Machine Learning

Machine learning is an area of ongoing development for EPPI-Reviewer and is leading to new possibilities in the field of systematic reviewing.

Machine learning is used in at least 4 different ways in EPPI-Reviewer.

- 1. Assist in the screening process (Priority screening)
- 2. Aid in the searching process (Term identification)
- 3. Create automated mappings of your studies (Clustering)
- 4. Assign codes to items based on codes assigned to other items (Item Classification)

Priority screening – this is covered in an earlier section under Screening tab

Term identification - can assist with searching by identifying significant terms in the documents you have already included.

EPPI-Reviewer gives you access to a number of different term extraction engines that will extract or mine relevant terms from the abstracts.

To access the text mining functions select the **Find more documents like the ones** icon from the top of the Documents tab.

The Find more documents like the ones listed can be found at the top of the
Documents tab of the Home panel.

Clicking on this icon opens the Find similar documents window.

Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs Reports Meta-analysis Collaborate My info	Codes -
262 documents loaded.	
Showing: included documents	
	💶 🕞 🛌 kar have a screening on Title and Abstract
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	🖉 🕨 🎥 🚔 Screening on Full Report
Authors V Find similar documents	no keywording tool
Go I Adedara I A A; C Find similar items to: Export terms	Excel Delete term no data extraction tool
Go I I Albrecht May Chi O Current document All items listed	Score V _ In the study be identified?
Go I Albrecht-Buehler psycinfo database record c	100.00
Go I Al-Kappany Y M Osing terms benched by.	49.35 tion of the intervention
Go I Al-Kappany Y M OTF IDF	42.95 In and process measures
Go I Al-Qassab Sarwa Zemanta superoxide dismutase sod	33.34 of the outcome evaluation
Go I Altintaş Levent ; O Yahoo journal abstract	31.00 he / effectiveness data
Go I An Yi ; Xin Hui ; Get Terms catalase cat	29.99 ervention
Go I Answer Ashley ; psycinfo database record	29.25 :comes
Go I Arda-Princel Peli database record c	29.25 Knowledge
Go I Ann Rengandra Search all documents	26.38 Attitudes
Go I Asark Tohn P: MI A Tacking desuments	26.00 Behaviour
Go I Austramath Jah	25.90 nparison
Co I Author not found	24.43 ne classifications
Search on terms a sub 2b sub ar	24.10 :a collection tool: observation
a sub 2b sub	23.88 a collection tool: self report (diary
So I Revelo Liciana superoxide dismutase sod catalase	22.25 a collection tool: clinical test
dismutase sod catalase cat	21.97 tual information - Actual sample
ca sub v sub	21.67 rieval status
dismutase sod	21.00 ication sets
sod catalase cat	20.04 Port sets
sub 2b sub ar	19.85
	jeteraction connecte
Go I 1 battaglia Carmel Periods or early development and the effects of stimulation and social experiences in the canine. 2005	

The user has access to four text mining engines.

- TF*IDF
- TerMine (NaCTeM)
- Zemanta
- Yahoo

TF*IDF - Term Frequency–Inverse Document Frequency, is a numerical statistic which reflects how important a word is to a document in a collection or corpus. It is often used as a weighting factor in information retrieval and text mining. The tf-idf value increases proportionally to the number of times a word appears in the document, but is offset by the frequency of the word in the corpus, which helps to control for the fact that some words are generally more common than others. (from Wikipedia - <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tf%E2%80%93idf</u>).

EPPI-Reviewer uses the MS SQL TF-IDF functionality where the TFIDF of a Term T = (frequency of T) * log((#rows in Input) / (#rows having T)). Further details can be found at http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ms141809.aspx

TerMine – TerMine functionality is provided with permission from the National Centre for Text Mining (NaCTeM), Manchester, UK (<u>http://www.nactem.ac.uk/</u>). Termine (<u>http://www.nactem.ac.uk/software/termine/</u>) is a Term Management System which identifies key phrases in text. The TerMine service integrates C-Value multiword term extraction and AcroMine acronym recognition. An analysis result will be a list of candidate multiword terms and their C-Value scores.

Zemanta – Zemanta (<u>http://www.zemanta.com</u>) provides a web service with named entity extraction and known phrases extraction from user supplied text. (description from Breda Wiki - <u>http://neuro.imm.dtu.dk/wiki/Zemanta</u>).

Yahoo – The Yahoo Term Extraction API allows users to perform content analysis by providing a list of significant words or phrases extracted from a larger content. It is one of the technologies used in Yahoo! Search.

The extraction engines can be applied to all documents or selected documents.

The **Current document** option will run the engine again the selected document.

The All items listed option will run the engine against all items on the documents tab.

To extract some terms select a term extraction engine, such as TerMine, from the radio button list. Let's apply the TerMine engine to all of the studies so select 'All items listed' from the radio button list. Now click on **Get Terms**.

The results are now displayed along with a score. This score, in the case of TerMine, is a combination of a number of factors including:

- 1. the occurrence frequency of the candidate term
- 2. the frequency of the candidate term as part of other longer candidate terms
- 3. the number of these longer candidate terms
- 4. the length of the candidate term

The table of terms and scores can be exported in a number of different formats.

Term extraction is an early form of **Priority screening** as it allows you to make use of machine learning to let it help you identify documents that are potentially relevant based on items that you have already identified as relevant.

We recommend using the Priority screening functionality in the Screening tab if you wish to use machine learning in this way.

To use this the term extraction functionality in this way the user should create a random sample of items. This can be done using the **Assign documents to specified codes randomly** function. The larger you can make the sample, the more accurate it will be. A good start may be a sample of 1000 items. It is very important that the sample is random as it will be used to estimate the percentage of your items that are 'includes'.

You should now apply your inclusion/exclusion criteria to that sample. When you are finished you will have a number of 'included' items and will be able to calculate the percentage of the items that are includes.

Next, you should run the text mining functions against your included items. This will help identify terms and ideas that are representative of a typical 'included' item. In the example below TerMine has been used and the terms are displayed on the right side of the **Find similar documents** window.

If there are terms in the list that are not representative of your topic you can delete those terms using the **Delete term** button. This will give you a more accurate list of terms.

Find similar documents		23
Find similar items to:	Export terms Excel 🔻	Delete term
Current document • All items listed	Term 🗸	Score 🖓 📤
Using terms identified by:	ng g lw	7.92
◯ TF*IDF	tmj disorder	7.25
• TerMine (NaCTeM)	pet dog	7.00
🔘 Zemanta	common tmj disorder	6.34
🔘 Yahoo	animal bite wound infection	6.00
Get Terms	companion animal	6.00
	thrombin generation	6.00
	campylobacter spp	6.00
Search all documents without this code	cm dog head	5.34
	ng g	5.20
Group 1 (randomly selected items) -	domestic animal	5.00
 Included documents 	allergic conjunctivitis	5.00
Excluded documents	ferret saa	5.00
Search on terms	public health burden	4.75
	inflammatory bowel disease	4.75
	urinary tract	4.67
	domestic cat felis silvestris catus	4.64
	little spotted cat leopardus tigrinus	4.64
	indirect fluorescent antibody test ifat	4.64
	domestic cat	4.50 🗸

Finally, you want to **Search on terms**. You can tell the program to search through all of the items that you have not examined yet to identify the ones that have terms similar to what were identified by the text mining engine.

If you created your random sample using the **Assign documents to specified codes randomly** function then you will have a code identifying those items. If you limit the **Search on terms** to **Search all documents without this code** and select your allocation code (in this example it is called **Group 1** (randomly selected items) the program will search through all of those remaining items.

The results of the search will be placed in the Search tab under a search called **Term search**.

Documents	Search Diagrams Frequencies	Crosstabs Reports I	Meta-analysis	Collaborate	My info
🐴 New s	earch 🎇 Delete selected 🛛 🔗 Cor	nbine 💿 AND 🕥	OR 🔘 NOT ((included)	Ę
V 🗌	Title	∇ Created by ∇	Date 🏹	Hits 🏹	Select
1	Coded with: 50 items	Jeff Brunton	19/08/2013	50	Select
2	Not coded with: 50 items	Jeff Brunton	19/08/2013	904	Select
3	Term search	Jeff Brunton	19/08/2013	166	Select

This search will be ordered from the item most likely to be an 'include' to least likely. If you proceed through the list in the order provided you are more likely to find potentially relevant items.

If you compare the number of items to examine with the percentage of potentially relevant items that you calculated from your random sample you can also get an estimate of how far you need to

move through your list of items before the chances of finding an included item approaches nil (i.e. the law of diminishing returns).

This process can be carried out in an iterative fashion. The more items that you have identified as 'includes', the more accurate the list of terms become. As the number of includes increase it might be useful to **Get terms** again based on those new items to optimise your list of terms.

Another way of using the text mining functions is to use the new terms to identify concepts that you may not have included in your original database searches.

Clustering or Auto-coding

EPPI-Reviewer can categorise imported items into clusters based on the text in the abstracts. Automatic document clustering, using text mining, is one way of describing the range of studies you have identified at the click of a button. Text mining can assist with searching by identifying significant terms in the documents you have already included. Clustering is taking it step further by taking the extracted terms and coding the items into a tree structure consisting of the extracted terms that can be searched and manipulated. This is done using the Lingo3G clustering engine.

To auto-code references click on the **Automatically generate codes** icon on the Documents tab of the Home panel. The 'Automatically code documents' window will appear where you can set the constraints of the clustering.

-									
equencies	Crosstabs	Reports	Meta-an	alysis	Collabor	rate	My info	Scre	eening
in this list	in total).								
		3			[marcel]	AA		_	
		2				22	Tilte	r:	
litle									
Advice for	Automatic	ally code	documen	ts				23	
Traveling	v		Docume	nt clu	stering				
Traveling	v								
ailed rein	Maximur	n hierarch	y depth		2.00				
Radioiodina	a Min	imum clus	ter size		0.00				ation in
Synergistic	May		tor circ		a a 5				d again
An investig	R	inum cius	iter size		0.35				n Egyp
Novel chiti	n Single	word labe	weight		0.50				ibacter
Catalase o	Mini	mum labe	l length		1.00				stimula
Antitumor	e			_	1000	1.		_	pleural
CART is ov	e		Include	All in	cluded it	ems		•	secreti
A COLQ Mi	s								yasthe
A deletion	ii					Clust	er docume	ents	al hypo
Veuroprote	e								gainst
revalence	of Streptoc	occus dyse	alactiae	subsp.	equisimi	lis a	nd S. equ	i sub	sp. zooe

The options are:

- Maximum hierarchy depth:
 - o Default 2.0
 - o Determines how deep in the code tree to go
- Minimum cluster size
 - o Default 0.0 (values 0.0 to 1.0)
- Maximum cluster size
 - Default 0.35 (values 0.0 1.0)
 - Single word label weight
 - o Default 0.5
 - How the minimum label length is weighted.
 - Minimum label length
 - o Default 1.0
 - The minimum number of words in a cluster

You can either select the items to cluster ahead of time or run it on all included items. Click on **Cluster documents** and the newly created codes will appear in the Codes tab of the Toolbox panel in a code set called 'Lingo3G clusters'.

Now that the items are coded with the extracted terms the items can be searched and manipulated similar to any other coded item.

This clustering functionality becomes useful when dealing with search results that are in the range of many thousands. It allows you to quickly categorise, or 'Auto-code' the terms contained in the documents and identify the most relevant documents to your subject.

Classifiers

Custom classifiers allow you to identify items based on previous coding. You can specify you want to find items that are similar to one code but not like another code.

You can open the Machine learning classifier window by clicking on the Classifier icon in the **Codes** tab.



From this window you can either create your own customised classifier based on your own coding or use one of the pre-built classifiers.

Stage 1: build the model

If you are building a **custom classifier** you will use **Stage 1** in the Machine learning classifier window. If you are using one of the **prebuilt classifiers**, you can skip to **Stage 2**.

A custom classifier will allow you to identify items based on existing coding to identify studies similar to studies already assigned a particular code and not be like studies assigned a second code.

7			-					8 /-	
62	Machine learning classifier								
g A	The machine learning classifier b others. There are two stages to the model is applied to new item Three 'pre-built' classifiers are a from: 280,000 records screened to Cochrane and the Centre for f (This function enables you to bu	built into EPPI-Reviewer enables y the process: first the classifier 'le- ns. vailable, which can automatically by Cochrane Crowd; 310,000 rec Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) ild a linear classifier from a bag o	ide cor) at	automatically to a ns' to apply the cod entify RCT, systema ds in the CRD 'DAR t the University of Y vords representatio	ssign a code to ne e by building a mo tic reviews, and e E' database; and ' fork, for making th n of your studies,	w items based on odel (which is save conomic evaluatio 9,000 records in C rese data available using the scikit-le	those you hav ad for future us ns. They have RD's NHSEED. a. arn python libr	e assigne se); and t been buil Our than ary.)	d to hen t ıks
	Stage 1: build the model			Stage 2: apply th	e model		🖁 Refresh mod	lel list	×
;	Learn to apply this code	Include 🔻		Title 🏹	Applies 🛛 🏹	Compared wi 🏹	Precision 🏹	Recall	V
;	Distinguish from this code	Exclude 🔹		Classifier test	Include	Exclude	1.00	0.60	
er	Name for your model	Classifier test							
יי ו		💞 Build model							
•				• Apply above se	lected model	 Apply to a 	II items in revie	ew	
3	(Go straight to stage 2 if you are	applying one of the 'pre-built'		O Apply RCT mod	lel	O Apply to it	ems with this (code	
	models.)			O Apply systemat	tic review model				-
c				O Apply economic	evaluation mode	Apply to it	ems from this	source	
м									-
;							👒 Арр	ly model	
e			_					_	

Learn to apply this code – select a code that will identify the type of items you are looking for. This might be an include code from a screening tool. It could also be any code that identifies a group of items that are similar to what you are looking for.

Distinguish from this code – select a code that will identify items that you are not looking for. This might be a code that identifies all of your excluded items but can be any group of items identified by a code.

You should give the mode a name and then click on the **Build model** button.

Once the model has been generated it will appear in the list of model tables in Stage 2.

Stage 2: apply the model

In stage 2 you should select the model you are using and then decide what items to run it against.

The model to use can either be a custom classifier that you have built in Stage 1 or it can be one of the pre-built classifiers. If you are using a custom classifier should select it from the model table. If you are using a prebuilt model you can select it from the list.

There are 3 custom classifiers:

RCT model – based on 280,000 items screened by the Cochrane crowd, this model will identity Randomised Control Trials (RCTs).

Systematic review model – based on 310,000 records in the CRD 'DARE' database, this model will identify studies that are systematic reviews.

Economic evaluation model – based on 9,000 records in CRD's NHSEED database, this model will identify studies that are economic evaluations.

After selecting the model you should select the items to run it against. You can either run it against all items in the review, select items based on a code or items in a particular source.

122 Machine Learning

Once you have made your selections click on Apply model.

Applying the model will generate an ordered search in the Search tab.

Doc	uments	Search	Diagrams	Frequencies	Crosstabs	Reports	Meta-analysis	Collaborate	My info				
i d	👔 🐏 New search 🕐 Refresh search list 💥 Delete selected 😿 Combine 💿 AND 🕥 OR 🕥 NOT (included) 🗦												
	7	Title				V	Created by	🗸 Date 🚿	🗸 Hits	🝸 List	-		
	2	Items cla	ssified acco	rding to mode	l: Classifier	test	Jeff Brunton 22	08/10/201	8 196	Sele	t Visualise		

Each item in the search will be given a score. You can think of this as a probability score as to whether it fits your model. The higher the score the more likely the items fits your model. If you **Select** the search the scores are visible.

Docum	ents	Sea	rch Diagrams	Frequencies	Crosstabs	Reports	Meta-analysis	Collaborate	My inf	0				
196 do	cume	nts l	oaded.											
Showing: Items classified according to model: Classifier test														
: 1	E	Ð	9 🔊	👌 📑 💆	1 🗖 🐔		📥 🗘 🗉		🕂 Fil	ter:	(١
			Authors	Title					V	Year	7	Score	V	ł
Go		Ι	Yang MS ; Lee	SI Dog and Ca	Dog and Cat Allergies and Allergen Avoidance Measures in Korean A									ł
Go		I	Show A ; Engla	r Evaluating	Dog and Ca	t Owner P	references for O	algary-Camb	ridge C	2018		90		ł
Go		I	Hawes S ; Kerr	ig Factors Inf	orming Outo	comes for	Older Cats and	Dogs in Anim	al Shelt	2018		89		I
Go		I	Littman MP ; G	ei ACVIM con	sensus upda	ate on Lym	ne borreliosis in	dogs and cat	s.	2018		88		I
Go		I	Tomiyasu H ; D	o Clinical and	d clinicopath	ological cl	naracteristics of	acute lympho	blastic	2018		87		I
Go		I	Saverino KM ;	Re Clinical Pre	sentation, C	Causes, Tre	eatment, and O	utcome of Lip	Avulsic	2018		87		
Go	Go I Peribanez MA ; C Preferences of Pet Owners in Regard to the Use of Insecticides for											87		

If you click on **Visualise** in the Search tab the scores will be divided into groups and displayed in a bar chart. If you click on the **Create!** button you can automatically create and apply the codes to represent each group of items.



Translations - Title and Abstract

EPPI-Reviewer 4 will translate your title and abstract. The system will automatically detect the source language and allow you to select the language you would like it to be translated to.

The translation function can be found in the **Document details** window under the **Citation details** window.

· •				<u> </u>			52
arran Canrah	Coding record	Linked meanede	DDE				
A Show te		A Save and clos	se (Cancel	Find	G ranslate	
			~ (-9 mil	V. Hansidee	
nt on residua	ls will bring co	ncessions.					Jall
		Item IDs Int	ernal:	13630842	Imported:		

Clicking on **Translate** makes a sub-menu appear that allows users to pick the destination language.

cel 🕼 Find	🐠 Translate					
	to English					
	to French					
842 Imported:	to Portuguese					
	to Spanish					
	to Chinese Simplified					
	to Arabic					
com base no texto naneira de descreve	to Bulgarian					
lar com a pesquisa	to Catalan					
olante tomando os os extraídos que po	to Chinese Traditional					
	to Czech					
stie consequat, vel	to Danish					
uptatum zzril delen n ullamcorper susc	to Dutch					
	to Estonian					

This system relies on the Azure Translator from Microsoft, which offers a computer-generated translation that should aid assessment as to whether the current citation is relevant for a given review. As a result, the list of languages is limited to those available on the Azure service. For convenience, the list of languages shows the more common languages on top, followed by all the other languages in alphabetical order.

Clicking on a "to {this language}" option on the sub-menu will generate the translation and automatically save it as additional text on the top of the Abstract. The translated text is delimited by the "[Translated title]", "[Translated abstract]" and "[End translation]" tags. No direct "undo" is provided, but in the case the translation is unsatisfactory it is always possible to edit the abstract in the Abstract box and remove the unwanted translation.

Key term highlighting

A popular function to assist in screening or any other type of coding is the **Key term** highlighting function. This function will allow you to select and highlight in **green** terms that are relevant and highlight in **red** terms that are not relevant. By drawing your visual attention to particular key terms in the title and abstract you may be able to more quickly comprehend the content of the text.

To use this function click on the **Show terms** button (located to the right of the **Next** button). When you click on this button it will change to **Hide Terms** as displayed below.

	7									
Citation details	Text document	Reference Search	Coding record	Linked records	PDF					
Item 65 / 70	0 🔶 Previous 🛛	ext 🔶 📝 Hide '	Terms 🗧 🔒	🛛 🔏 Save and cl	ose 🛛 💥 Cano	el				
Title	HealthCall: technology-based extension of motivational interviewing to reduce non- injection drug use in HIV primary care patients - a pilot study.									
Author(s)	Aharonovich E ; Greenstein E ; O'Lea Item IDs Internal: 11925864 Imported: 117									
Month			Pub type	Journal, Article		•				
Year	2012		Included?	Show/Hide T	erms List					
Abstract	Relevant Ter To reduce non-ir a single brief intre- extended interver- we designed and minutes) daily pr interactive void personalized fer in the previous m	m Irrelevant 1 njection drug use (N ervention may be n entions. To extend b conducted a pilot atient calls reporting re response (IVR) sy eedback. Urban HIV nonth were random	Term Remo IDU) among HI eeded, but clinic orief motivationa study of "Healt g NIDU and hea ystem, which pro adult clinic pati- ized to two grou	V primary care pa resources are oft l interviewing (MI hCall," consisting lth behaviors to a povided data for su ents reporting >/= ups: MI-only (n=2	tients, more that ten too limited f I) to reduce NID of brief (1-3 a telephone-bas ibsequent =4 days of NIDU 0) and MI	an or PU, ed				

You can now select a relevant or irrelevant term from the displayed title and/or abstract and click on one of three buttons. You can choose between "Relevant Term", "Irrelevant Term" and "Remove Term". Only exact matches will be are shown, wildcards are not implemented and the system ignores word boundaries. For example, if **term** is listed as relevant the phrase "highlighting all terms in the abstract" will be shown as "highlighting all **terms** in the abstract".

To edit the list of terms you can click on the **Show/Hide Terms List** button. The list will appear on the left side of the screen temporarily hiding the code-trees.

D	ocument details				
	Key Terms 🛛 🖓	Relev: 🏹		•	Citation details Text doo
Ì	meta-analysis	~	Delete		🕴 Item 65 / 700 🔶 Pre
	multicomponent	-	Delete		Title HealthC
	collaborations	~	Delete		injection
	partnerships	-	Delete		Author(s) Aharono
	multifactorial		Delete		Month
	mentoring	-	Delete		
	collaborative	-	Delete		Tear 2012
	process	-	Delete		Abstract 🚑 Relev
	engaged	-	Delete		To reduc
	participatory	-	Delete		a single
	peer-led	~	Delete	s	extended we desig
	collaboration.	-	Delete		minutes)
	peer	-	Delete		persona
	engagement	-	Delete		the previ
	culturally	~	Delete		their NIC
	tailored	~	Delete	•	patients
	lay	~	Delete		excluding
	capacity-building	1	Delete		n=11) pr in "days
	partnership	~	Delete		approact
	multimodal	-	Delete		This pilo

You can use the list to edit the terms, change their relevant/irrelevant status and delete them. The changes will be immediately reflected in the Title and Abstract fields.

Line-by-line PDF / Inductive coding

EPPI-Reviewer 4 has inductive coding functionality to allow line by line coding of textual data and organising and structuring these codes graphically into 'conceptual relationship diagrams.

Coding textual data

Coding the textual data involves examining the text of the study, highlighting certain passages and applying codes to those passages to identify descriptive and analytic themes.

To begin you may want to first create a new codeset in the Codes tab of the Toolbox panel. For clarity it could be called something like 'Inductive coding'. Add 1st level child codes to the code set that describe the different themes you are looking for. 2nd level child codes relating to the actual text can be dynamically added at the time of coding. Please note that it is not necessary to create a new inductive coding codeset; the inductive codes can be part of an existing codeset if you prefer.

Select the document that you are going to code in the Documents tab of the Home panel. This will take you to the Document details window. You need to upload a full text version of the study to

work with. To upload a file click on the button labelled **Upload**. This will open the 'Upload File' window. Click on the **Select file** button and then access the file to upload from your computer. Once the file is selected click on **Save** to upload it to your review. It is now displayed in the table of uploaded files.

F	Files									•
	Upload		Title 🏹	Document 🛛 🏹	Extension ∇	Delete	View text	Download	View PDF	
		>	WB_What h	Botherlyy (1999)	.pdf	Del	Go!	Download	View	
L										

You can work on many different types of documents such as pdfs, Word docs and text.

PDF documents

With pdf documents you can select text and apply code to that text directly on the pdf. The pdf retains all of its formatting while you are working in it.

To work within the pdf, click on **View** in the 'View PDF' column to open the document. It will be displayed in the PDF tab.



To assign a code to some selected text highlight a relevant section of document. Next, select the appropriate code (or create a new one) in the inductive coding codeset. The selected code will be displayed at the top of the page in the cyan coloured box. Finally, click on the Add code to selected text icon. This is the icon that has the small green plus symbol. This action will assign the selected code to the highlighted text. You can also click on the 'a' key to assign the code to the highlighted text.

D	Document details	- D	ki- C-ll-k				
	🛙 📮 🔂 🔚 🚍 Auto advance	Citation details	Text document	Reference Search	Coding record	Linked rec	
	► Screen on title & abstract	Current Code:	Cats showing	anger 🜔 🍙) 🖓 🎾 🚺	00% 🔻	
-	Screen on full report		ridens nemo	ore accusata ei. M	landamus forer	nsibus cor	
	- 🧏 🛇 🧹 Data extraction tool		sea, nam pa	rtem commodo io	1. Adhuc nostr	ud maioru	
	Allocation codes	ex. Persius conceptam te quo, mel novum facilisi per vel civibus imperdiet in. In sit soluta causae, nam ser					
	🛏 🧏 🔗 🦯 Retrieval status						
	- 🧸 🧭 📈 Report sets		Backgroun	d		Ve	
	🗕 🔒 😑 🎽 Inductive coding		Lorem ipsur	m dolor sit amet,	consectetuer	ris ult	
	 Infestations 		adipiscing e	lit. Aenean eu le	ctus non dui	ne	
	Cats showing anger		tristique ege	<mark>estas.</mark> Vestibulum	n eu velit ac	pe	
	Mice showing fear		Donec laore	et pretium risus.	Praesent	pl; er	
	Hunger		sollicitudin.	Mauris fringilla. C	Curabitur	bil	

The selected text will now be highlighted in yellow.

Removing a code from highlighted text is done the same way except you would select the 'Remove code from selected text' icon. As before you can also click the 'd' key in place of the icon.

	Citation details	Text document	Reference	Search	Coding recor	d Linked	records	PDF	
1	Current Code:	Mice showing	fear 👩	6		.00% -	Find		Show Annotations Reset

In the pdf tab you can zoom in and out using the + and – microscope icons or select a specific zoom percentage. You can also search the document using Find text box.

Annotations

PDF annotations are short comments that reviewers may add to a PDF document. They should not be used to hold coding information as they cannot be searched, exported or included in reports.

To add an annotation click the **Show Annotations** button to display the annotations column. Now double click in the column where you want the annotation to display. This will open the 'New Annotation' window where you can enter you comment and then click **Save**. The annotation will be displayed in the semi-transparent right hand column.

Double clicking an existing annotation will allow you to edit or delete it. Annotations can be dragged up and down within a page but cannot be moved to a new page.

When the annotations are visible the annotations button will change to **Hide Annotations**. Clicking on the annotations button now will hide the annotations column.



Reset

PDF documents can have a very complex internal representation of text and layout and interpreting this information can sometimes be difficult. If a user selects a section of text that contains hidden characters it can be difficult to later remove coding from that hidden text. To overcome this issue there is a reset option.

If you select the code you want to remove and click **Reset...** the Reset Pdf Coding window will appear. This window shows the current code and the current page number. You can then choose to remove the code from current page or the entire document.

Reset Pdf Coding	22
Jncode text from the current pag	r the entire document for the sinterpr
Current Code'	ulatu tir
Current Code: Cats	owing anger r, duis a
	prehensa
Current Page: PAGE	ı et pri, e
Remove code from 💿 P	Entire Document
Remove Code	Cancel icula Ph

Other types of documents

With other types of documents such as text files or Word files you will need to work within the extracted text of the document.

Files												•
U	pload		Title	7	Document	V	Extension ∇	Delete	View text	Download	View PDF	
		>	WB_Wh	at h	Botherlyy ((1999)	.pdf	Del	Go!	Download	View	

To extract the text from the document click on **Go!** in the 'View text' column. The extracted text, minus its formatting, will be displayed the 'Text document' tab.

You can now select text by holding down the left button of the mouse and sliding across the text. When you have selected some text, find the appropriate code on the left and highlight it, right click on the code and select **Code selected text**. If you need to add a new code select **Add child code**.



Removing a code from text is done the same way except you should select **Uncode selected text**.

Inductive coding reports

To see what text has been assigned to a particular code, just select the code from the left hand side of the screen. The applicable text will be highlighted in yellow throughout the document.

2	Document details						
	🚛 🗊 🖪 📥 🗖 Auto advance	Citation details	Text document	Reference Search	Coding record	Linked records	PDF
	 ► ♣ ⊘ ∠ Screen on title & abstract ► ♣ ⊘ ∠ Screen on full report ► ♣ ⊘ ∠ Date outputies tool 	Current Code:	Cats showing ex. Pers vel civit	anger 👩 🍙 sus conceptam te bus imperdiet in.	■ (p) (p) 1 e quo, mel nov In sit soluta ca	00% Find um facılısı pe ausae, nam se	i rsequer mper no
	Allocation codes Allocation status Allocation status		Backgr Lorem i	ound	met. consectet	ver u	ehicula. sus. Mo
	 Report sets Report coding Infestations 		<mark>adipisci</mark> tristique arcu ele Donec l	ng elit. Aenean e egestas. Vestib eifend viverra. Ali laoreet pretium ri	eu lectus non c ulum eu velit a quam sit amet sus. Praesent	dui n ac p test. p	ec est. ellentes latea di
	Cats showing anger Mice s Hunger Hunger Report: all text coded with this c	ode this code (all PDFs)	sollicitu nonumr urna. N feugiat semper	din. Mauris fringi my felis vel turpis am in enim. Mau non, tincidunt qu , ligula. Quisque	lla. Curabitur 5. In lacinia nis ris lacus risus, is, pellentesqu aliquet	leu S lee C	ibendur uspend gula. Nu ras nisl

If you select **Report: all text coded with this code (all PDFs)** all instances where this code has been applied across all studies will be displayed in the report viewer.



The report viewer is a text editor that will allow the user to format and print the report.

The selected text can also be displayed in the configurable reports that you can create in the Reports tab. If you minimise a report column to edit the columns details you specify whether the selected text will be displayed in the report.

Behaviour						
Edit the title of this the right. Column title	s column and wh Behaviour	ich data you want	displayed. When	n you have	finished editing, clic	k the '-' icon on
Column title	V	Title	V	Code 🏹	Additional text ∇	Coded text ∇
Behaviour		Behaviour				

The selected or **Coded text** (as labelled in the column) is displayed by default and can be turned off by un-clicking the **Coded text** checkbox.

Creating conceptual diagrams

After codes have been applied to the textual data EPPI-Reviewer can represent the relationships between those codes in conceptual diagrams.

Select the Diagram tab in the Home panel. At the bottom of the screen click the button labelled **New** to create a new diagram.

Be sure the Codes tab is visible in the Toolbox panel. Expand your inductive coding code set and highlight a code that you would like to show in your diagram by selecting it. Right click on the code and select **Insert in diagram** from the menu.

The code will be displayed as rectangle in the Diagrams tab. If you click on the new rectangle and then click in the centre of it you can move it around the screen by holding down the mouse button. If you wish to stretch it, select either a corner or side and drag it out. You can change its shape by selecting a new shape from the drop down menu at the top of the screen. You can also change the

background colour or text colour from the menus at the top of the screen. To delete a shape, select the shape and click on the button labelled **Delete** at the bottom of the screen.

Once you have placed your codes in the diagram as images you can connect them with arrows to show the relationships. To draw an arrow, left click in the centre of one shape and drag the mouse into the centre of a second shape. An arrow will be drawn between the two shapes.

At any time you can save your diagram by clicking on the **Save** button at the bottom of the screen. The **Save** function can also behave like a **Save as** function if you change the name in the **Save diagram** window. It won't remove the original file so you will end up with the old and new version. To retrieve a saved diagram click on the **Load** button. You can the select a diagram from a list of your saved diagrams.

Diagrams

The diagram functionality described above can be used for more than just inductive coding. Flow charts of your review processes can be displayed using the drawing tools.

To insert the all of the child codes of codeset into the diagram, right-click on the codeset and select **Insert child codes into diagram**. All of the child codes are placed in the diagram. You can arrange them by clicking on a code and then dragging it.

To display the code counts for a particular code click on the Add code counts button at the top of the diagram. This will display the number of times the code was selected in the boxes.



This method can be used to create a complete flow chart of your entire review.



Expiration of user accounts and shareable reviews

User accounts and shareable reviews have an expiry date associated with them. If the user goes to the My info tab they will see listed at the top of the screen the date their account expires and the date the review expires, if it is a shareable review.

When your user account expires you will be restricted to read-only access to EPPI-Reviewer 4. Readonly access will allow the user to look at the data and carry out certain operations on the data such as running reports but they will not be able to add new data such as new records and new coding. Functions that cannot be access will be greyed out in the interface.

If a shareable review expires it will become read-only with the same limitations as someone trying to access it with a user account that has expired.

When a user account is expired for more than 2 months the user will not be able to log into the software. If they require read-only access we can set their account expiry date to the present day - 1 (giving them another 2 months). We can do that as often as a user requests.

You can regain full access to EPPI-Reviewer 4 by extending the expiry date. This will require purchasing further account access or review access if you have a shareable review.

Our online payment system is active allowing you to extend your account or shareable review expiry date. Online payments can be made on the **EPPI-Reviewer 4 review and account manager** than can be found on the **EPPI-Reviewer 4 gateway** (http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/er4). If you prefer the more traditional invoice and payment method you can contact us at **EPPIsupport@ioe.ac.uk** to make these arrangements.

Appendix A: Example review

Layout of a systematic review in EPPI-Reviewer 4

The following pages and figures show a 'typical' systematic review as it might be set up in EPPI-Reviewer 4. Although there may not be such a thing as a 'typical' systematic review, most will include some, if not all, of the steps listed below.

The process flow of this example includes EPPI-Reviewer screenshots of some of the steps from this list.

- 1. Importing of search results
- 2. Duplicate checking
- 3. Code set creation
- 4. Allocating items for double screening
- 5. Double screening on title and abstract to identify potential includes
- 6. Reconciling disagreements in double screening
- 7. Full text document retrieval
- 8. Allocating potential includes for double screening on full text
- 9. Double screening on full text to verify potential includes
- 10. Allocating items for keywording
- 11. Mapping of included studies using a keywording tool
- 12. Running searches to identify items for detailed data extraction
- 13. Detailed data extraction
- 14. Input of numeric outcome data
- 15. Line by line coding of uploaded full text documents
- 16. Generating of reports
- 17. Running meta-analysis
- 18. Showing the work distribution in a diagram
- 19. Showing the process flow in a diagram

Add Source		1 * Ion I + In * F			- O X
Manage Sources	s Import New PubMed Import				Used Sources List 🗸
Save	PubMed 2/23/2011 14:06				Name V
SEARCH DATE:	2/23/2011	IMPORT DATE:	2/23/2	2011	20 items from ER3.txt
SOURCE PubMed DataBase:		Total Items:	100	Is Deleted: False	PubMed 2/23/2011 14:06
Database.		Total Items Coded:	99		csaresults.ris
		Files Attached:	1	Items flagged as Duplicates1	EBSCOhost.txt
DESCRIPTION:	Search on cat and mouse	Items flagged as master of	er of:4 Associated Outcomes: 0	Associated Outcomes: 0	PubMed 2/23/2011 16:20
				Only deleted sources that	export embase.ris
FILE FORMAT:				do not contain master items of duplicates may be deleted permanentely:	
SEARCH STRING:	cat and mouse				
					PubMed 2/23/2011 14:06
					PubMed
					Search on cat and mouse
NOTES:	This second uses not excluded based on data				cat and mouse
	This search was not restricted based on date				
					This search was not restricted based on date

Importing of search results

In the manage sources window the search log fields should be filled in so there is a clear record of the sources searched and the search terms used. All import activity is also summarised in the Sources tab.

Docum — Mar 4(ents Searc age Duplicat	h Diaarams Frequencies Crosst e Groups	tabs	Reports	Met	ta-analvsis	Collaborat	te Mv in	nfo					
son	Groul 🗸	Title 🗸	Dup	olicates Ma	in	Manual/Ad	vanced							-
	172563	Salo (2011)	Re	efresh list)	Get new	duplicates	Mark	Automatic	ally	6 groups of poss	sible duplicates loaded. 6	Groups marked	d as completed.
	172564	Liu (2010)		MASTER	Alle	Allergy-related outcomes in relation to serum IgE: Results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey								
	172565	Garden (2010)		Title: Author(s):	Salo	05-2006. o Päivi M M	; Calatroni /	Agustin ;	; Gergen P	eter J	J; Hoppin Jane A	A; Sever Michelle L L; Jar	ramillo Renee ;	ID: 1224348 ()
	172566	Gandolfi (2010)	Jou	irnal/Book	Arb	es Samuel	J SJ Jr; Zeld	din Darry	<u>vICC;</u>		424 - 146° ani			
	172567	Bal (2009)	Titl	le:	Ine	e Journal of	allergy and	clinical	immunolog	JY			Type:	Journal, Article
	172568	Hsu (2010)		Date:	201	.1 February	<u></u>						Source Name:	PubMed 2/23/2011 14:06
				Pages:						A	pplied Codes: 3		Attached Documents:	0
				Checked'	V	Id 🗸	Title							7
_						1224547	Allergy-rel	lated out	comes in r	elation	n to serum IgE: Re	esults from the National H	lealth and Nutr	ition Examination Survey 2005-
-				Refere	nce	1224547 (() Similar	rity score	e:1 Code	l O tin	nes, Contains 0	Documents Pages:		
_				Pub t	ype	Journal, A	rticle - Date	e: 2011	February	- Sour	rce: PubMed 2/23	3/2011 16:20		
-			>	Autho	r(s) Title) Salo Paivi	M M; Calatr	roni Agus mes in re	stin ; Gerg	en Pet	er J J; Hoppin Jan IaE: Results from	the National Health and I	; Jaramillo Ren Nutrition Exami	ee ; Arbes Samuel J SJ Jr; Zeld
					, nere	The Journ	al of allergy	and clin	ical immu	nology				
				Mark	as	A dupl	licate [Not a du	plicate	Mark a	as Master 🗹 Th	nis is a duplicate 🗹 This	Item has beer	n checked
	Manually added Items:													
			a											

Duplicate checking

All duplicate groups should be checked and marked as completed. The number of duplicates is summarised in the Sources tab.

Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs Reports Meta-analysis Collaborate My info	Codes 👻										
131 documents loaded.											
Showing work allocation started: Group 1 T&A											
	A 22 C Screening on Title and Abstract										
Authors V Title V Year V	EXCLUDE on date EXCLUDE on target group										
Go I Adedara I A A; C Aflatoxin B, and ethanol co-exposure induces hepatic oxidative damage in mice. 2010	- EXCLUDE on intervention										
Go I Al-Kappany Y M Genetic diversity Edit code set	- EXCLUDE on evidence										
Go 🔲 I Altintaş Levent ; Prophylactic effect Set name Screening on Title and Abstract	- EXCLUDE on country										
Go I Arun Renganatha Role of Syzygium Data entry method Multiple users	- INCLUDE for second opinion										
Go I Auchampach Joh Characterization c	- INCLUDE on abstract										
Go I Author not found Methods Needed t	🕨 🎤 🤷 Screening on Full Report 🔤										
Go I Author not found Multi-Species Gen Editing code set allowed?	🕨 👫 🙆 Demo keywording tool										
Go I Azevedo Luciana In vivo antimutag	🕨 👫 🗾 Demo data extraction tool										
Go I Baïsse Bénédicte Evolutionary cons	🕨 🚠 💋 Retrieval status										
Go 🔲 I Balerio Graciela ?9-tetrahydrocannabinol decreases somatic and motivational manifestations of nic 2004	- 🚠 📈 Allocation sets										
Go 🔲 I Battaglia Carmer Periods of early development and the effects of stimulation and social experiences 2009	Screening Title and Abstract										
Go 🔲 I Bayes-Genis Ant Human progenitor cells derived from cardiac adipose tissue ameliorate myocardial 2010	- Group 1 T&A										
Go 🔲 I Beardsley Patrick Studies on the agonistic activity of !D[sup]9[21[/sup]-tetrahydrocannabinol in mi 1987	Group 2 184										
Go I Blumberg Mark 5 Developmental divergence of sleep-wake patterns in orexin knockout and wild-typ 2007											
Go 🔲 I BLYTH JOHN W; BASIC LITERACY READING, UNIT I, LESSON I. 1964	Keywording										
Go 🔲 I Board Philip G G Glutathione transferase zeta: discovery, polymorphic variants, catalysis, inactivativ 2011											
Go 🔲 I Bossart Katharin Functional studies of host-specific ephrin-B ligands as Henipavirus receptors. 2007											
Columnational Contractional Contractional Contractional Contraction Contractio											
Page 1 of 1	Codes Sources Review statistics										
tatus: Normal, Last Code Update: 12/04/2011, Latest changes are published in [Show More] User: Steven Startle Review: EPPI-Reviewer 4 demo											

Code set creation

Codesets should be clearly labelled as to their purpose. Try to keep the code names to a reasonable length by using the description fields and avoid using more than 4 levels of hierarchy. Code sets should normally be set for single data entry unless double coding is being carried using that codeset.

Documents Sea	arch	Diagrams	Freq	uencies	Crosstabs	Reports	Meta-an	nalysis C	Collabor	ate 🛛	1y info							Codes	s						•
Reviewers in thi	is revi	iew																	0	Ŀ					
Reviewer				Id														► 3	2 🐴	Screer	ning or	n Title	and Ab	stract	
Steven Startle			_	1512														- 2	2 🐴	Screer	ning or	n Full F	leport		
Donald Soluable	е			1572														► 5	1 🛆	Demo	keywo	ording	tool		
Alice Interest				1660														► 4	2/	Demo	data e	extract	ion tool		
-																		► 4	1	Retrie	val sta	itus			
Coding assignme	ents														Creat	te nev	/	+ 8	12	Allocat	tion se	ts			
Reviewer		Stu	dy grou	up	٢	7 Codes	to apply			V	Allocated	Starte	d Remai	ning					Scre	eening) Title a	and Ab	stract		
Steven Startle		Gro	up 1 T	8:A		Screen	ning on T	itle and A	Abstract	:	131	1	31	0	Del	ete				Group	0 1 T&A	8 0			
Donald Soluable	е	Gro	up 1 T	8.A		Screer	ning on T	itle and A	Abstract		131	1	31	0	Del	ete	=		Ser	Group		\ lenert			
Steven Startle		Gro	up 2 T	8.A		Screer	ning on T	itle and A	Abstract	1	131	1	31	0	Del	ete				Group		report			
Alice Interest		Gro	up 2 T	8:A		Screer	ning on T	itle and A	Abstract	£ ()	131	1	31	0	Del	ete			– Kev	wordir	na	report			
Steven Startle		Gro	up 1 F	ull repor	t	Screer	ning on F	ull Report	t		53		53	0	Del	ete			- Data	a extra	action				
Alice Interest		Gro	up 1 F	ull repor	t	Screer	ning on F	ull Report	t		53	1	53	0	Del	ete	-	-	2/	Report	t sets				
Create compar	rison																								
Codes applied fr	rom th	his set			Reviewer	1 Revie	wer 2 ((Reviewer	r 3 (Or	ly stu	dies Date		Quick rep	ort	stats	Delet	te								
Screening on Tit	tle an	d Abstrac	t		Steven S	tar Dona	ld Solu		Gro	up 1 T	5&A 23/0	2/2011	Run		View	Dele	te								
Screening on Tit	tle an	d Abstrac	t		Steven S	tar Alice	Interes		Gro	up 2 1	7&A 23/0	2/2011	Run		View	Dele	te								
Screening on Fu	ull Rep	oort			Steven S	tar Alice	Interes		Gro	up 1 F	ull 24/0	2/2011	Run		View	Dele	te								
																									•
																		Code	s So	urces	Revie	ew stat	istics		
Status: Normal. La	ast Co	de Update	e: 12/0)4/2011.	Latest chan	ges are pi	ublished i	in [Sho	ow Mor	e] l	Jser: Stev	en Star	tle Review	v: EF	PPI-Re	viewe	r 4 d	emo							

Allocating items for double screening

Items for allocation should be arranged in their own allocation code set and clearly labelled as to their purpose. This makes the work allocations in the Collaborate tab easier to understand.

Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstab	Reports Meta-analysis Collaborate My info	83
s Codes 👻	itation details Text document Reference Search Coding record Linked records PDF	
- i 😱 🕦 🖫 🚔 🗖 Auto advance	Item 1 / 131 🔶 Previous Next 🔿 🛛 🚀 Save and close 🛛 🔚 Save 🎇 Cancel 💦 Find on web	
📕 🔺 🏖 🖨 😭 Screening on Title and Abstract	Title Aflatoxin B1 and ethanol co-exposure induces hepatic oxidative damage in mice.	Â
EXCLUDE on date Info	Author(s) Adedara I A A; Owumi S E E; Uwaifo A O O; Farombi E O Item IDs Internal: 1224414 Imported:	
EXCLUDE on target group	Month September Pub type Journal, Article 🔹	
EXCLUDE on intervention Info EXCLUDE on evidence Info	Year 2010 Included?	
 EXCLUDE on country Info INCLUDE for second opinion Info INCLUDE on abstract Info INCLUDE on abstract Info INCLUDE on abstract on tool Screening on Full Report Demo keywording tool Demo data extraction tool Demo data extraction tool Retrieval status Allocation sets Report sets 	Abstract The present study investigated the effects of aflatoxin B ₊ (AFB ₊) and ethanol co-exposure on biomarkers of hepatic damage in mice. Four groups of adult male mice were treated for 7 consecutive days. Control mice received corn oil alone at a dose of 2 mL/kg bw. One group was treated with ethanol at a dose of 500 µL/kg bw and another group administered 9 mg/kg bw of AFB ₊ dissolved in corn oil. The fourth group was co-administered with ethanol and AFB ₊ . The body and liver weights of treated mice decreased significantly when compared with corresponding control. Alone, ethanol and AFB(1) treatment separately increased serum activities of aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP). Alcohol dehydrogenase (ALD) activity was markedly elevated in ethanol-treated mice but was unaffected by AFB ₊ treatment. Co-exposure of AFB ₊ and ethanol escalated the activities of these serum enzymes. Administration of ethanol and AFB ₊ spearately resulted in significant decrease (GST) activities, whereas lipid peroxidation was markedly elevated. Superoxide dismutase activity and vitamin C level remained unaffected in all treatment groups. Co-exposure of animals to ethanol and AFB ₊ showed additive effects on the activities of GST and CAT as well as on the GSH level. Histopathological study revealed that these compounds interact together to exacerbate their individual effects on the liver. In summary, the data presented showed that AFB ₊ and ethanol co-exposure induced severe oxidative damage to the liver of mice and as such humans consuming excessive amount of ethanol and diets contaminated with AFB ₊ simultaneously may be at areater risk of the hepatotoxic effects of these compounds.	
<u></u>	les 🗸	Q
The subjects of the intervention are NOT Household units in which members are subject to multiple forms of intervention to	Upload Title Document Extension Delete View text Download View PDF > AJPH.2004.049502v1.pdf Adedara (2010) .pdf Del Go! Download View	
Status: Normal. Last Code Update: 12/04/2011. Latest ch	ges are published in [Show More] User: Steven Startle Review: EPPI-Reviewer 4 demo	

Double screening on title and abstract

Coding is carried out in the document details window. The items to code for an individual are retrieved in the Collaborate tab. Since double screening is taking place the items are not automatically marked as complete when codes are assigned.

P									
Compariso	n Reconciliation					23			
c 🗸	Item	Jeff Brunton			Steven Startle	^			
	Airley (2012) ID: 114788		C	Complete	Complete	=			
		Exclude on date			Exclude on geographical location				
•	Amsellem (2011) ID: 115130		c	Complete	Complete				
-		Exclude on study design			Exclude on geographical location				
	Arzi (2013) ID: 114600		C	Complete	Complete				
		Exclude on geographical lo	cation		Exclude on date				
	Un-Complete			0	Exclude on study design				
	Bardagi (2010) ID: 115341	Exclude on Scope							
	Bauer (2011) ID: 115027		c	Complete	Complete				
-		Exclude on date			Exclude on geographical location	-			
H 4 1	▶ H				Page 1 of	1			
ID	114600		Abstract:	Abstract:					
Title	Computed tomographic findings in dogs and cats with tempor (2006-2011).	omandibular joint disorders:	8 cases OBJECTIVE: To describe CT findings in dogs and cats	OBJECTIVE:					
Journal	Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association		DESIGN:	DESIGN:					
Author(s)	Arzi Boaz ; Cissell Derek D D; Verstraete Frank J M J; Kass Ph Athanasiou Kyriacos A A;	ilip H H; DuRaine Grayson D	D; ANIMALS: 41 dogs and 17 cats.						
Туре	Journal, Article		PROCEDURES:	PROCEDURES:					
Short T.	Arzi (2013)		practice between 2006 and 2011.	Medical records and CT images of the skull were reviewed for dogs and cats that were examined at a dentistry and oral surgery specialty practice between 2006 and 2011.					
			RESULTS: Of 142 dogs and 42 cats evaluated, 41 d	RESULTS: Of 142 dogs and 42 cats evaluated, 41 dogs and 17 cats had CT findings consistent with a TMJ disorder. In dogs, the most common TMJ					
l			disorder was osteoarthritis; however, in more frequently identified at the medial	disorder was osteoarthritis; however, in most cases, there were other TMJ disorders present in addition to osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis was					
			of the dorsal and ventral compartments	of the dorsal and ventral compartments did not differ significantly. In cats, fractures were the most common TMJ disorder, followed by					
			4 of 15 dogs and 2 of 4 cats with osteoa CONCLUSIONS AND CLINICAL RELEVAN	osteoartnints. Clinical signs were observed in all dogs and cats with IMJ fractures, dysplasia, ankylosis, luxation, and tumors; however, only 4 of 15 dogs and 2 of 4 cats with osteoarthritis alone had clinical signs. CONCLUSIONS AND CLINICAL RELEVANCE:					
tatus: Norma	I/7 User: Jeff Brunton Review: Pre-publish check 210/2014				a in an an an ann an it ann an				

Reconciling disagreements in double screening

Run a Create comparison in the Collaborate tab to generate a Quick report of the coding that has been carried out. The View stats function summarises the agreements and disagreements allowing you to 'complete' the agreements and reconcile the disagreements in the Comparison Reconciliation window.

Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstal	Reports Met	a-analysis Collaborate My info		Codes			- 6	1 22			
S Codes	Citation details	Text document Reference Search	Coding record Linke	d records PDF							
- E Auto advance	i Item 34 / 131	🔶 Previous Next 🔶 🛛 🔏 Sa	ve and close 🛛 🔒 S	ave 💥 Cancel	Find on w	eb					
E Screening on Title and Abstract	Title	Recognition of the major cat allergen Fel d 1 through the cysteine-rich domain of the mannose receptor determines its allergenicity.									
 Image: A second provided and the second p	Author(s)	Emara Mohamed ; Royer Pierre-Jose	ph J; Abbas Zaighan	Item IDs Interna	l: 1224341 Import	ed:					
😑 🕨 🧸 🗢 🎽 Demo data extraction tool	Month	February		Pub type Journa	I, Article		•				
🚬 🖌 🧟 🧭 Retrieval status	Year	2011		Included? 🖌							
On request Info	Abstract	Dendritic cells (DCs) are professional cat has increased substantially in rer shown that the mannose receptor (M sources and is involved in promoting potential role of MR in allergic respon blocking, gene silencing (siRNA) exp in internalization of Fel d 1 by humal of Fel d 1 by MR is mediated by the natural Fel d 1. Wild-type (WT) and MR-/- mice sensitized with cat-dand- and IgG1, the hallmarks of allergic r ligand of the cysteine-rich domain of in vivo.	Dendritic cells (DCs) are professional antigen-presenting cells that are specialized in antigen uptake and presentation. Allergy to cat has increased substantially in recent years and has been shown to be positively associated with asthma. We have recently shown that the mannose receptor (MR), a C-type lectin expressed by DCs, recognizes various glycoallergens from diverse sources and is involved in promoting allergic responses to a major house dust mite allergen in vitro. Here we investigated the potential role of MR in allergic responses to Fel d 1, a major cat allergen. Fel d 1 binding to MR was confirmed by ELISA. Using blocking, gene silencing (siRNA) experiments and MR knockout (MR-/-) cells we have demonstrated that MR plays a major role in internalization of Fel d 1 by human and mouse antigen presenting cells. Intriguingly, unlike other glycoallergens, recognition of Fel d 1 by MR is mediated by the cysteine-rich domain which correlates with the presence of sulphated carbohydrates in natural Fel d 1. Wild-type (WT) and MR-/- mice were used to study the role of MR in allergic sensitization to Fel d 1 in vivo. MR-/- mice sensitized with cat-dander extract and Fel d 1 produced significantly lower levels of total IgE, Fel d 1-specific-IgE and IgG1, the hallmarks of allergic response, compared with WT-mice. Our data show for the first time that Fel d 1 is a novel ligand of the cysteine-rich domain of MR and that MR is likely to play a pivotal role in allergic sensitization to airborne allergens								
	Journal title	The Journal of biological chemistry		Short title Emara	(2011)			-			
	Files							•			
	Upload	Title	V Document		Delete View tex	t Download	View PDF				
	2	ARINV(GL)1.pdf	Emara (201	.pdf	Del Go!	Download	View				
				BT BUILDING A	24. 2524			1			

Full text document retrieval

You should create a code set to mange the retrieval of full text documents. When a document is retrieved and uploaded into the system it can be marked as 'In file'.



Double screening on full text

Uploaded full text documents can be displayed in EPPI-Reviewer and shared among the review team during coding.



Running searches to identify items

To indentify items that will move forward in the process searches based on previous coding can be generated in the Search tab. All searches are recorded and listed in a table. Searches can be combined using Boolean operators.

Do 4	ocuments Search Diagrams Frequencies Cross Document details	tabs Repo	rts Meta-analysis Collabora	te My info	Codes	- 0 x
s	Codes Edit / create	outcome				
	Auto adva	Description	Knowledge after 6 months			Classifications
(invest	Nut	come type	Continuous: Ns, means 🔻	Outcome	Knowledge 💌	> Data collection tool: observation
-	In In In International Interna	tervention	Educational materials 🔹	Control	Current practice 🔹	Data collection tool: self report (diary) Data collection tool: clinical test
1	A Compared to compared to a compared to a compared to a compared to a compared to	Group 1 N	34	Group 2 N	34	
	How can the study be identifi Gro	up 1 mean	22	Group 2 mean	21	
1	G Support for the study Type of study	Group 1 SD	5	Group 2 SD	5	
	- Description of the interventio		Correct for unit of analysi	s error		
	 Description of the study sam; Planning and process measur 	SMD	0.197718631178707	SE	0.243163843407036	
	► Quality of the outcome evaluation		Save outcome		Cancel	
	 Outcome / effectiveness data 					
	A Intervention		Volume 4		Is	sue 3
	- 🖌 Educational materials Info	Outcome:	Publisher		Institu	tion
	Practical tasks Info Outcome		City	_		
	Role-play Info Outcomes			Ec	it outcomes	
	▶ Outcomes				Title	√ Intervention √
	Comparison	-	Files		Knowledge after 6 mor	iths Educational materials Edit Delete
			Upload			
			> WB_What	have we learnt prive		
State	us: Normal Last Code Update: 12/04/2011 Latest	changes ar	e published in Show More	al I User: Steven Start	e Review: EPPI-Reviewer	c 4 demo

Input of numeric outcome data

Outcome data from the studies can be entered during the coding process. Multiple outcomes, with different outcome types can be entered for the same item. Outcomes, Intervention, comparisons and outcome classifications can be predefined allowing quick retrieval during data input.
Codes Citation details Text document Reference Search Coding record Linked records PPI How can the study be identified? Support for the study Description of the intervention Description of the intervention Description of the study sample Vas the intervention based on a needs assess Who identified the aim(s) of the intervention Code selected text Healt Code selected text Healt Code selected text Code Selected	Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs Re	oorts Meta-analysis Collaborate My info	- 0 x
 Auto advance Hotkeys How can the study be identified? Support for the study Description of the intervention Description of the intervention Description of the study sample Planning and process measures Was the intervention Other (specify) intervention? In this review, we find that, in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure In this review, we find that, in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure In this review, we find that, in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure In this review, we find that, in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure In this review, we find that in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure In this review, we find that in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure In this review, we find that in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure In this review, we find that in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure In this review, we find that in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure In this review, we find that in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure In this review, we find that in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure In the value Code selected text In the value Interver Show text coded with this code Funder Report: all text coded with this code In the value Interve Show text coded with this code Interve Show text coded with this code In the value In the value In the value In tele value In t	S Codes	Citation details Text document Reference Search Coding record Linked records PDF	
	S Codes	Citation details Text document Reference Search Coding record Linked records PDF developing countries thus far, and understand some of the reasons behind these outcomes. The experience examined here is a specific subset of the broader PPI trends across all infrastructure sectors, whose successes and shortcomings have been documented at length elsewhere. (See for example, Kessides 2004 and Harris 2003.) Also our focus is on the role of PPI in mobilizing finance. Other benefits of bringing in private operators, such as more efficient operations, are not explicitly examined here. In this review, we find that, in terms of the numbers, PPI for urban infrastructure has mobilized little private finance. Examining experience in more detail, we also find that there are good reasons; practical, political, economic and institutional, for these disappointments Recommending that cities in developing countries try harder is not likely to relieve all these constraints. Indeed, experience shows that there are an unber of features that raise the risk profile for of urban infrastructure for private investors, which has meant that the bulk of the transactions that have taken place have been exceptions rather than harbingers of a growing trend. Many of the measures that could reduce the risk profile are outside the control of many cities, others unlikely to change, and yet ende 100% * Image: 10	

Line by line text coding coding

The textual content of uploaded full text documents can be highlighted and assigned codes. Reports can be generated to identify all text assigned a particular code. All inline text coding can be displayed when generating reports.

Save Load P New Run Report Tide Data extraction report #1 Report Report Tide Data extraction report #1 Report Tide Data extract	Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies Crosstabs Reports Meta-analysis	Codes 👻		
Report Tide Data extraction report #1 Report type Question Intervention Image: Construction and edit its properties by clicking the loon on the for right. Study sample Image: Construction and edit its properties by clicking the loon on the for right. Country in which intervention was implemented Drag codes onto this column and edit its properties by clicking the intervention technited? See Support Single Singl	Save 🔛 Load 🧐 New 🐑 Run			
Delete column Delete column<	Save Load 9 New Run Report Title Data extraction report #1 Intervention Drag codes onto this column and edit its properties by clicking the icon on the top right. Country in which intervention was implemented How were the people providing the intervention recruited? Type of intervention Abstract	Study sample Image: Constraint of the	es or code sets from the right on to Aquation to Aquat	Content of the intervention Content of the intervention Content of the intervention
for use in other statistical software.	Delete column There are two types of report. 'Question' (formerly 'multiple') reports summarise a type', dragging the question into a column would result in all the answers to that q 'Answer' (formerly 'single') reports contain one answer (code) per column and can for use in other statistical software.	Delete column If the answers given below a specified code / code set. e.g. If you had a question er uestion being presented in the report. These reports can have multiple 'questions' p also contain information about outcomes; where this is selected, they default to a t	colum colum pre column. format that is suitable	Not stated Not explicitly stated Stated (write in) Year intervention started H H Theoretical model (as stated by the H H Intervention site H H Length of the intervention H H Type of intervention

Setting up reports

Report structures can be created in a number of different configurable formats. The report structure can be saved and reused on different datasets.

Documents Search Diagrams Frequencies	Crosstabs	Reports M	eta-analysis Collaborate My info	Codes	- 0 - 2				
File Edit View Insert Mail Merge Format Tools Table Header & Footer									
Data extraction report #1									
It	tem Id	Title	Intervention	Study sample					
1:	224297	Botherly (1999)	Country in which intervention was implemented	Age group					
			USA	Young people 13-15					
			How were the people providing the intervention recruited?	Sex					
			Stated (write in) The community organizer is usually a community	Mixed sex					
			member selcted because of good rapport with neighbourhood residents	Sampling and recruitment procedures					
			Type of intervention	Details Recruitment					
			Advice/counselling counselling/mental health services available where needs	"Adolescents were eligible to participate if they were not currently enrolled in an ongoing structured after-school program that had a regular meeting schedule; if they would be 13, 14 0r 15 on July 1, 1997					
			careers advice etc. also more generally available	for the New York City Sites and if they would 13,14 or					

Running reports

Once a report structure is saved it can be run against different datasets. The generated report can be saved in a number of different file formats for direct insertion in the users final report.



Running a statistical meta-analysis

Entered numeric outcome data can be used to run a statistical meta-analysis. Outcome data from different studies can be combined and the program returns the results for fixed and random effects models. Studies from the two selected meta-analyses can also be entered into a sub-group analysis.



Process flow diagram

Most systematic reviews require a process flow diagram showing what took place during the review. The diagram function allows the user to pull their codes into the diagram and have the system add the code counts. Each cell is fully configurable and can be connected by arrows. The diagram can also be exported.



Work assignment diagrams

The diagram functions can be used in many different ways. One possible use is a visual representation of work assignments throughout the review process.